arXiv:hep-th/9707072v1 8 Jul 1997

Dirac's Observables for the SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) Standard Model.

Luca Lusanna

Sezione INFN di Firenze

L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)

50125 Firenze, Italy

E-mail LUSANNA@FI.INFN.IT

and

Paolo Valtancoli

Dipartimento di Fisica

Universita' di Firenze

L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)

50125 Firenze, Italy

E-mail VALTANCOLI@FI.INFN.IT

Abstract

The complete, missing, Hamiltonian treatment of the standard SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) model with Grassmann-valued fermion fields in the Higgs phase is given. We bypass the complications of the Hamiltonian theory in the Higgs phase, resulting from the spontaneous symmetry breaking with the Higgs mechanism, by studying the Hamiltonian formulation of the Higgs phase for the gauge equivalent Lagrangian in the unitary gauge. A canonical basis of Dirac's observables is found and the reduced physical Hamiltonian is evaluated. Its self-energy part is nonlocal for the electromagnetic and strong interactions, but local for the weak ones. Therefore, the Fermi 4-fermion interaction reappears at the nonperturbative level.

June 1996

This work has been partially supported by the network "Constrained Dynamical Systems" of the E.U. Programme "Human Capital and Mobility".

Typeset using REVTEX

I. INTRODUCTION

In two previous papers [1,2] (referred to as I and II) we made the complete canonical reduction of the Higgs model with fermions and with spontaneous symmetry breaking in the Abelian (I) and non-Abelian SU(2) (II) cases. In both cases there is an ambiguity in solving the Gauss law first class constraints, which reflects the existence of disjoint sectors of solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations. While in the Abelian case there are two sectors of solutions, the electromagnetic and the Higgs phases, in the non-Abelian SU(2) case the sectors correspond to six phases, one of which is the Higgs phase and one to the SU(2)-symmetric phase [the remaining four phases have partially broken SU(2) symmetry and are not SU(2) covariant]. The Dirac observables and the physical Hamiltonians and Lagrangians of the Higgs phase have been found in both cases. In the Hamiltonian, the self-energy term turns out to be local, but not polynomial, and contains a local four-fermion interaction. Therefore, the nonrenormalizability of the unitary gauge (our method of canonical reduction is similar to it, but without the introduction of gauge-fixings) is confirmed.

In this paper we will give a complete Hamiltonian formulation of the Higgs sector of the standard SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) model of elementary particles with Grassmann-valued fermion fields together with its canonical reduction. Namely, using the results of Refs. [1,2] and those of Ref. [3], we will find a complete set of canonical Dirac's observables and the reduced physical Hamiltonian. This will be done in the case of a trivial principal SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1)-bundle (so that there are no monopole solutions) over a fixed x^o, R^3 slice of a 3+1 decomposition of Minkowski spacetime, without never going to Euclidean space. Since the reduction is non covariant, the next step will be to covariantize the results by reformulating the theory on spacelike hypersurfaces foliating Minkowski spacetime and, then, by restricting the description to the Wigner hyperplanes orthogonal to the total 4-momentum of the system (assumed timelike). In this way the standard model will be described in the "covariant relativistic rest-frame instant form" of the dynamics, which was defined in Ref. [4,5] for the system of N charged scalar particles (with Grassmann-valued electric charges) plus the electromagnetic field [for this system one found the Dirac's observables, the physical Hamiltonian with the Coulomb potential extracted from field theory (with the Coulomb self-energies regularized by the property $Q_i^2 = 0$ of the Grassmann-valued electric charges), the second order equations of motion for the field and the particles and the Lienard-Wiechert potentials]. In this form of the dynamics there is a universal breaking of Lorentz covariance connected with the description of the center of mass of the isolated system, but all the other variables have Wigner covariance. This implies that the relative dynamics with respect to the center of mass on the Wigner hyperplane is naturally "Euclidean": under a Lorentz transformation the hyperplane is rotated in Minkowski spacetime (and the canonical center of mass transforms noncovariantly like the Newton-Wigner position operator, i.e. it has only the rotational covariance implied by the little group of massive Poincaré representations), but the relative Wigner-covariant variables inside it only feel induced Wigner SO(3) rotations. The Wigner hyperplane seems to be the natural candidate to solve the Lorentz covariance problem of lattice gauge theory. It is also possible to formulate covariant 1-time relativistic statistical mechanics on this hyperplane [4].

Moreover, the noncovariance of the center of mass identifies a classical unit of lenght (the Møller radius $\rho = \sqrt{-W^2}/cP^2 = |\vec{S}|/c\sqrt{P^2}$) to be used as a ultraviolet cutoff in a future attempt to quantize these nonlocal and nonpolynomial reduced field theories. In Ref. [6] the results of Ref. [4] were extended to N scalar particles with Grassmann-valued color charges plus the SU(3) color Yang-Mills field (pseudoclassical relativistic scalar-quark model). The Dirac observables, the physical Hamiltonian with the interquark potential and the second order equations of motion for both the field and the particles have been found. In the N=2 (meson) case, a form of the requirement of having only color singlets, suitable for a field-independent quark model, implies a "pseudoclassical asymptotic freedom" and a regularization of the quark self-energies. To reformulate the standard model in this way, one needs the completion of the description of Dirac and chiral fields and of spinning particles on spacelike hypersurfaces [7] by adapting the method of Refs. [8] for the canonical description of fermion fields in curved spacetimes to spacelike hypersurfaces in Minkowski spacetime.

See Refs. [9] for a review of the full research program and of its achievements till now.

To apply the results of Ref. [3], we must assume that the SU(3) gauge potentials and gauge transformations belong to a suitable weigthed Sobolev space [10,11], so that any form of Gribov ambiguity is absent. Instead, it is not necessary that the SU(2)xU(1) gauge potentials and gauge transformations belong to the same special spaces, because the Hamiltonian reduction associated with the Gauss laws is purely algebraic and does not require to solve elliptic equations as in the case of the Gauss laws of SU(3). However, if one wishes to have homogeneous Hamiltonian boundary conditions for the various fields [and also to have the possibility to try to make the reduction also of the other non-Higgs phases], one has to work in those special spaces for the whole SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1).

In Section II a review of the standard SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) model is given to fix the notations.

In Section III we give the Lagrangian density in the unitary gauge and we introduce the mass eigenstates for the fermions.

In Section IV we give the Euler-Lagrange equations, the Hamiltonian and the primary and secondary constraints. Also the energy-momentum tensor and the Hamiltonian boundary conditions for the standard model are given. At the end of the Section we show that, if we try to reformulate the Hamiltonian theory in terms of the vector boson fields rather than in terms of the original gauge fields, the constraints change nature and the theory becomes extremely complicated.

Therefore, in Section V we study the Hamiltonian formulation of the Lagrangian in the unitary gauge. Now we get only primary and secondary constraints, with those referring to the vector boson being of second class.

In Section VI we find the electromagnetic and color Dirac observables.

In Section VII a canonical basis of Dirac observables of the standard model is found and the physical reduced Hamiltonian is given. Its self-energy part is nonlocal for the electromagnetic and strong interactions, but local for the weak ones. Therefore, the Fermi 4-fermions interaction reappears at the nonperturbative level.

Finally, in Section VIII we evaluate the physical Hamilton equations.

In the Conclusions some remarks are made.

II. THE LAGRANGIAN OF THE STANDARD MODEL

In this Section we shall make a brief review of the standard SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) model to fix the notations.

The standard model is described by the following Lagrangian density [12] [see also Refs. [13–16]]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(x) = &-\frac{1}{4g_s^2} G_A^{\mu\nu}(x) G_{A\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4g_w^2} W_a^{\mu\nu}(x) W_{a\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4g_y^2} V^{\mu\nu}(x) V_{\mu\nu}(x) + \\
&+ [D_\mu^{(W,V)}\phi(x)]^\dagger D^{(W,V)\mu}\phi(x) - \lambda(\phi^\dagger(x)\phi(x) - \phi_o^2)^2 + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x) i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + W_{a\mu}(x)T_w^a + V_\mu(x)Y_w)\psi_{Li}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + V_\mu(x)Y_w)\psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x) \cdot \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o} M_{ij}^{(l)} \psi_{Rj}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) M_{ij}^{(l)\dagger} \frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi_{Lj}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + W_{a\mu}(x)T_w^a + V_\mu(x)Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A)\psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + V_\mu(x)Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A)\psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + V_\mu(x)Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A)\tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) \cdot \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o} M_{ij}^{(q)} \psi_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) M_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi_{Lj}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) \cdot \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\phi_o} \tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)} \tilde{\psi}_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi_{Lj}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \theta \frac{g_s}{32\pi^2} G_{A\mu\nu}(x) * G_A^{\mu\nu}(x) = \\
&= \ldots.. + G_{A\mu}(x) J_{sA}^\mu(x) + V_\mu J_{Y_w}^\mu(x) + W_{a\mu}(x) J_{wa}^\mu(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{sA}^\mu(x) &= \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i T_s^A \psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i T_s^A \psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i T_s^A \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x), \\
J_{Y_w}^\mu(x) &= \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi_{Li}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&\quad + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x), \\
J_{wa}^\mu(x) &= \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x)\gamma^\mu i T_w^a \psi_{Li}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu i T_w^a \psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x).
\end{aligned}
\qquad (1)
$$

The fields $G_{A\mu}(x) = g_s\tilde{G}_{A\mu}(x)$ [A=1,..,8], $W_{a\mu}(x) = g_w\tilde{W}_{a\mu}(x)$ [a=1,2,3] and $V_\mu(x) = g_y\tilde{V}_\mu(x)$ are the SU(3), SU(2) and U(1) gauge potentials respectively; here g_s, g_w and g_y are the associated strong (color), weak isospin and weak hypercharge coupling constants. The generators of the Lie algebras su(3) of color [$\hat{G}_\mu = G_{A\mu}\hat{T}^A_s$] and su(2) of weak isospin [$\hat{W}_\mu = W_{a\mu}\hat{T}^a_w$] in the adjoint representation [8-dimensional for SU(3) and 3-dimensional for SU(2)] and of the Lie algebra u(1) of weak hypercharge are [c_{ABC} are the SU(3) totally antisymmetric structure constants]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{T}^A_s = -\hat{T}^{A\dagger}_s, \qquad (\hat{T}^A_s)_{BC} = c_{ABC}, \qquad [\hat{T}^A_s, \hat{T}^B_s] = c_{ABC}\hat{T}^C_s,\\
&\hat{T}^a_w = -\hat{T}^{a\dagger}_w, \qquad (\hat{T}^a_w)_{bc} = \epsilon_{abc}, \qquad [\hat{T}^a_w, \hat{T}^b_w] = \epsilon_{abc}\hat{T}^c_w,\\
&Y_w = -\frac{i}{2}y = -iY.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The field strengths and the covariant derivatives associated with $G_{A\mu}, W_{a\mu}, V_\mu$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{A\mu\nu}(x) &= \partial_\mu G_{A\nu}(x) - \partial_\nu G_{A\mu}(x) + c_{ABC}G_{B\mu}(x)G_{C\nu}(x),\\
W_{a\mu\nu}(x) &= \partial_\mu W_{a\nu}(x) - \partial_\nu W_{a\mu}(x) + \epsilon_{abc}W_{b\mu}(x)W_{c\nu}(x),\\
V_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \partial_\mu V_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu V_\mu(x),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{D}^{(G)}_\mu)_{AC} &= \delta_{AC}\partial_\mu + c_{ABC}G_{B\mu} = (\partial_\mu - \hat{G}_\mu)_{AC},\\
(\hat{D}^{(W)}_\mu)_{ac} &= \delta_{ac}\partial_\mu + \epsilon_{abc}W_{b\mu} = (\partial_\mu - \hat{W}_\mu)_{ac},\\
\hat{D}^{(V)}_\mu &= \partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w,
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the gauge transformations are defined as [$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = G_{A\mu\nu}\hat{T}^A_s$, $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} = W_{a\mu\nu}\hat{T}^a_w$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}_\mu(x) \mapsto \hat{G}^U_\mu(x) &= U^{-1}_s(x)\hat{G}_\mu(x)U_s(x) + U^{-1}_s(x)\partial_\mu U_s(x) =\\
&= \hat{G}_\mu(x) + U^{-1}_s(x)\left(\partial_\mu U_s(x) + [\hat{G}_\mu(x), U_s(x)]\right),\\
\hat{W}_\mu(x) \mapsto \hat{W}^U_\mu(x) &= U^{-1}_w(x)\hat{W}_\mu(x)U_w(x) + U^{-1}_w(x)\partial_\mu U_w(x) =\\
&= \hat{W}_\mu(x) + U^{-1}_w(x)\left(\partial_\mu U_w(x) + [\hat{W}_\mu(x), U_w(x)]\right),\\
V_\mu(x)Y_w \mapsto V^U_\mu(x)Y_w &= V_\mu(x)Y_w + U^{-1}_y(x)\partial_\mu U_y(x) = [V_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu\Lambda_y(x)]Y_w,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}(x) &\mapsto \hat{G}^{U}_{\mu\nu}(x) = U_s^{-1}(x)\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}(x)U_s(x) = \hat{G}_{\mu\nu}(x) + U_s^{-1}(x)\,[\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}(x), U_s(x)],\\
\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x) &\mapsto \hat{W}^{U}_{\mu\nu}(x) = U_w^{-1}(x)\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x)U_w(x) = \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x) + U_w^{-1}(x)\,[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x), U_w(x)],\\
V_{\mu\nu}(x) &\mapsto V^{U}_{\mu\nu}(x) = V_{\mu\nu}(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

Here $U_s, U_w, U_y = e^{\Lambda_y Y_w}$ are the realizations in the adjoint representation of the SU(3), SU(2) and U(1) gauge transformations respectively.

The last term in Eq.(1) is the topological θ-term [it is the source of strong CP-violation, whose experimental absence requires $\theta \leq 2 \cdot 10^{-10}$]; in it $*G^{\mu\nu}_A = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}G_{A\alpha\beta}$ is the dual field strength ($*$ is the Hodge star operator) and one has $G_{A\mu\nu}*G^{\mu\nu}_A = -\frac{1}{4}\vec{E}_A \cdot \vec{B}_A = \partial_\mu \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(G_{A\nu}G_{A\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6}c_{ABC}G_{A\nu}G_{B\alpha}G_{C\beta})$.

The field $\phi(x)$ is a complex Higgs field in the fundamental doublet representation of the weak isospin SU(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(x) \\ \phi_0(x) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\theta_a(x)T^a_w} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\theta_a(x)T^a_w} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde{\phi}(x) &= \phi^c(x) = 2iT^2_w\phi^*(x) = i\tau^2\phi^*(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^*_0(x) \\ -\phi_-(x) = -\phi^*_+(x) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

where the lower subscript of the components denotes the electric charge. The field ϕ^c is the charge conjugate of the Higgs field. The su(2) generators in the doublet representation are [τ^a are the Pauli matrices]

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^a_w &= -i\frac{\tau^a}{2},\\
[T^a_w, T^b_w] &= \epsilon_{abc}T^c_w, \qquad T^a_w T^b_w + T^b_w T^a_w = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

If $\tilde{U}_w$ is the realization of the SU(2) gauge transformations in the doublet representation and $W_\mu = W_{a\mu}T^a_w$, $W_{\mu\nu} = W_{a\mu\nu}T^a_w$, then in analogy with Eqs.(4) one has $W_\mu \mapsto W^U_\mu = \tilde{U}^{-1}_w W_\mu \tilde{U}_w + \tilde{U}^{-1}_w \partial_\mu \tilde{U}_w$, $W_{\mu\nu} \mapsto W^U_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{U}^{-1}_w W_{\mu\nu}\tilde{U}_w$.

The constant $\phi_o = \phi^*_o$ appearing in the Higgs potential $V(\phi) = -\lambda(\phi^\dagger\phi - \phi^2_o)^2$ is real; the three phases $\theta_a(x)$ parametrize the absolute minima $\phi^\dagger\phi = \phi^2_o$ of $V(\phi)$. At the quantum level $< \phi >= \phi_o \neq 0$ is the gauge not-invariant formulation of symmetry breaking. The covariant derivative of the Higgs field and their gauge transformations are

$$\begin{aligned} &D^{(W,V)}_{\mu\, ab}\phi_b(x) = [\delta_{ab}(\partial_\mu + V_\mu(x)Y_w) + (W_{c\mu}(x)T^c_w)_{ab}]\phi_b(x), \\ &\phi(x) \mapsto \phi^U(x) = \tilde{U}^{-1}_w(x)U^{-1}_y(x)\phi(x). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The relation between the fields V_μ, $W_{3\mu}$, and the electromagnetic, A_μ, and neutral vector boson, Z_μ, fields is

$$\begin{aligned} &V_\mu = g_y\tilde{V}_\mu = g_y[-sin\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}_\mu + cos\,\theta_w\tilde{A}_\mu] = A_\mu - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu, \\ &W_{3\mu} = g_w\tilde{W}_{3\mu} = g_w[cos\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}_\mu + sin\,\theta_w\tilde{A}_\mu] = A_\mu + cot\,\theta_w Z_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_\mu = e\tilde{A}_\mu = e[cos\,\theta_w\tilde{V}_\mu + sin\,\theta_w\tilde{W}_{3\mu}] = \frac{1}{g_w^2+g_y^2}[g_w^2V_\mu + g_y^2W_{3\mu}], \\ &Z_\mu = e\tilde{Z}_\mu = e[-sin\,\theta_w\tilde{V}_\mu + cos\,\theta_w\tilde{W}_{3\mu}] = \frac{g_wg_y}{g_w^2+g_y^2}(W_{3\mu} - V_\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here θ_w is the Weinberg angle and e is the unit of electric charge; their relation to the original coupling constants g_w, g_y is

$$tg\,\theta_w = \frac{g_y}{g_w}, \qquad e = \frac{g_wg_y}{g_w^2+g_y^2},$$

$$g_y = \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}, \qquad g_w = \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}. \tag{9}$$

The charged vector boson fields are

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{\pm\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_{1\mu} \mp iW_{2\mu}), \qquad T^{\pm}_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T^1_w \mp iT^2_w), \\ &W_{1\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_{+\mu} + W_{-\mu}), \qquad W_{2\mu} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(W_{+\mu} - W_{-\mu}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{a\mu}T^a_w + V_\mu Y_w = W_{+\mu}T^-_w + W_{-\mu}T^+_w + A_\mu(T^3_w + Y_w) + Z_\mu(cot\,\theta_w T^3_w - tg\,\theta_w Y_w) = \\ &= W_{+\mu}T^-_w + W_{-\mu}T^+_w - iQ_{em}A_\mu - iQ_Z Z_\mu = \\ &= -i\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1+y)A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}(cot\,\theta_w - ytg\,\theta_w)Z_\mu & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}W_{-\mu} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}W_{+\mu} & \frac{1}{2}(-1+y)A_\mu - \frac{1}{2}(cot\,\theta_w + ytg\,\theta_w)Z_\mu \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The last line of this equation defines the electric $Q_{em} = i(T^3_w + Y_w) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+y & 0 \\ 0 & -1+y \end{pmatrix}$ and neutral $Q_Z = i(cot\,\theta_w T^3_w - tg\,\theta_w Y_w) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} cot\,\theta_w - ytg\,\theta_w & 0 \\ 0 & -cot\,\theta_w - ytg\,\theta_w \end{pmatrix}$ charge generators for the doublet SU(2) representation [in the singlet SU(2) representation one has $Q_{em} = iY_w = \frac{1}{2}y = Y$ and $Q_Z = -itg\,\theta_w Y_w = -\frac{1}{2}tg\,\theta_w y$ and $V_\mu Y_w = -iQ_{em}A_\mu - iQ_Z Z_\mu = -\frac{i}{2}y(A_\mu - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu)$]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{em} &= i(T^3_w + Y_w) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau^3 + y) = \frac{1}{2}\tau^3 + Y, \\ Q_Z &= i(cot\,\theta_w T^3_w - tg\,\theta_w Y_w) = \frac{1}{2}(cot\,\theta_w \tau^3 - tg\,\theta_w y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} iT^3_w &= sin\,\theta_w(sin\,\theta_w Q_{em} + cos\,\theta_w Q_Z), \\ iY_w &= cos\,\theta_w(cos\,\theta_w Q_{em} - sin\,\theta_w Q_Z). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For the Higgs field $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_o \end{pmatrix}$ one has the assignements $Y = \frac{1}{2}$ [y=1] and $Q_{em} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_o \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Q_Z \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_o \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{sin\,2\theta_w} \begin{pmatrix} (1 - 2sin^2\,\theta_w)\phi_+ \\ -\phi_o \end{pmatrix}$.

The Grassmann-valued fermion fields $\psi^{(l)}_{.\,i\alpha}(x), \psi^{(q)}_{.\,i\alpha}(x)$ represent leptons and quarks respectively; α is a spinor index, while the index i=1,2,3, denotes the families. The fields $\psi^{(.)}_{Lia\alpha}(x)$, $\psi^{(.)}_{Ri\alpha}(x)$ denote left and right fields [$\psi_L = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)\psi, \psi_R = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma_5)\psi, \bar{\psi}_L = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}(1+\gamma_5), \bar{\psi}_R = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}(1-\gamma_5), \bar{\psi}\psi = \bar{\psi}_L\psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L, \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = \bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu\psi_L + \bar{\psi}_R\gamma^\mu\psi_R$]: the left fields belong to the doublet representation of the weak isospin SU(2), while the right ones are SU(2) singlets. The quark fields $\psi^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(x), \psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)$ also belong to the fundamental triplet representation of the color SU(3), whose generators are [λ^A are the 3×3 Gell-Mann matrices; d_{ABC} are totally symmetric coefficients]

$$\begin{aligned} T^A_s = -\frac{i}{2}\lambda^A, \qquad Tr\,\lambda^A\lambda^B = 2\delta_{AB}, \qquad c_{ABC}T^B_s T^C_s = \frac{3}{2}T^A_s, \\ [T^A_s, T^B_s] = c_{ABC}T^C_s, \qquad T^A_s T^B_s + T^B_s T^A_s = -\frac{1}{3}\delta_{AB} - id_{ABC}T^C_s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_A (T_s^A)_{ab}(T_s^A)_{cd} = \frac{1}{6}\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ad}\delta_{bc} \qquad (a,b,c,d = 1,2,3). \tag{12}$$

The SU(3) Casimirs for a representation R, namely $C_2(R) = \sum_A [T_s^A(R)]^2$ and $C_3(R) = \sum_{ABC} T_s^A(R)T_s^B(R)T_s^C(R)$, have the values $C_2(3) = \frac{4}{3}$, $C_3(3) = \frac{10}{9}$ for the triplet (R=3) and $C_2(8) = 3$, $C_3(8) = 0$, for the adjoint (R=8) representations respectively.

The covariant derivatives and gauge transformations [$\tilde{U}_w, \tilde{U}_s$ are their realizations in the SU(2) doublet and SU(3) triplet representations respectively] of the fermion fields are [$G_\mu = G_{A\mu}T_s^A, W_\mu = W_{a\mu}T_w^a$]

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^{(W,V)}_{\mu\, ab}\psi^{(l)}_{Lib} = [\delta_{ab}(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w) + (W_\mu)_{ab}]\,\psi^{(l)}_{Lib},\\
&D^{(V)}_\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Ri} = (\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w)\psi^{(l)}_{Ri},\\
&D^{(W,V,G)}_{\mu\, AB\, ab}\psi^{(q)}_{LiBb} = [\delta_{ab}\delta_{AB}(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w) + \delta_{AB}(W_\mu)_{ab} + \delta_{ab}(G_\mu)_{AB}]\psi^{(q)}_{LiBb},\\
&D^{(V,G)}_{\mu\, AB}\psi^{(q)}_{RiB} = [\delta_{AB}(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w) + (G_\mu)_{AB}]\psi^{(q)}_{RiB} \qquad same\ for\ \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi^{(l)}_{Li} \mapsto \psi^{(l)U}_{Li} = \tilde{U}_w^{-1}U_y^{-1}\psi^{(l)}_{Li},\\
&\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} \mapsto \psi^{(l)U}_{Ri} = U_y^{-1}\psi^{(l)}_{Ri},\\
&\psi^{(q)}_{Li} \mapsto \psi^{(q)U}_{Li} = \tilde{U}_w^{-1}U_y^{-1}\tilde{U}_s^{-1}\psi^{(q)}_{Li},\\
&\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} \mapsto \psi^{(q)U}_{Ri} = U_y^{-1}\tilde{U}_s^{-1}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}, \qquad same\ for\ \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The known leptons [electron, muon, tau and the associated massless left neutrinos (right ones are absent)] and quarks [six flavours: up, down, charme, strange, top, bottom, each one with three color components] are described by the following fermion fields (weak interaction or gauge eigenstates)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi^{(l)}_{L1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL}(x) \\ e_L(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^{(l)}_{L2}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\mu L}(x) \\ \mu_L(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^{(l)}_{L3}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\tau L}(x) \\ \tau_L(x) \end{pmatrix}\\
&\psi^{(l)}_{R1}(x) = e_R(x) \qquad \psi^{(l)}_{R2}(x) = \mu_R(x) \qquad \psi^{(l)}_{R3}(x) = \tau_R(x),\\
&\psi^{(q)}_{L1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} u_L(x) \\ d_L(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^{(q)}_{L2}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c_L(x) \\ s_L(x) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^{(q)}_{L3}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_L(x) \\ b_L(x) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{R1}(x) = u_R(x), \qquad \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{R2}(x) = c_R(x), \qquad \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{R3}(x) = t_R(x),$$
$$\psi^{(q)}_{R1}(x) = d_R(x), \qquad \psi^{(q)}_{R2}(x) = s_R(x), \qquad \psi^{(q)}_{R3}(x) = b_R(x). \tag{14}$$

The charge assignements for quarks are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\nu_{eL}\ \ \nu_{\mu L}\ \ \nu_{\tau L}\Big): \quad Q_{em} = 0, \quad Y = -\frac{1}{2} \quad [y = -1], \quad Q_Z = \frac{1}{sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(e_L\ \ \mu_L\ \ \tau_L\Big): \quad Q_{em} = -1, \quad Y = -\frac{1}{2} \quad [y = -1], \quad Q_Z = \frac{-1 + 2sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(e_R\ \ \mu_R\ \ \tau_R\Big): \quad Q_{em} = -1, \quad Y = -1 \quad [y = -2], \quad Q_Z = \frac{2sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(u_L\ \ c_L\ \ t_L\Big): \quad Q_{em} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad Y = \frac{1}{6} \quad [y = \frac{1}{3}], \quad Q_Z = \frac{1 - \frac{4}{3}sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(d_L\ \ s_L\ \ b_L\Big): \quad Q_{em} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad Y = \frac{1}{6} \quad [y = \frac{1}{3}], \quad Q_Z = \frac{-1 + \frac{2}{3}sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(u_R\ \ c_R\ \ t_R\Big): \quad Q_{em} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad Y = \frac{2}{3} \quad [y = \frac{4}{3}], \quad Q_Z = \frac{-4sin^2\theta_w}{3sin\,2\theta_w},\\
&\Big(d_R\ \ s_R\ \ b_R\Big): \quad Q_{em} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad Y = -\frac{1}{3} \quad [y = -\frac{2}{3}], \quad Q_Z = \frac{2sin^2\theta_w}{3sin\,2\theta_w}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Due to Eq.(10), Eqs.(3), (7) and (13) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\hat{D}^{(W)}_\mu)_{ab} = [\partial_\mu - W_{+\mu}\hat{T}^-_w - W_{-\mu}\hat{T}^+_w - (A_\mu + cot\,\theta_w Z_\mu)\hat{T}^3_w]_{ab},\\
&\hat{D}^{(V)}_\mu = D^{(V)}_\mu = \partial_\mu + (A_\mu - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu)Y_w,\\
&D^{(W,V)}_{\mu ab} = [\partial_\mu + W_{+\mu}T^-_w + W_{-\mu}T^+_w - iQ_{em}A_\mu - iQ_Z Z_\mu]_{ab},\\
&D^{(W,V,G)}_{\mu ABab} = \delta_{AB}D^{(W,V)}_{\mu ab} + \delta_{ab}(G_\mu)_{AB},\\
&D^{(V,G)}_{\mu AB} = \delta_{AB}D^{(V)}_\mu + (G_\mu)_{AB}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The Lagrangian density (1) has the following form in terms of the fields $A_\mu = e\tilde{A}_\mu$, $Z_\mu = e\tilde{Z}_\mu$, $W_{\pm\mu} = \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\tilde{W}_{\pm\mu}$ [we define the following "Abelian" field strengths: $A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu$, $\mathcal{W}_{\pm\,\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_{\pm\,\nu} - \partial_\nu W_{\pm\,\mu}$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x) = &-\frac{1}{4g_s^2}G^{\mu\nu}_A(x)G_{A\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4e^2}A^{\mu\nu}(x)A_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4e^2}Z^{\mu\nu}(x)Z_{\mu\nu}(x) -\\
&- \frac{sin^2\theta_w}{2e^2}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu}_+(x)\mathcal{W}_{-\,\mu\nu}(x) + ie[A^{\mu\nu}(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z^{\mu\nu}(x)]W_{+\,\mu}(x)W_{-\,\nu}(x) +\\
&+ ie[\mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu}_+(x)W_{-\,\mu}(x) - \mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu}_-(x)W_{+\,\mu}(x)][A_\nu(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z_\nu(x)] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{sin^2\theta_w}{2e^2}[W_+^2(x)W_-^2(x) - (W_+(x)\cdot W_-(x))^2] - \\
&- \frac{sin^2\theta_w}{e^2}[A(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z(x)]^2 W_+(x)\cdot W_-(x) + \\
&+ \frac{sin^2\theta_w}{e^2} W_+(x)\cdot[A(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z(x)] W_-(x)\cdot[A(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z(x)] + \\
&+ [(\partial_\mu + (W_{+\,\mu}(x)T_w^- + W_{-\,\mu}(x)T_w^+) - iQ_{em}A_\mu(x) - iQ_Z\,Z_\mu(x))\phi(x)]^\dagger \cdot \\
&\cdot\; [(\partial^\mu + (W_+^\mu(x)T_w^- + W_-^\mu(x)T_w^+) - iQ_{em}A^\mu(x) - iQ_Z Z^\mu(x))\phi(x)] - \\
&- \lambda(\phi^\dagger(x)\phi(x) - \phi_o^2)^2 + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + (W_{+\mu}(x)T_w^- + W_{-\mu}(x)T_w^+) - \\
&- iQ_{em}A_\mu(x) - iQ_Z Z_\mu(x)]\psi_{Li}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + (A_\mu(x) - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu(x))Y_w]\psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M_{ij}^{(l)}\psi_{Rj}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x)M_{ij}^{(l)\dagger}\frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi_{Lj}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + (W_+^\mu(x)T_w^- + W_-^\mu(x)T_w^+) - \\
&- iQ_{em}A_\mu(x) - iQ_Z Z_\mu(x) + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A]\psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + (A_\mu(x) - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu(x))Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A]\psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + (A_\mu(x) - tg\,\theta_w Z_\mu(x))Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T_s^A]\tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M_{ij}^{(q)}\psi_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)M_{ij}^{(q)\dagger}\frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi_{Lj}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\cdot\frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\phi_o}\tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)}\tilde{\psi}_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)\dagger}\frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi_{Lj}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \theta\frac{g_s}{32\pi^2}G_{A\mu\nu}(x)\,{*G}_A^{\mu\nu}(x) = \\
&= \ldots\ldots + G_{A\mu}(x)J_{sA}^\mu(x) + A_\mu(x)\tilde{j}_{(em)}^\mu(x) + Z_\mu(x)\tilde{j}_{(NC)}^\mu(x) + \\
&+ [W_{+\mu}(x)\tilde{j}_{(CC)-}^\mu(x) + W_{-\,\mu}(x)\tilde{j}_{(CC)+}^\mu],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{sA}^\mu(x) &= \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu iT_s^A\psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu iT_s^A\psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu iT_s^A\tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x), \\
\tilde{j}_{(em)}^\mu(x) &= J_{w3}^\mu(x) + J_{Y_w}^\mu(x) = \\
&= \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x)\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi_{Li}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x)\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi_{Li}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\gamma^\mu iY_w\tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) &= cot\,\theta_w\, J^{\mu}_{w3}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\, J^{\mu}_{Y_w}(x) = \\
&= \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu}Q_Z\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu}iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu}Q_Z\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu}iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu}iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \\
\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(CC)\mp}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[J^{\mu}_{w1}(x) \pm iJ^{\mu}_{w2}(x)] = \\
&= \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu}iT^{\mp}_{w}\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu}iT^{\mp}_{w}\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x), \\
&\quad [alternative\, notation\, \tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(CH)} = \tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(CC)-}, \quad \tilde{j}^{*\mu}_{(CH)} = \tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(CC)+}]. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of the spontaneous symmetry breaking with the Higgs mechanism, one can make a field-dependent SU(2) gauge transformation $U^{(\theta)}_{w}(x) = e^{\theta_a(x)\hat{T}^a_w}$, $\tilde{U}^{(\theta)}_{w}(x) = e^{\theta_a(x)T^a_w}$, to the (not renormalizable) “unitary gauge” where the original $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)$ gauge symmetry is broken to $SU(3)\times U_{em}(1)$ describing the remaining massless color and electromagnetic interactions. The explicit action of this gauge transformation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi(x) \mapsto \phi^{'}(x) = \tilde{U}^{(\theta)\,-1}_{w}(x)\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix}, \\
&W_{a\mu}(x)T^a_w \mapsto W^{'}_{a\mu}(x)T^a_w = e^{-\theta_a(x)T^a_w}(W_{b\mu}(x)T^b_w + \partial^{\mu})e^{\theta_c(x)T^c_w}, \\
&\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto \psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) = \tilde{U}^{(\theta)-1}_{w}(x)\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x), \\
&\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) = \tilde{U}^{(\theta)-1}_{w}(x)\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x). \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

III. THE LAGRANGIAN DENSITY IN THE UNITARY GAUGE

Since the Lagrangian density (1) is invariant under this field-dependent SU(2) gauge transformation, we can rewrite it in terms of the new fields $\phi^{'}$ (or H), $W^{'}_{a\mu}$, $\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}$, $\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}$, and of the not trasformed ones $G_{A\mu}$, V_{μ}, $\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}$, $\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}$. The new Lagrangian density does not depend on the three would-be Goldstone bosons $\theta_a(x)$, which are absorbed to generate the mass terms for the vector bosons $W^{'}_{\pm\mu}$, $Z^{'}_{\mu}$, but not for the electromagnetic field $A^{'}_{\mu}$ [$Z^{'}_{\mu}$ and $A^{'}_{\mu}$ are obtained from Eq.(8) with $W^{'}_{3\mu}$].

One gets

$$D^{(W',V)}_{\mu ab}\phi'_b = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}(v+H)W'_{+\mu} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\partial_\mu H + \frac{i(v+H)}{sin^2 2\theta_w} Z'_\mu] \end{pmatrix},$$

$$-\lambda[\phi'^{\dagger}\phi' - \phi_o^2]^2 = \lambda v^2 H^2 (1+\frac{H}{2v})^2 = -\frac{1}{2} m_H^2 H^2 (1+\frac{e}{2sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H)^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[D^{(W',V)}_\mu \phi']^\dagger D^{(W',V)\mu}\phi' = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H \partial^\mu H + \frac{1}{4}(v+H)^2 [W'_{+\mu} W'^{\mu}_{-} + \frac{2}{sin^2 2\theta_w} Z'_\mu Z'^{\mu}] = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H \partial^\mu H + \frac{1}{4} g_w^2 v^2 [\tilde{W}'_{+\mu} \tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{-} + \frac{1}{2cos^2\theta_w} \tilde{Z}'_\mu \tilde{Z}'^{\mu}](1+\frac{H}{v})^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H \partial^\mu H + [m_W^2 \tilde{W}'_{+\mu} \tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{-} + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 \tilde{Z}'_\mu \tilde{Z}'^{\mu}](1+\frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H)^2, \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

where ($v = \sqrt{2}\phi_o$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_H = v\sqrt{2\lambda} = 2\phi_o\sqrt{\lambda}, \qquad m_W = \frac{1}{2} v g_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_o g_w, \qquad m_Z = \frac{m_W}{cos\,\theta_w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_o\sqrt{g_w^2+g_y^2}, \\
&\Rightarrow v = \sqrt{2}\phi_o = \frac{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z}{e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}G_F}}, \qquad \lambda = \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{8 sin^2\theta_w\, m_W^2}. = \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{2 sin^2 2\theta_w m_Z^2}. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant. Therefore $g_w, g_y, \phi_o, \lambda$ are replaced by e, θ_w [or G_F], m_Z, m_H, while $m_W = m_Z cos\,\theta_w$ is a derived quantity (m_Z is known with a better accuracy); experimentally one has $\alpha^{-1} = (e^2/4\pi)^{-1} = 137.0359895 \pm 0.0000061$ [in Heaviside-Lorentz units and with $\hbar = c = 1$, so that e is adimensional], $G_F = \frac{g_w^2}{4\sqrt{2}m_W^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\phi_o^2} = (1.16639 \pm 0.00002) \times 10^{-5} GeV^{-2} \approx 1/(293 GeV)^2$, $sin^2\theta_w = 0.23$, $m_Z = (91.1884 \pm 0.0022) GeV$, $m_H > 65.1 GeV (95CL)$, so that $m_W = (80.26 \pm 0.16) GeV$ [$m_W = m_Z cos\,\theta_w$ only at the tree level; radiative corrections give a six percent contribution], $< \phi >= \phi_o = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{3/4}\sqrt{G_F}} = 246.221 GeV$, and, if $\rho = m_W^2/m_Z^2 cos^2\theta_w = 1$, $\rho - 1 = \triangle\rho = (4.1 \pm 1.55) \times 10^{-3}$.

Let us remark that the range of the electromagnetic force is infinite since the electromagnetic field remains massless; at the quantum level the renormalized electromagnetic coupling constant is $\alpha(r) = \alpha/(1 - \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} log(1 + \frac{\hbar}{m_e r c}))$ (m_e is the electron mass), so that $\alpha(r) \approx \alpha$ if r is much higher of the electron Compton wavelength ($r >> \hbar/m_e c$) and $\alpha(r) \rightarrow \infty$ if one probes distances $r \approx \frac{\hbar}{m_e c} e^{-3\pi/\alpha} \approx 10^{-300} m$. Instead for the strong color force, where $\alpha_s = g_s^2/4\pi$ is the coupling constant, the QCD renormalization gives $\alpha_s(r) = \alpha_s / \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}(11 - \frac{2}{3}N_f) log \frac{\hbar c}{\Lambda_s r}$ ($N_f = 6$ and $N_c = 3$ are the number of quark flavours and colors respectively; $\Lambda_s \approx 0.2 - 0.3 Gev$ is the hadronic color energy scale); for$N_f = 6 < 33/2$ the sign in the denominator is opposite to the electromagnetic one, so that for $r \rightarrow 0$ one has the "asymptotic freedom" of quarks $\alpha_s(r) \rightarrow 0$, while $\alpha_s(r) \rightarrow \infty$ (breakdown of QCD perturbative expansion) for $r \rightarrow R_s = \frac{\hbar c}{\Lambda_s} \approx 10^{-15} m$ (the range of strong color interactions) signalling the confinement of quarks and gluons. The range of weak interactions is determined by the Compton wavelength of the W vector boson, $R_w = \frac{\hbar}{m_W c} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-18} m.$. For comparison the distance at which the standard description of the (infinite range) gravitational interaction is supposed to break down is the Planck length $R_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G_N}{c^3}} = 1.616\ 10^{-33}\, cm.$, where G_N is the Newton constant.

After the field-dependent SU(2) gauge transformation $U_w^{(\theta)}(x)$, the gauge invariant Lagrangian density (1) becomes [remember that $A^{'}_\mu = e\tilde{A}^{'}_\mu$, $Z^{'}_\mu = e\tilde{Z}^{'}_\mu$, $W^{'}_{a\mu} = \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\tilde{W}^{'}_{a\mu}$, $V_\mu = \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}\tilde{V}_\mu$, $m_W = m_Z cos\,\theta_w$, and that $\tilde{\phi}^{'} = i\tau^2\phi^{'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} v+H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$; in the terms $-\frac{1}{4}\tilde{W}^{'\mu\nu}_a\tilde{W}^{'}_{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{V}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}$ Eqs.(8), (10) have been used]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{'}(x) = & -\frac{1}{4g_s^2}G^{\mu\nu}_A(x)G_{A\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{A}^{'\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{A}^{'}_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{Z}^{'\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{Z}^{'}_{\mu\nu}(x) - \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{W}^{'\mu\nu}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\mu\nu}(x) + \\
& + [m_W^2\tilde{W}^{'}_{+\mu}(x)\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{-}(x) + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2\tilde{Z}^{'}_\mu(x)\tilde{Z}^{'\mu}(x)](1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2 + \\
& + ie(\tilde{A}^{'\mu\nu}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu\nu}(x))\tilde{W}^{'}_{+\,\mu}(x)\tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\nu}(x) + \\
& + ie[\tilde{W}^{'\mu\nu}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\mu}(x) - \tilde{W}^{'\mu\nu}_{-}(x)\tilde{W}^{'}_{+\,\mu}(x)](\tilde{A}^{'}_\nu(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_\nu(x)) + \\
& + \frac{e^2}{2sin^2\,\theta_w}[\tilde{W}^{'\,2}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}^{'\,2}_{-}(x) - (\tilde{W}^{'}_{+}(x)\cdot\tilde{W}^{'}_{-}(x))^2] - \\
& - e^2(\tilde{A}^{'\mu}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu}(x))(\tilde{A}^{'}_\mu(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_\mu(x))\tilde{W}^{'}_{+}(x)\cdot\tilde{W}^{'}_{-}(x) + \\
& + e^2\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{+}(x)(\tilde{A}^{'}_\mu(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_\mu(x))\,\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{-}(x)(\tilde{A}^{'}_\nu(x) + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_\nu(x)) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H(x)\partial^\mu H(x) - \frac{1}{2}m_H^2 H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2 + \\
& + \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x)i\gamma^\mu[\partial_\mu + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{W}^{'}_{+\mu}(x)T^{-}_w + \tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\mu}(x)T^{+}_w) -
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x)]\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) i\gamma^{\mu}[\partial_{\mu} + e(\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x))Y_w]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) + \\
&+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)) \\
&\quad [\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(l)'}_{Lj}(x)] + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) i\gamma^{\mu}[\partial_{\mu} + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{W}'_{+\mu}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x) + G_{A\mu}(x)T^{A}_{s}]\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) i\gamma^{\mu}[\partial_{\mu} + e(\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x))Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T^{A}_{s}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) i\gamma^{\mu}[\partial_{\mu} + e(\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x))Y_w + G_{A\mu}(x)T^{A}_{s}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \\
&+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)) \\
&\quad [\bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(q)}_{ij}\psi^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj}(x)] + \\
&+ \theta \frac{g_s}{32\pi^2} G_{A\mu\nu}(x) *G^{\mu\nu}_{A}(x) = \\
&= .... + G_{A\mu}(x)J^{\mu}_{sA}(x) + e\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(em)}(x) + e\tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}[\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)-}(x) + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)+}(x)],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu}_{sA}(x) &= \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iT^{A}_{s}\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iT^{A}_{s}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iT^{A}_{s}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \\
\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(em)}(x) &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} Q_{em}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} Q_{em}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \\
\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} Q_{Z}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} Q_{Z}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \\
\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)\mp}(x) &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iT^{\mp}_{w}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x)\gamma^{\mu} iT^{\mp}_{w}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x). \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

The complete set of fermionic currents is [these equations define the currents J'^{μ}_{wa} and

$J^{\prime\mu}_{Y_w}]$

$$\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w\,a}(x) = \frac{g_w}{e} J^{\prime\mu}_{wa}(x) = \frac{1}{sin\,\theta_w} J^{\prime\mu}_{wa}(x) = \bar{\psi}^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i T^a_w \psi^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i T^a_w \psi^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x),$$

$$\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w\,1}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(CC)+}(x) + \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(CC)-}(x)], \qquad \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w\,2}(x) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}[\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(CC)+}(x) - \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(CC)-}(x)],$$

$$\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w\,3}(x) = \frac{1}{sin\,\theta_w} J^{\prime\mu}_{w3}(x) = sin\,\theta_w[sin\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(em)}(x) - cos\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(NC)}(x)] =$$

$$= \bar{\psi}^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i T^3_w \psi^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i T^3_w \psi^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x),$$

$$\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{Y_w}(x) = \frac{1}{cos\,\theta_w} J^{\prime\mu}_{Y_w}(x) = cos\,\theta_w[cos\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(em)}(x) - sin\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(NC)}(x)] =$$

$$= \bar{\psi}^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +$$

$$+\bar{\psi}^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi^{(q)^\prime}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu i Y_w \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(em)}(x) &= cos\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{Y_w}(x) + sin\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w3}(x),\\
\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(NC)}(x) &= -sin\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{Y_w}(x) + cos\,\theta_w \tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w3}(x),\\
\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{(CC)\pm}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w1}(x) \mp i\tilde{j}^{\prime\mu}_{w2}(x)]. \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

One can also present the following quartic terms in a different way:

$-e^2(\tilde{A}^{\prime\mu}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}^{\prime\mu}(x))(\tilde{A}^\prime_\mu(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}^\prime_\mu(x))\tilde{W}^\prime_+(x) \cdot \tilde{W}^\prime_-(x) + e^2\tilde{W}^{\prime\mu}_+(x)(\tilde{A}^\prime_\mu(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}^\prime_\mu(x))\,\tilde{W}^{\prime\nu}_-(x)(\tilde{A}^\prime_\nu(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}^\prime_\nu(x)) = -e^2\{\tilde{A}^{\prime 2}(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x) - \tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{A}^\prime(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x)\cdot \tilde{A}^\prime(x) + cot^2\,\theta_w(\tilde{Z}^{\prime 2}(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x) - \tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{Z}^\prime(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x)\cdot\tilde{Z}^\prime(x)) + cot\,\theta_w(2\tilde{A}^\prime(x)\cdot\tilde{Z}^\prime(x) - \tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{A}^\prime(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x)\cdot\tilde{Z}^\prime(x)) - \tilde{W}^\prime_+(x)\cdot\tilde{Z}^\prime(x)\tilde{W}^\prime_-(x)\cdot\tilde{A}^\prime(x))\}$.

It can be shown that in the unitary gauge the complex mass matrices containing the Yukawa couplings (replacing the not-gauge-invariant Dirac mass terms) can be diagonalized by means of unitary left and right matrices $[S^{(l)-1}_{L,R} = S^{(l)\dagger}_{L,R}$, $S^{(q)-1}_{L,R} = S^{(q)\dagger}_{L,R}$, $\tilde{S}^{(q)-1}_{L,R} = \tilde{S}^{(q)\dagger}_{L,R}]$

$$(1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))[\bar{\psi}^{(l)^\prime}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(l)}_{ij} \psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(l)^\prime}_{Lj}(x)] =$$

$$= (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_R^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu_R^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_L^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu_L^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix}] =$$

$$= (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))[m_e\bar{e}^{(m)}(x)e^{(m)}(x) + m_\mu\bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x)\mu^{(m)}(x) + m_\tau\bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x)\tau^{(m)}(x)]$$

$$(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))[\bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)'}(x)\cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{ij}^{(q)}\psi_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)M_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi_{Lj}^{(q)'}(x) +$$

$$+\bar{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)'}(x)\cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)}\tilde{\psi}_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x)\tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi_{Lj}^{(q)'}(x)] =$$

$$= (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_R^{(m)}(x) \\ s_R^{(m)}(x) \\ b_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}_L^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_R^{(m)}(x) \\ c_R^{(m)}(x) \\ t_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_L^{(m)}(x) \\ s_L^{(m)}(x) \\ b_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}_R^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}_R^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_L^{(m)}(x) \\ c_L^{(m)}(x) \\ t_L^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix}] =$$

$$= (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))[m_d\bar{d}^{(m)}(x)d^{(m)}(x) + m_s\bar{s}^{(m)}(x)s^{(m)}(x) + m_b\bar{b}^{(m)}(x)b^{(m)}(x) +$$

$$+m_u\bar{u}^{(m)}(x)u^{(m)}(x) + m_c\bar{c}^{(m)}(x)c^{(m)}(x) + m_t\bar{t}^{(m)}(x)t^{(m)}(x)], \tag{23}$$

where the mass eigenstates of leptons and quarks are defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_L^{(m)} \\ \mu_L^{(m)} \\ \tau_L^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = S_L^{(l)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} e_L^{'} \\ \mu_L^{'} \\ \tau_L^{'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} e_R^{(m)} \\ \mu_R^{(m)} \\ \tau_R^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = S_R^{(l)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} e_R \\ \mu_R \\ \tau_R \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL}^{(m)} \\ \nu_{\mu L}^{(m)} \\ \nu_{\tau L}^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = S_L^{(l)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL}^{'} \\ \nu_{\mu L}^{'} \\ \nu_{\tau L}^{'} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_L^{(m)} \\ s_L^{(m)} \\ b_L^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = S_L^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} d_L^{'} \\ s_L^{'} \\ b_L^{'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} d_R^{(m)} \\ s_R^{(m)} \\ b_R^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = S_R^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} d_R \\ s_R \\ b_R \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_L^{(m)} \\ c_L^{(m)} \\ t_L^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{S}_L^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} u_L^{'} \\ c_L^{'} \\ t_L^{'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} u_R^{(m)} \\ c_R^{(m)} \\ t_R^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{S}_L^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ c_R \\ t_R \end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

The parameters $m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau, m_d, m_s, m_b, m_u, m_c, m_t$ $[m_{\nu_e} = m_{\nu_\mu} = m_{\nu_\tau} = 0]$, are called lepton and "current" quark masses. For leptons they coincide with the asymptotic free (on-shell) states, which however do not exist for quarks according to the confinement hypothesis. For quarks, at the quantum level, these parameters are thought to be running with the renormalization scale, $m_q(\mu)$, usually in the $\overline{MS}$ renormalization scheme; for the light quarks u, d, s, one chooses $\mu = 1 Gev$, while for c and b one can choose $m_q = m_q(\mu = m_q)$ due to perturbative QCD (m_t is still a preliminary result). The chiral symmetry properties of u, d, s, allow to fix in a scale independent way (QCD does not feel flavour) the ratios $2m_s/(m_d + m_u) = 22.6 \pm 3.3$, $(m_d - m_u)/(m_d + m_u) = 0.25 \pm 0.04$. For heavy quarks one can define the mass m_q^{pole} associated with a perturbative quark propagator (a kinematical on-shell mass like for leptons), $m_q^{pole} = m_q(\mu = m_q^{pole})[1 + \frac{4}{3\pi}\alpha_s(m_q^{pole}) +)(\alpha_s^2)]$ (note that $m_t^{pole} - m_t(\mu = m_t) = 7 Gev$). For the study of light hadrons (bound states of quarks) one uses also the "constituent" quark masses, $m_q^{const} = m_q + \Lambda_s/c^2$, since Λ_s gives the order of magnitude of the quark kinetic energy; in this way, even if one sends to zero the current mass of u, d, s, quarks, one still has for the proton and the neutron $m_p \approx 2m_u^{const} + m_d^{const} \approx m_n$. The experimental values of the lepton and current quark masses are

$$m_e = (0.51099906 \pm 0.00000015) MeV, \qquad m_\mu = (105.658389 \pm 0.000034) MeV,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&m_\tau = (1777.0 \pm 0.3) MeV,\\
&m_{\nu_e} < 7.0 eV (95CL), \qquad m_{\nu_\mu} < 0.27 MeV (90CL), \qquad m_{\nu_\tau} < 24 MeV (95CL),\\
&m_d(1GeV) = (8.5 \pm 2.5) MeV, \qquad m_s(1GeV) = (180 \pm 25) MeV,\\
&m_b = (4.25 \pm 0.10) GeV, \qquad m_u(1Gev) = (5.0 \pm 2.5) MeV,\\
&m_c = (1.25 \pm 0.05) GeV, \qquad m_t = (175 \pm 6) GeV. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by using the mass eigenstates, the unitary gauge Lagrangian density (21) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'(x) = & -\frac{1}{4g_s^2} G_A^{\mu\nu}(x) G_{A\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{A}'^{\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{A}'_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{Z}'^{\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{Z}'_{\mu\nu}(x) - \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{W}'^{\mu\nu}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu\nu}(x) + \\
& + [m_W^2 \tilde{W}'_{+\mu}(x)\tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{-}(x) + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x)\tilde{Z}'^{\mu}(x)](1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 + \\
& + ie(\tilde{A}'^{\mu\nu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'^{\mu\nu}(x))\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu}(x)\tilde{W}'_{-\,\nu}(x) + \\
& + ie[\tilde{W}'^{\mu\nu}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}(x)\tilde{W}'^{\mu\nu}_{-}(x)\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu}(x)](\tilde{A}'_{\nu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\nu}(x)) + \\
& + \frac{e^2}{2 sin^2 \theta_w}[\tilde{W}'^{\,2}_{+}(x)\tilde{W}'^{\,2}_{-}(x) - (\tilde{W}'_{+}(x) \cdot \tilde{W}'_{-}(x))^2] - \\
& - e^2(\tilde{A}'^{\mu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'^{\mu}(x))(\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x))\tilde{W}'_{+}(x) \cdot \tilde{W}'_{-}(x) + \\
& + e^2 \tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{+}(x)(\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x))\, \tilde{W}'^{\nu}_{-}(x)(\tilde{A}'_{\nu}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \tilde{Z}'_{\nu}(x)) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H(x)\partial^\mu H(x) - \frac{1}{2} m_H^2 H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2 sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 + \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\mu^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
& \quad [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} e^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
&[i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \\ b^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ G_{A\mu}(x)J^{\mu}_{sA}(x) + e\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(em)}(x) + e\tilde{Z}'_{\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)\,-}(x) + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}(x)\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)\,+}(x)) + \\
&+ \theta\frac{g_s}{32\pi^2}G_{A\mu\nu}(x)*G^{\mu\nu}_{A}(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{26}
$$

with the electromagnetic, neutral, charge changing and strong currents defined by the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(em)}(x) = &\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} e^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \\ b^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} - \\
&- \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}^{(m)}_{e}(x) & \bar{\nu}^{(m)}_{\mu}(x) & \bar{\nu}^{(m)}_{\tau}(x) \end{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)}{sin\,2\theta_w} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^{(m)}_{e}(x) \\ \nu^{(m)}_{\mu}(x) \\ \nu^{(m)}_{\tau}(x) \end{pmatrix} +
$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \frac{(2sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2})\gamma^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5}{sin\,2\theta_w} \begin{pmatrix} e^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3}sin^2\theta_w)\gamma^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5}{sin\,2\theta_w} \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \frac{(\frac{2}{3}sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2})\gamma^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5}{sin\,2\theta_w} \begin{pmatrix} d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \\ b^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)-}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) V_{CKM} \begin{pmatrix} d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \\ b^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{j}'^{\mu}_{(CC)+}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\mu^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} e^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) V_{CKM}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J^\mu_{sA}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu i T_s^A \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x)\end{array}\right)\gamma^{\mu} i T^{A}_{s}\left(\begin{array}{c}d^{(m)}(x)\\ s^{(m)}(x)\\ b^{(m)}(x)\end{array}\right). \tag{27}$$

The neutral current $\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x)$ is also written in the alternative forms ($\sum_f$is the sum over all fermions): $\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(NC)}(x) = \frac{1}{sin\, 2\theta_w}\sum_f \bar{\psi}^{(m)}_f(x)(g^{(f)}_v\gamma^{\mu} - g^{(f)}_a\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5)\psi^{(m)}_f(x) = \sum_f \bar{\psi}^{(m)}_f(x)(v_f\gamma^{\mu} - a_f\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5)\psi^{(m)}_f(x)$, where $g_v = sin\, 2\theta_w\, v = i(T^3_w - 2sin^2\,\theta_w Q_{em})$, $g_a = sin\, 2\theta_w\, a = iT^3_w$ [the fermion assignements are: $g^{(\nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau)}_v = g^{(\nu_e,\nu_\mu,\nu_\tau)}_a = \frac{1}{2}$; $g^{(e,\mu,\tau)}_v = \frac{1}{2}(4sin^2\,\theta_w - 1)$, $g^{(e,\mu,\tau)}_a = -\frac{1}{2}$; $g^{(u,c,t)}_v = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{3}sin^2\,\theta_w)$, $g^{(u,c,t)}_a = \frac{1}{2}$; $g^{(d,s,b)}_v = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{4}{3}sin^2\,\theta_w - 1)$, $g^{(d,s,b)}_a = -\frac{1}{2}$].

In the charge-changing currents of the V-A type (V=vector γ^{μ}, A=axial-vector $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$), the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix $V_{CKM} = \tilde{S}^{(q)\dagger}_L S^{(q)}_L$ appears; it can be shown that it depends on three angles $\theta_{12} = \theta_C, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$ giving the mixing of the quarks d, s, b, of the three families $[c_{ij} = cos\,\theta_{ij} \geq 0, s_{ij} = sin\,\theta_{ij} \geq 0]$ and a complex phase $e^{i\delta_{13}}$ $[0 \leq \delta_{13} \leq 2\pi]$, unique source of the weak CP-violation observed in the K system. With only two families, only the Cabibbo angle θ_C remains, which is enough to explain the GIM mechanism (absence of flavour changing neutral currents since $\bar{d}d + \bar{s}s = \bar{d}_C d_C + \bar{s}_C s_C$ with $d_C = cos\,\theta_C d + sin\,\theta_C s$, $s_C = -sin\,\theta_C d + cos\,\theta_C s$) and the different strength of hadronic $\triangle S = 0$ and $\triangle S = \triangle Q = 1$ processes (S is the strong strangeness). One has

$$V_{CKM} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{13}}\\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & s_{23}c_{13}\\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta_{13}} & c_{23}c_{13}\end{array}\right); \tag{28}$$

the matrix of the moduli has the following form and the moduli have the following experimental range of values

$$|V_{CKM}| = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}|\\ |V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}|\\ |V_{td}| & |V_{ts}| & |V_{tb}|\end{array}\right) =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1-\rho-i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4) =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.9745\, to\, 0.9757 & 0.219\, to\, 0.224 & 0.002\, to\, 0.005 \\ 0.218\, to\, 0.224 & 0.9736\, to\, 0.9750 & 0036\, to\, 0.046 \\ 0.004\, to\, 0.014 & 0.034\, to\, 0.046 & 0.9989\, to\, 0.9993 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (29)$$

where $\lambda = |V_{us}| = 0.2205 \pm 0.0018$, $A = |V_{cb}|/\lambda^2 = 0.80 \pm 0.04$, $\sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2} = |V_{ub}|/\lambda |V_{cb}| = 0.36 \pm 0.10$; one has $s_{12} = 0.219\, to\, 0.223$, $s_{23} = 0.036\, to\, 0.046$, $s_{13} = 0.002\, to\, 0.005$.

The total number of free parameters of the standard model is 19: the nine masses (or Yukawa couplings) $m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau, m_d, m_s, m_b, m_u, m_c, m_t$; the three mixing angles $\theta_{12} = \theta_C, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}$; the phase δ_{13} [weak CP-violation]; the electromagnetic coupling α; the Weinberg angle θ_w; the vector boson mass m_Z [or m_W]; the Higgs mass m_H; the strong coupling $\alpha_s(m_Z^2)$ or the QCD scale Λ_s; the θ-angle [strong CP-violation].

The unitary gauge Lagrangian density has the following exact global (1st Noether theorem) and local (2nd Noether theorem) symmetries;

1) The global groups $U_i^{(l)}(1)$: $\psi_i^{(l)}(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha_i^{(l)}} \psi_i^{(l)}(x)$, whose conserved quantities are the lepton numbers N_i of the three lepton families. The associated conserved currents are $J^\mu_{Ni}(x) = \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)$ $[J^\mu_{N1}(x) = \bar{e}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu e^{(m)}(x) + \bar{\nu}^{(m)}_{eL}\gamma^\mu \nu^{(m)}_{eL}(x)$ and so on], $\partial_\mu J^\mu_{Ni}(x) \overset{\circ}{=} 0$, where "$\overset{\circ}{=}$" means evaluated on the equations of motion.

2) The $U_{sV}(1)$ global group [the matrix V_{CKM} mixes the quark families]: $\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha^{(q)}}\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha^{(q)}}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha^{(q)}}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, whose conserved quantity is the baryon number B. The associated conserved current is $J^\mu_B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 [\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\gamma^\mu \psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma^\mu \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)] = \bar{d}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu d^{(m)}(x) + \bar{s}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu s^{(m)}(x) + \bar{b}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu b^{(m)}(x) + \bar{u}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu u^{(m)}(x) + \bar{c}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu c^{(m)}(x) + \bar{t}^{(m)}(x)\gamma^\mu t^{(m)}(x)$

3) The local strong color group SU(3), $G_{A\mu}(x)\hat{T}^A \mapsto U_s^{-1}(x) G_{A\mu}(x) \hat{T}^A_s U_s(x) + U_s^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U_s(x)$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto U_s^{-1}(x)\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto U_s^{-1}(x)\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto U_s^{-1}(x)\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, giving the conservation of the non-Abelian SU(3) charges Q_A (improper con-

servation law from the 2nd Noether theorem and Gauss theorem). The associated conserved current is $J^{\mu}_{sA}(x)$ of Eqs.(27).

4) The local electromagnetic gauge group $U_{em}(1)$ giving the conservation of the electric charge (improper conservation law from the 2nd Noether theorem and Gauss theorem). It is called the custodial symmetry. The associated gauge transformations are $A^{'}_{\mu}(x) \mapsto A^{'}_{\mu}(x) + U^{-1}_{em}(x)\partial_{\mu}U_{em}(x)$, $\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto U^{-1}_{em}(x)\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)$, $\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto U^{-1}_{em}(x)\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \mapsto U^{-1}_{em}(x)\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)$, $\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto U^{-1}_{em}(x)\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \mapsto U^{-1}_{em}(x)\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$. As we shall see in Section VI, in the Higgs sector at each instant there is a su(2)xu(1) algebra of non conserved charges in the electroweak sector.

Moreover, the standard model has approximate global symmetries

1) Strong chiral symmetry

1a) If we put $m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$, $\theta_{13} = \theta_{23} = \delta_{13} = 0$ $(\theta_{12} = \theta_c)$, and rearrange the $u^{(m)}(x)$, $d^{(m)}(x)$, $s^{(m)}(x)$, quark fields in the triplet form $q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1(x) \\ q_2(x) \\ q_3(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix}$, the Lagrangian density (26) is invariant under the (strong interactions) global Noether transformations associated with an $U_{sV}(1) \times U_{sA}(1) \times SU_{sV}(3) \times SU_{sA}(3)$ group, whose infinitesimal form is [α_V, α_A, $\alpha_{V,\bar{A}}$, $\alpha_{A,\bar{A}}$ are the constant parameters; $\lambda^{\bar{A}}$ are the SU(3) Gell-Mann matrices in the fundamental triplet representation]

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_i(x) \mapsto q_i(x) + i\alpha_V q_i(x), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3,\\
&q_i(x) \mapsto q_i(x) + i\alpha_{V,\bar{A}}(\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2})_{ij} q_j(x), \qquad q_i(x) \mapsto e^{i[\alpha_V + \alpha_{V,\bar{A}}\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2}]} q_i(x),\\
&q_i(x) \mapsto q_i(x) + i\alpha_A \gamma_5 q_i(x), \qquad q_i(x) \mapsto e^{i\gamma_5[\alpha_A + \alpha_{A,\bar{A}}\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2}]} q_i(x),\\
&q_i(x) \mapsto q_i(x) + i\alpha_{A,\bar{A}}(\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2})_{ij} \gamma_5 q_j(x), \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

whose associated conserved Noether vector and axial-vector currents and charges are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}^{\mu}(x) = i\bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu} q(x), \qquad Q_V = \int d^3x\, \mathcal{V}^{o}(\vec{x}, x^o),\\
&\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(x) = i\bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 q(x), \qquad Q_A = \int d^3x\, \mathcal{A}^{o}(\vec{x}, x^o),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^{\mu}_{\bar{A}}(x) &= i\bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2}q(x), \qquad Q_{V,\bar{A}} = \int d^3x\, \mathcal{V}^{o}_{\bar{A}}(\vec{x}, x^o),\\
\mathcal{A}^{\mu}_{\bar{A}}(x) &= i\bar{q}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\frac{\lambda^{\bar{A}}}{2}q(x), \qquad Q_{A,\bar{A}} = \int d^3x\, \mathcal{A}^{o}_{\bar{A}}(\vec{x}, x^o).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Q_V is the part of baryon number $B = \int d^3x\, J^o_B(\vec{x}, x^o)$ containing the $u^{(m)}$, $d^{(m)}$, $s^{(m)}$, quarks, while $Q_{V,\bar{A}}$ are the global approximatively conserved (the scale of the breaking is given by m_s) Gell-Mann flavour charges of the standard quark model of hadrons. They are: strong isospin $T^a_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^a$, a=1,2,3; strong hypercharge $Y_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda^8$, strangeness $S_s = Y_s - B$, electric charge $Q_{em} = T^3_s + \frac{1}{2}Y_s$; U-spin $U^1_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^6$, $U^2_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^7$, $U^3_s = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{3}\lambda^8 - \lambda^3) = \frac{3}{4}Y_s - \frac{1}{2}T^3_s$; V-spin $V^1_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^4$, $V^2_s = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^5$, $V^3_s = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{3}\lambda^8 + \lambda^3) = \frac{3}{4}Y_s + \frac{1}{2}T^3_s$; the quark assignements are

	B	T_s	T^3_s	Q_{em}	Y_s	S_s	U_s	U^3_s	V_s	V^3_s
$u^{(m)}$	1/3	1/2	1/2	2/3	1/3	0	0	0	1/2	1/2
$d^{(m)}$	1/3	1/2	$-1/2$	$-1/3$	1/3	0	1/2	1/2	0	0
$s^{(m)}$	1/3	0	0	$-1/3$	4/3	-1	1/2	$-1/2$	1/2	$-1/2$.

Since we have $\{Q_{V,\bar{A}}, Q_{V,\bar{B}}\} = c_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{V,\bar{C}}$, $\{Q_{V,\bar{A}}, Q_{A,\bar{B}}\} = c_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{A,\bar{C}}$, $\{Q_{A,\bar{A}}, Q_{A,\bar{B}}\} = c_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{V,\bar{C}}$, we can define the left and right charges

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_R &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_V - Q_A), \qquad Q_V = Q_L + Q_R,\\
Q_L &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_V + Q_A), \qquad Q_A = Q_L - Q_R,\\
Q_{R,\bar{A}} &= \frac{1}{2}(A_{V,\bar{A}} - Q_{A,\bar{A}}), \qquad Q_{V,\bar{A}} = Q_{L,\bar{A}} + Q_{R,\bar{A}},\\
Q_{L,\bar{A}} &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_{V,\bar{A}} + Q_{A,\bar{A}}), \qquad Q_{A,\bar{A}} = Q_{L,\bar{A}} - Q_{R,\bar{A}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{Q_{R,\bar{A}}, Q_{R,\bar{B}}\} = c_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{R,\bar{C}},\\
&\{Q_{R,\bar{A}}, Q_{L,\bar{B}}\} = 0,\\
&\{Q_{L,\bar{A}}, Q_{L,\bar{B}}\} = c_{\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C}} Q_{L,\bar{C}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In this form the group $U_{sV}(1) \times U_{sA}(1) \times SU_{sV}(3) \times SU_{sA}(3)$ is replaced by the global strong chiral group $U_{sR}(1) \times U_{sL}(1) \times SU_{sR}(3) \times SU_{sL}(3)$.

At the quantum level one has:

A) The vector current $\mathcal{V}^{\mu}(x)$ is still conserved.

B) The axial-vector current $\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(x)$ is no more conserved due to the global chiral anomaly [$U_{sA}(1)$-anomaly]. On one side, this phenomenon explains the otherwise forbidden decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, but on the other side it constitutes the $U_{sA}(1)$-problem, because one cannot invoke a dynamical spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism, since the associated Goldstone boson should be the $\eta^{'}$ pseudoscalar boson, which has too big a mass. The way out seems to be topological, i.e. connected with the θ vacuum and its strong CP problem, for which there are various interpretations (existence of the axion,...).

Let us remark that with 3 colors, $N_c = 3$, there is no local $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ chiral anomaly, which would spoil the renormalizability of the standard model.

C) $SU_{sR}(3) \times SU_{sL}(3)$ is supposed to be dynamically spontaneously broken to the diagonal $SU_{sL+R}(3) = SU_{sV}(3)$ approximate flavour Gell-Mann symmetry group (valid also for $m_u = m_d = m_s \neq 0$) by the formation of a quark condensate $< \bar{q}(x)q(x) >\neq 0$ [instead a gluon condensate $\frac{g_s}{4\pi} < 0|F_{A\mu\nu}(x)F_A^{\mu\nu}(x)|0 >= \frac{g_s}{2\pi} < 0|\sum_A(\vec{B}_A^2(x) - \vec{E}_A^2(x))|0 >$ should correspond to a magnetic color configuration of the vacuum, responsible for the confinement of the electric flux between quarks and for the string tension $k \approx (450\, Mev)^2$ (the coefficient of the linear confining potential)]. This condensate of quarks pairs breaks chirality [$< 0|\bar{q}_i(x)q_i(x)|0 >\approx -(220\, Mev)^2$ for each i] with a nonperturbative dynamical mechanism (for instance Nambu-Jona Lasinio). The quark condensate dynamically generates the “constituent” mass for the quarks, much larger than the “current” mass [$m_u^{const} \approx m_d^{const} \approx 300 Mev$, $m_s^{const} \approx 450 Mev$], to be used in the quark model as an effective mass. In the limit of exact $SU_{sV}(3)$, the $SU_{sV}(3)$ octet of pseudoscalar mesons π, K, η, would be massless and would correspond to the eight Goldstone bosons associated with the spontaneous symmetry breaking.

1b) One could also put $m_u = m_d = m_s = m_c = m_b = m_t = 0$ and study the approximate $SU_{sL}(6) \times SU_{sR}(6)$ global symmetry, but it is much less interesting due to the big breaking of this symmetry measured by the value of m_t.

2) Weak chiral symmetry

If we put $m_e = m_\mu = m_\tau = 0$ and $m_u = m_d = m_s = m_c = m_b = m_t = 0$ (i.e. all the leptons and quarks are massless), one has the global Noether symmetry $SU_{wL}(2) \times SU_{wR}(2)$ which should be spontaneously broken to the weak isospin $SU_{wL+R}(2) = SU_w(2)$ global custodial symmetry. See Ref. [19].

3) Heavy quark symmetry: for this approximate symmetry see Ref. [20].

IV. EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS AND CONSTRAINTS FROM $\mathcal{L}(X)$

The Euler-Lagrange equations deriving from the Lagrangian density (1) are [$V(\phi) = \lambda(\phi^\dagger\phi - \phi_o^2)^2$ is the Higgs potential]

$$L^{(G)\,\mu}_A = g_s^2 (\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial G_{A\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\nu G_{A\mu}}) = \hat{D}^{(G)}_{\nu AB} G^{\nu\mu}_B + g_s^2 J^\mu_{sA} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{\ \mu}_{\ \ sA} &= i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \psi^{(q)}_{Li} + i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + i\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri},\\
L^{(W)\,\mu}_a &= g_w^2 (\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{a\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\nu W_{a\mu}}) = \hat{D}^{(W)}_{\nu ab} W^{\nu\mu}_b + g_w^2 \hat{J}^\mu_{wa} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}^{\ \mu}_{\ \ wa} &= i\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu T^a_w \psi^{(l)}_{Li} + i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu T^a_w \psi^{(q)}_{Li} -\\
&- \phi^\dagger [T^a_w D^{(W,V)\mu} - \overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\mu\dagger} T^a_w]\phi =\\
&= J^\mu_{wa} - \phi^\dagger [T^a_w D^{(W,V)\mu} - \overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\mu\dagger} T^a_w]\phi,\\
L^{(V)\,\mu} &= g_y^2 (\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial V_\mu} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\nu V_\mu}) = \partial_\nu V^{\nu\mu} + g_y^2 \hat{J}^\mu_{Y_w} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}^{\ \mu}_{\ \ Y_w} &= i\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Y_w \psi^{(l)}_{Li} + i\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu Y_w \psi^{(l)}_{Ri} + i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Y_w \psi^{(q)}_{Li} + i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu Y_w \psi^{(q)}_{Ri} +\\
&+ i\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu Y_w \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} - \phi^\dagger [Y_w D^{(W,V)\mu} - \overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\mu\dagger} Y_w]\phi =\\
&= J^\mu_{Y_w} - \phi^\dagger [Y_w D^{(W,V)\mu} - \overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\mu\dagger} Y_w]\phi,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\phi a} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_a} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi_a} = -[D^{(W,V)\mu} D^{(W,V)}_\mu \phi]^\dagger_a - \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_a} +\\
&+ \frac{1}{\phi_o}[\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Lia} M^{(l)}_{ij} \psi^{(l)}_{Rj} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lia} M^{(q)}_{ij} \psi^{(q)}_{Rj} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri} \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} (2iT^3_w)_{ab} \psi^{(q)}_{Ljb}] \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{\phi^* a} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^*_a} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi^*_a} = -[D^{(W,V)\mu} D^{(W,V)}_\mu \phi]_a - \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi^*_a} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\phi_o}[\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri} M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \psi^{(l)}_{Lia} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \psi^{(q)}_{Lja} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lib}(2iT^3_w)_{ba} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}] \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\psi Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{(l)}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Li}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}[i(\partial_\mu - W_{a\mu} \overset{\leftarrow}{T}{}^a_w - V_\mu Y_w)\gamma^\mu] - \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Rj} M^{(l)\dagger}_{ji} \frac{\phi^\dagger}{\phi_o} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + W_{a\mu} T^a_w) + V_\mu Y_w] \psi^{(l)}_{Li} - \frac{\phi}{\phi_o} M^{(l)}_{ij} \psi^{(l)}_{Rj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\psi Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}[i(\partial_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{V}_\mu Y_w)\gamma^\mu] - \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Lj} \cdot \frac{\phi}{\phi_o} M^{(l)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w)] \psi^{(l)}_{Ri} - M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\phi^\dagger}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi^{(l)}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\psi Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{(q)}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(q)}_{Li}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}[i(\partial_\mu - W_{a\mu} T^a_w - \overset{\leftarrow}{V}_\mu Y_w - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] -$$

$$- \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj} M^{(q)\dagger}_{ji} \frac{\phi^\dagger}{\phi_o} - \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Rj} \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ji} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger}{\phi_o} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + W_{a\mu} T^a_w + V_\mu Y_w + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)] \psi^{(q)}_{Li} -$$

$$- \frac{\phi}{\phi_o} M^{(q)}_{ij} \psi^{(q)}_{Rj} - \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi_o} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\psi Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(q)} Ri[i(\partial_\mu - V_\mu \overset{\leftarrow}{Y}_w - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] - \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lj} \cdot \frac{\phi}{\phi_o} M^{(q)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)] \psi^{(q)}_{Ri} - M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\phi^\dagger}{\phi_o} \psi^{(q)}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\tilde{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}[i(\partial_\mu - V_\mu \overset{\leftarrow}{Y}_w - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] - \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lj} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\phi_o} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde{\psi}} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + V_\mu Y_w + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)] \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} - \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi^{(q)}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{A})\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \tilde{A}_\mu} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\nu \tilde{A}_\mu} = \partial_\nu \tilde{A}^{\nu\mu} - 2ie\partial_\nu(\tilde{W}^\mu_+ \tilde{W}^\nu_- - \tilde{W}^\nu_+ \tilde{W}^\mu_-) +$$

$$+ ie(\tilde{W}_{-\nu} \tilde{W}^{\nu\mu}_+ - \tilde{W}_{+\nu} \tilde{W}^{\nu\mu}_-) - 2e^2 \tilde{W}_+ \cdot \tilde{W}_-(\tilde{A}^\mu + \cot\theta_w \tilde{Z}^\mu) +$$

$$+ e^2(\tilde{W}^\mu_+ \tilde{W}^\nu_- + \tilde{W}^\mu_- \tilde{W}^\nu_+)(\tilde{A}_\nu + \cot\theta_w \tilde{Z}_\nu) +$$

$$+ ie\{[Q_{em}\phi(x)]^\dagger \cdot [(\partial^\mu + g_w(\tilde{W}^\mu_+(x) T^-_w + \tilde{W}^\mu_-(x) T^+_w) -$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)] - \\
&- [(\partial^{\mu} + g_{w}(\tilde{W}^{\mu}_{+}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{-}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)]^{\dagger} \cdot [Q_{em}\phi(x)]\} + \\
&+ e\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(em)} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\ \mu}{}_{(em)} &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{em}\psi^{(l)}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{em}\psi^{(q)}_{Li} + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}, \\
\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{Z})\mu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\tilde{Z}_{\mu}} - \partial_{\nu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_{\nu}\tilde{Z}_{\mu}} = \partial_{\nu}\tilde{Z}'^{\nu\mu} - 2iecot\,\theta_{w}\partial_{\nu}(\tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}'^{\nu}_{-} - \tilde{W}'^{\nu}_{+}\tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{-}) + \\
&+ ie\{[Q_{Z}\phi(x)]^{\dagger} \cdot [(\partial^{\mu} + g_{w}(\tilde{W}^{\mu}_{+}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{-}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)] - \\
&- [(\partial^{\mu} + g_{w}(\tilde{W}^{\mu}_{+}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{-}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - \\
&- ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)]^{\dagger} \cdot [Q_{Z}\phi(x)]\} + \\
&+ iecot\,\theta_{w}(\tilde{W}'_{-\nu}\tilde{W}'^{\nu\mu}_{+} - \tilde{W}'_{+\nu}\tilde{W}'^{\nu\mu}_{-}) - 2e^{2}cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{W}'_{+} \cdot \tilde{W}'_{-}(\tilde{A}'^{\mu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}'^{\mu}) + \\
&+ e^{2}cot\,\theta_{w}(\tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}'^{\nu}_{-} + \tilde{W}'^{\mu}_{-}\tilde{W}'^{\nu}_{+})(\tilde{A}'_{\nu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}'_{\nu}) + e\tilde{j}^{\mu}_{(NC)} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\ \mu}{}_{(NC)} &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{Z}\psi^{(l)}_{Li} - tg\,\theta_{w}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{Z}\psi^{(q)}_{Li} - \\
&- tg\,\theta_{w}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} - tg\,\theta_{w}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^{\mu}iY_{w}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}, \\
\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})\mu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\tilde{W}_{\pm\mu}} - \partial_{\nu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_{\nu}\tilde{W}_{\pm\mu}} = \partial_{\nu}\tilde{W}^{\nu\mu}_{\mp} + \\
&+ g_{w}\{[T^{\mp}_{w}\phi(x)]^{\dagger} \cdot [(\partial^{\mu} + g_{w}(\tilde{W}^{\mu}_{+}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{-}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)] + \\
&+ [(\partial^{\mu} + g_{w}(\tilde{W}^{\mu}_{+}(x)T^{-}_{w} + \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{-}(x)T^{+}_{w}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}^{\mu}(x) - ieQ_{Z}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}(x))\phi(x)]^{\dagger} \cdot [T^{\mp}_{w}\phi(x)]\} + \\
&+ ie\partial_{\nu}[\tilde{W}^{\nu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}^{\mu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}^{\mu}) - \tilde{W}^{\mu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}^{\nu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}^{\nu})] - \\
&- ie[(\tilde{A}^{\mu\nu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}^{\mu\nu})\tilde{W}_{\mp\nu} - \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}_{\nu} + cot\,\theta_{w}\tilde{Z}_{\nu})] +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}[\tilde{W}^\mu_\pm \tilde{W}^2_\mp - \tilde{W}^\mu_\mp \tilde{W}_+ \cdot \tilde{W}_-] - e^2 \tilde{W}^\mu_\mp (\tilde{A} + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z})^2 +$$
$$+ e^2(\tilde{A}^\mu + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}^\mu)\tilde{W}_\mp \cdot (\tilde{A} + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}) + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\tilde{j}^\mu_{(CC)\mp} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$\tilde{j}^{\;\mu}_{\;\;(CC)\mp} = \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu i T^\mp_w \psi^{(l)}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu i T^\mp_w \psi^{(q)}_{Li}. \tag{33}$$

In the last lines we added the Euler-Lagrange equations for $\tilde{A}_\mu = e^{-1}A_\mu$, $\tilde{Z}_\mu = e^{-1}Z_\mu$, $\tilde{W}_{\pm\mu} = e^{-1}sin\,\theta_w W_{\pm\mu}$, obtained from Eq. (17).

The canonical momenta implied by the Lagrangian density (1) are

$$\pi^{(G)o}_A(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o G_{Ao}(x)} = 0,$$
$$\pi^{(G)k}_A(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o G_{Ak}(x)} = -g_s^{-2} G^{ok}_A(x) = g_s^{-2} E^{(G)k}_A(x),$$
$$\pi^{(W)o}_a(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o W_{ao}(x)} = 0,$$
$$\pi^{(W)k}_a(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o W_{ak}(x)} = -g_w^{-2} W^{ok}_a(x) = g_w^{-2} E^{(W)k}_a(x),$$
$$\pi^{(V)o}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o V_o(x)} = 0,$$
$$\pi^{(V)k}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o V_k(x)} = -g_y^{-2} V^{ok}(x) = g_y^{-2} E^{(V)k}(x),$$

$$\pi_{\phi\,a}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \phi_a(x)} = [D^{(W,V)}_o \phi(x)]^\dagger_a,$$
$$\pi_{\phi^\dagger\,a}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \phi^*_a(x)} = [D^{(W,V)}_o \phi(x)]_a,$$

$$\pi^{(l)}_{\psi Lia\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \psi^{(l)}_{Lia\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\gamma_o)_{a\alpha},$$
$$\pi^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Lia\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Lia\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_o \psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x))_{a\alpha},$$
$$\pi^{(l)}_{\psi Ri\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \psi^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma_o)_{\alpha},$$
$$\pi^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_o \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x))_{\alpha},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(q)}_{\psi LiAa\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \psi^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\gamma_o)_{Aa\alpha},\\
\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} LiAa\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_o \psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x))_{Aa\alpha},\\
\pi^{(q)}_{\psi RiA\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma_o)_{A\alpha},\\
\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} RiA\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_o \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x))_{A\alpha},\\
\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde{\psi}} RiA\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\gamma_o)_{A\alpha},\\
\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde{\psi}} RiA\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial \partial_o \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma_o \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x))_{A\alpha}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

They satisfy the standard Poisson brackets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{G_{A\mu}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(G)\nu}_{B}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta_{AB}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{W_{a\mu}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(W)\nu}_{b}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta_{ab}\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{V_{\mu}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(V)\nu}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\phi_a(\vec{x},x^o), \pi_{\phi\, b}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \{\phi^*_a(\vec{x},x^o), \pi_{\phi^\dagger\, b}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta_{ab}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{H(\vec{x},x^o), \pi_{H}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\theta_a(\vec{x},x^o), \pi_{\theta\, b}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \delta_{ab}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\psi^{(l)}_{Lia\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(l)}_{\psi Ljb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \{\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Lia\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Ljb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} =\\
&= -\delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(l)}_{\psi Rj\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \{\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Rj\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} =\\
&= -\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(q)}_{\psi LjBb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \{\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} LjBb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} =\\
&= \delta_{ij}\delta_{AB}\delta_{ab}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(q)}_{\psi RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \{\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\} =\\
&= \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\{\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\pi^{(q)}_{\tilde{\psi}RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=\{\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde{\psi}}RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=$$
$$=\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}). \qquad (35)$$

All the fermionic momenta generate second class constraints of the type $\pi_\psi(x)+\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_o)\approx 0$, $\pi_{\bar{\psi}}(x)+\frac{i}{2}\gamma_o\psi(x)\approx 0$, which are eliminated [3] by going to Dirac brackets; then the surviving variables $\psi(x),\bar{\psi}(x)$ satisfy (for the sake of simplicity we still use the notation $\{.,.\}$ for the Dirac brackets)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\psi^{(l)}_{Lia\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ljb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=-i\delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}(\gamma^o)_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Rj\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=-i\delta_{ij}(\gamma^o)_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{LiAa\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{LjBb\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=-i\delta_{ij}\delta_{AB}\delta_{ab}(\gamma^o)_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=-i\delta_{ij}\delta_{AB}(\gamma^o)_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o),\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{RjB\beta}(\vec{y},x^o)\}=-i\delta_{ij}\delta_{AB}(\gamma^o)_{\alpha\beta}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}). \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

The resulting Dirac Hamiltonian density is [after allowed integrations by parts; $\lambda^{(G)}_{Ao}(x),\lambda^{(W)}_{ao}(x),\lambda^{(V)}_o(x)$, are Dirac multipliers; $B^{(G)k}_A(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}G^{ij}_A(x)$, $B^{(W)k}_a(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}W^{ij}_a(x)$, $B^{(V)k}(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kij}V^{ij}(x)$ are the magnetic fields for the corresponding interactions; $\vec{\alpha}=\gamma^o\vec{\gamma}$, $\beta=\gamma^o$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_D(x)=&\ \frac{1}{2}\sum_A[g_s^2\vec{\pi}^{(G)2}_A(x)+g_s^{-2}\vec{B}^{(G)\,2}_A(x)]+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_a[g_w^2\vec{\pi}^{(W)\,2}_a(x)+g_w^{-2}\vec{B}^{(W)\,2}_a(x)]+\frac{1}{2}[g_y^2\vec{\pi}^{(V)\,2}(x)+\vec{B}^{(V)\,2}(x)]+\\
&+\pi_\phi(x)\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x)+[\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(x)]^\dagger\cdot[\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(x)]+\lambda(\phi^\dagger(x)\phi(x)-\phi_o^2)^2+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)[\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial}+\vec{W}_a(x)T^a_w+\vec{V}(x)Y_w)-(\vec{\partial}-\vec{W}_a(x)\overset{\leftarrow}{T^a_w}-\vec{V}(x)Y_w)\cdot\vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial}+\vec{V}(x)Y_w)-(\vec{\partial}-\overset{\leftarrow}{\vec{V}}(x)Y_w)\cdot\vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)-\\
&-\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x)-\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\phi^\dagger}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(l)}_{Lj}(x)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)[\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial}+\vec{W}_a(x)T^a_w+\vec{V}(x)Y_w+\vec{G}_A(x)T^a_s)-\\
&-(\vec{\partial}-\vec{W}_a(x)T^a_w-\overset{\leftarrow}{\vec{V}}(x)Y_w-\vec{G}_A(x)T^a_s)\cdot\vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial}+\vec{V}(x)Y_w+\vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s)-(\vec{\partial}-\vec{V}(x)\overset{\leftarrow}{Y_w}-\vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s)\cdot\vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial}+\vec{V}(x)Y_w+\vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s)-(\vec{\partial}-\vec{V}(x)Y_w\overset{\leftarrow}{\,}-\vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s)\cdot\vec{\alpha}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)- \\
&- \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M^{(q)}_{ij}\psi^{(q)}_{Rj}(x)-\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)- \\
&- \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\phi_o}\tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(x)-\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)- \\
&- G_{Ao}(x)[-\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_B(x)+i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^A_s\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+ \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)T^A_s\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+i\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)T^A_s\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)]- \\
&- W_{ao}(x)[-\hat{\vec{D}}^{(W)}_{ab}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_b(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^a_w\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^a_w\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)- \\
&- (\pi_\phi(x)T^a_w\phi(x)-\phi^\dagger(x)T^a_w\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x))]- \\
&- V_o(x)[-\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Y_w\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)+ \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Y_w\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+i\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)- \\
&- (\pi_\phi(x)Y_w\phi(x)-\phi^\dagger(x)Y_w\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x))]- \\
&- \theta\frac{g_s^3}{8\pi^2}\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x)\cdot\vec{B}^{(G)}_A(x)+ \\
&+ \lambda_{Ao}(x)\pi^{(G)o}_A(x)+\lambda_{ao}(x)\pi^{(W)o}_a(x)+\lambda^{(V)}_o(x)\pi^{(V)o}(x). \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get $\lambda_{Ao}(x)\overset{\circ}{=}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^o}G_{Ao}(x), \lambda_{a)}(x)\overset{\circ}{=}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^o}W_{ao}(x), \lambda_o(x)\overset{\circ}{=}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^o}V_o(x)$.

The time constancy of the primary constraints $\pi^{(G)o}_A(x)\approx 0$, $\pi^{(W)o}_a(x)\approx 0, \pi^{(V)o}(x)\approx 0$, yields the Gauss law secondary constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) &= g_s^{-2}L^{(G)o}_A(x) = -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x)-c_{ABC}\vec{G}_B(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_C(x)+ \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^A_s\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)T^A_s\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+i\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)T^A_s\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)= \\
&= -\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_B(x)+J^o_{sA}(x)\approx 0, \\
\Gamma^{(W)}_a(x) &= g_w^{-2}L^{(W)o}_a(x) = -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_a(x)-\epsilon_{abc}\vec{W}_b(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_c(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^a_w\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)+ \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)T^a_w\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)-(\pi_\phi(x)T^a_w\phi(x)-\phi^\dagger(x)T^a_w\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x))= \\
&= -\hat{\vec{D}}^{(W)}_{ab}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_b(x)-(\pi_\phi(x)T^a_w\phi(x)-\phi^\dagger(x)T^a_w\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x))+J^o_{wa}(x)\approx 0, \\
\Gamma^{(V)}(x) &= g_y^{-2}L^{(V)o}(x) = -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Y_w\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)+ \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Y_w\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+i\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)Y_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)- \\
&- (\pi_\phi(x)Y_w\phi(x)-\phi^\dagger(x)Y_w\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x))=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= -\vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x) - (\pi_\phi(x) Y_w \phi(x) - \phi^\dagger(x) Y_w \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x)) + J^o_{Y_w}(x) \approx 0. \qquad (38)$$

The secondary constraints are constants of the motion and the 16+6+2=24 primary and secondary constraints are all first class with the only nonvanishing Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\Gamma^{(G)}_A(\vec{x}, x^o), \Gamma^{(G)}_B(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = c_{ABC} \Gamma^{(G)}_C(\vec{x}, x^o) \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}),\\
&\{\Gamma^{(W)}_a(\vec{x}, x^o), \Gamma^{(W)}_b(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = \epsilon_{abc} \Gamma^{(W)}_c(\vec{x}, x^o) \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us make a digression on the choice of the boundary conditions on the various fields. The conserved energy-momentum and angular momentum tensor densities and Poincaré generators are [$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, $\sigma^i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^{jk}$; $D^{(.)\dagger}_\mu$ are defined as in Eq.(13) with a change of sign in the fields]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x) &= \Theta^{\nu\mu}(x) = g_s^{-2}(G^{\mu\alpha}_A(x) G_{A\alpha}{}^\nu(x) + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu} G^{\alpha\beta}_A(x) G_{A\alpha\beta}(x)) +\\
&+ g_w^{-2}(W^{\mu\alpha}_a(x) W_{a\alpha}{}^\nu(x) + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu} W^{\alpha\beta}_a(x) W_{a\alpha\beta}(x)) +\\
&+ g_y^{-2}(V^{\mu\alpha}(x) V_\alpha{}^\nu(x) + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu} V^{\alpha\beta}(x) V_{\alpha\beta}(x)) +\\
&+ [D^{(W,V)\mu}\phi(x)]^\dagger\, [D^{(W,V)\nu}\phi(x)] + [D^{(W,V)\nu}\phi(x)]^\dagger [D^{(W,V)\mu}\phi(x)] -\\
&- \eta^{\mu\nu}[\, [D^{(W,V)\alpha}\phi(x)]^\dagger [D^{(W,V)}{}_\alpha \phi(x)] - V(\phi)] +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)[\gamma^\mu D^{(W,V)\nu} - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(W,V)\dagger\nu}\gamma^\mu]\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)[\gamma^\mu D^{(V)\nu} - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V)\dagger\nu}\gamma^\mu]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +\\
&- \eta^{\mu\nu}[\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) \cdot \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o} M^{(l)}_{ij} \psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi^{(l)}_{Lj}(x)] +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)[\gamma^\mu D^{(W,V,G)\nu} - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(W,V,G)\dagger\nu}\gamma^\mu]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)[\gamma^\mu D^{(V,G)\nu} - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V,G)\dagger\nu}\gamma^\mu]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)[\gamma^\mu D^{(V,G)\nu} - \overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V,G)\dagger\nu}\gamma^\mu]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) +\\
&- \eta^{\mu\nu}[\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \cdot \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o} M^{(q)}_{ij} \psi^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)] +\\
&- \eta^{\mu\nu}[\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) \cdot \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\phi_o} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o} \cdot \psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)],\\
\mathcal{M}^{\mu\alpha\beta}(x) &= x^\alpha \Theta^{\mu\beta}(x) - x^\beta \Theta^{\mu\alpha}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)(\gamma^\mu \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu)\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)(\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}+\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu)\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)+\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)(\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}+\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu)\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x)+\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)(\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}+\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu)\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)+\frac{1}{4}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)(\gamma^\mu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}+\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu)\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\partial_\nu\Theta^{\nu\mu}(x)\overset{\circ}{=}0,\qquad \partial_\mu\mathcal{M}^{\mu\alpha\beta}(x)\overset{\circ}{=}0,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^\mu &= \int d^3x\Theta^{o\mu}(\vec{x},x^o),\\
J^{\mu\nu} &= \int d^3x\mathcal{M}^{o\mu\nu}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^o &= \int d^3x\,\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_A[g_s^2\vec{\pi}^{(G)2}_A(\vec{x},x^o)+g_s^{-2}\vec{B}^{(G)2}_A(\vec{x},x^o)]+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_a[g_w^2\vec{\pi}^{(W)2}_a(\vec{x},x^o)+g_w^{-2}\vec{B}^{(W)2}_a(\vec{x},x^o)]+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}[g_y^2\vec{\pi}^{(V)2}(\vec{x},x^o)+g_y^{-2}\vec{B}^{(V)2}(\vec{x},x^o)]+\\
&+\pi_\phi(\vec{x},x^o)\cdot\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\vec{x},x^o)+[\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(\vec{x},x^o)]^\dagger\cdot[\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(\vec{x},x^o)]+V(\phi(\vec{x},x^o))+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(W,V)o}-\overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(W,V)\dagger\,o}]\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V)o}-\overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V)\dagger\,o}]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x)+\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x)M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(l)}_{Lj}(x)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(W,V,G)o}-\overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(W,V,G)\dagger\,o}]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V,G)o}-\overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V,G)\dagger\,o}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V,G)o}-\overset{\leftarrow}{D}^{(V,G)\dagger\,o}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\phi(x)}{\phi_o}M^{(q)}_{ij}\psi^{(q)}_{Rj}(x)+\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\phi^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)]+\\
&+\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x)\cdot\frac{\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\phi_o}\tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(x)+\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\frac{\tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x)}{\phi_o}\cdot\psi^{(q)}_{Lj}(x)\},\\
P^i &= \int d^3x\,\{(\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o))^i+(\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o))^i+\\
&+(\vec{\pi}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o))^i+\\
&+\pi_\phi(\vec{x},x^o)D^{(W,V)i}\phi(\vec{x},x^o)+[D^{(W,V)i}\phi(\vec{x},x^o)]^\dagger\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\vec{x},x^o)+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(W,V)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\dagger i}]\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V)\dagger i}]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(W,V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V,G)\dagger i}]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V,G)\dagger i}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[D^{(V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V,G)\dagger i}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\},\\
J^i=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}J^{jk}=&\int d^3x\,\{[\vec{x}\times(\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o))]^i+\\
&+[\vec{x}\times(\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o))]^i+\\
&+[\vec{x}\times(\vec{\pi}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o)\times\vec{B}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o))]^i-\\
&+[\vec{x}\times(\pi_\phi(\vec{x},x^o)\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(\vec{x},x^o)+(\vec{D}^{(W,V)}\phi(\vec{x},x^o))^\dagger\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(\vec{x},x^o))]^i+\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[\vec{x}\times(D^{(W,V)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V)\dagger i})]\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[\vec{x}\times(D^{(V)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V)\dagger i})]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)[\vec{x}\times(D^{(W,V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(W,V,G)\dagger i})]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[\vec{x}\times(D^{(V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V,G)\dagger i})]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)[\vec{x}\times(D^{(V,G)i}+\overleftarrow{D}^{(V,G)\dagger i})]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\sigma^i\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\sigma^i\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)\sigma^i\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o)+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\sigma^i\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\sigma^i\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o)\},\\
K^i=J^{oi}=&\,x^oP^i-\int d^3x\,x^i\Theta^{oo}(\vec{x},x^o).
\end{aligned}
\tag{40}
$$

Now, following Ref. [3], we will assume the following not-Lorentz-invariant phase-space oriented boundary conditions for $r=|\,\vec{x}\,|\rightarrow\infty$, implying that the ten Poincaré generators are finite [these boundary conditions are natural for the covariantization of the theory by reformulating it on spacelike hypersurfaces [3,4,1]; see the comments in the Introduction]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_{A0}(\vec{x},x^o),\quad W_{ao}(\vec{x},x^o),\quad V_o(\vec{x},x^o)\;\rightarrow\frac{const.}{r^{1+\epsilon}}+O(r^{-2}),\\
&\pi^{(G)\,o}(\vec{x},x^o),\quad \pi^{(W)\,o}(\vec{x},x^o),\quad \pi^{(V)\,o}(\vec{x},x^o)\;\rightarrow\frac{const.}{r^{1+\epsilon}}+O(r^{-2}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{G}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{W}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{V}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-3}),\\
&\Rightarrow \vec{B}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{B}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{B}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{3+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-4}),\\
&\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{\pi}^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-3}),\\
&\lambda_{Ao}(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \lambda_{ao}(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \lambda_o(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{1+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-2}),\\
&\pi^{(G)\,o}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \pi^{(W)\,o}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \pi^{(V)\,o}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{1+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-2}),\\
&U_s(\vec{x},x^o), \quad U_w(\vec{x},x^o), \quad U_y(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow U_{s,w,y,\infty} + O(r^{-1}), \qquad U_{s,w,y,\infty} = const.,\\
&\vec{\partial} U_s(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{\partial} U_w(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \vec{\partial} U_y(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-3}),\\
&\partial^o U_s(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \partial^o U_w(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \partial^o U_y(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{1+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-2}),\\
&\phi(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow const. + \frac{const.}{r^{2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-3}), \quad \Rightarrow \phi(x) = \phi_o \, allowed,\\
&\pi_\phi(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-3}),\\
&\psi(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{3/2+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-2}), \quad \psi = \psi^{(l)}_{Li}, \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}, \psi^{(q)}_{Li}, \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}, \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri},\\
&\Rightarrow \Gamma^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \Gamma^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \Gamma^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{3+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-4}),\\
&\Rightarrow \alpha^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \alpha^{(W)}_a(\vec{x},x^o), \quad \alpha^{(V)}(\vec{x},x^o) \rightarrow \frac{const.}{r^{3+\epsilon}} + O(r^{-4}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

In the last line of the previous equations we have denoted with $\alpha^{(G)}_A(x), \alpha^{(W)}_a(x), \alpha^{(V)}(x)$, the parameters of the infinitesimal gauge transformations generated by the first class constraints $\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) \approx 0, \Gamma^{(W)}_a(x) \approx 0, \Gamma^{(V)}(x) \approx 0$; as shown in Ref. [3], they must have the same boundary conditions as the Gauss laws.

With these angle-independent limits for $r \rightarrow \infty$, the non-Abelian color charges (see Ref. [3]) transform covariantly under the gauge transformations, which in turn preserve the boundary conditions on the fields. We have assumed the same boundary conditions of the strong interactions also for the electroweak ones, so that also the (unbroken) non-abelian $SU(2) \times U(1)$ charges transform covariantly under gauge transformations. Moreover, with some refining of these boundary conditions (see Ref. [3]), one can avoid any form of Gribov ambiguity for all the interactions.

Let us now reformulate the Hamiltonian theory in terms of the fields H(x), $\theta_a(x)$, $A_\mu(x)$, $Z_\mu(x)$, $W_{\pm\mu}(x)$ [see Eq.(17)] , rather than in terms of the fields $\phi_a(x)$, $V_\mu(x)$, $W_{a\mu}(x)$.

By using Eqs.(5), (7), (8), (10), (18), the formula $e^{-\theta_b T^b_w} T^a_w e^{\theta_c T^c_w} = T^c_w (e^{\theta_b \hat{T}^b_w})_{ca}$ $[(\hat{T}^c_w)_{ab} = \epsilon_{abc}$; see Ref. [3]], the identities $\tau^a\tau^b = \delta_{ab} + i\epsilon_{abc}\tau^c$, $\tau^c\tau^a\tau^d = i\epsilon_{adc} + \delta_{ad}\tau^c + \delta_{ac}\tau^d - \delta_{cd}\tau^a$, $\tau^c\tau^a\tau^b - \tau^a\tau^b\tau^c = 2(i\epsilon_{abc} + \delta_{ac}\tau^b - \delta_{bc}\tau^a)$, $\tau^c\tau^a\tau^b\tau^d = \delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} + i\epsilon_{abc}\tau^d + i(\delta_{ab}\epsilon_{cde} + \delta_{ac}\epsilon_{bde} - \delta_{bc}\epsilon_{ade})\tau^e$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau^a \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\delta_{a3}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau^a\tau^b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{ab}$, and $e^{\theta_b T^b_w} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta \hat{n}_b \tau^b} = cos\,\frac{\theta}{2} - isin\,\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_b\tau^b$ [with $\sum_b \hat{n}^2_b = 1$, $\theta = \sqrt{\theta^2_1 + \theta^2_2 + \theta^2_3}$, $\hat{n}_a = \theta_a/\theta$, $\partial\theta/\partial\theta_a = \hat{n}_a$, $\partial\hat{n}_a/\partial\theta_b = \frac{1}{\theta}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a\hat{n}_b)$], we get (see also II) with $Y_w \phi(x) = -\frac{i}{2}\phi(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{'}_{a\mu}(x) T^a_w &= e^{-\theta_b(x) T^b_w} [W_{a\mu}(x) T^a_w + \partial_\mu] e^{\theta_c(x) T^c_w} = \\
&= (\, [cos\theta(x)\delta_{ab} + 2sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) + sin\theta(x)\epsilon_{abc}\hat{n}_c(x)] W_{b\mu}(x) + \\
&+ [\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{sin\theta(x)}{\theta(x)}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x)) + \\
&+ \frac{2}{\theta(x)} sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\hat{n}_c(x)]\partial_\mu\theta_b(x)\,) T^a_w = \\
&= (\, [cos\,\theta(x)\delta_{a3} + 2sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_a\hat{n}_3 + sin\,\theta(x)\epsilon_{a3c}\hat{n}_c(x)](A_\mu(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z_\mu(x)) + \\
&+ [cos\,\theta(x)\frac{\delta_{a1} + i\delta_{a2}}{\sqrt{2}} + 2sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_-(x) + \\
&+ \frac{sin\,\theta(x)}{\sqrt{2}}(\epsilon_{a1c} + i\epsilon_{a2c})\hat{n}_c(x)] W_{+\mu}(x) + \\
&+ [cos\,\theta(x)\frac{\delta_{a1} - i\delta_{a2}}{\sqrt{2}} + 2sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_+(x) + \\
&+ \frac{sin\,\theta(x)}{\sqrt{2}}(\epsilon_{a1c} - i\epsilon_{a2c})\hat{n}_c(x)] W_{-\mu}(x) + \\
&+ [\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{sin\,\theta(x)}{\theta(x)}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x)) + \\
&+ \frac{2}{\theta^2(x)} sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\epsilon_{abc}\hat{n}_c(x)]\partial_\mu\theta_b(x)\,) T^a_w,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^{(W,V)}_\mu \phi(x) = \\
&= e^{\theta_d(x) T^d_w} \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_\mu H(x) - \frac{i}{2}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H(x))[V_\mu(x) + W^{'}_{a\mu}(x)\tau^a] \} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[D_\mu^{(W,V)}\phi(x)]^\dagger = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_\mu H(x) + \frac{i}{2}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x))[V_\mu(x) + W^{'}_{a\mu}(x)\tau^a]\} e^{-\theta_d(x)T^d_w},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[D_\mu^{(W,V)}\phi(x)]^\dagger[D^{(W,V)\mu}\phi(x)] - \lambda(\phi^\dagger(x)\phi(x) - \phi_o^2)^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H(x)\partial^\mu H(x) - \frac{1}{2}m_H^2 H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2 + \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x))^2[V^\mu(x)V_\mu(x) - 2V^\mu(x)W^{'}_{3\mu}(x) + \sum_a W^{'}_{a\mu}(x)W^{'\,\mu}_{a}(x)] = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu H(x)\partial^\mu H(x) - \frac{1}{2}m_H^2 H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2 + \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x))^2\{(A(x) - tg\, \theta_w Z(x))^2 + (A(x) + cot\, \theta_w Z(x))^2 \\
&- 2(cos\, \theta(x) + 2sin^2\, \frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_3(x))(A^2(x) - Z^2(x) + 2cot\, \theta_w A(x)\cdot Z(x)) - \\
&- 2(A^\mu(x) - tg\, \theta_w Z^\mu(x))[isin\, \theta(x)(\hat{n}_+(x)W_{-\mu}(x) - \hat{n}_-(x)W_{+\mu}(x)) + \\
&+ 2sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\hat{n}_3(x)(\hat{n}_+(x)W_{-\mu}(x) + \hat{n}_-(x)W_{+\mu}(x))] + 2W_+(x)\cdot W_-(x) + \\
&+ [\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{4}{\theta^2(x)}sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x))]\partial_\mu\theta_a(x)\partial^\mu\theta_b(x) + \\
&+ 4(\frac{Z^\mu(x)}{sin\, 2\theta_w}[\hat{n}_3(x)\hat{n}_a(x) + \frac{sin\, \theta(x)}{\theta(x)}(\delta_{3a} - \hat{n}_3(x)\hat{n}_a(x))] - \\
&- \frac{2}{\theta(x)}sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\epsilon_{3ab}\hat{n}_b(x)(A^\mu(x) + cot\, 2\theta_w Z^\mu(x))\,)\partial_\mu\theta_a(x)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\theta_\pm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_1(x) \mp i\theta_2(x)), \qquad \hat{n}_\pm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{n}_1(x) \mp i\hat{n}_2(x)). \tag{42}
$$

The new canonical momenta associated with the Lagrangian density (17) are [see Eq.(17) for the expression of the kinetic term $-\frac{1}{4g_w^2}W_a^{\mu\nu}W_{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g_y^2}V^{\mu\nu}V_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of $A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_{\pm\mu}$; $\mathcal{W}^{oi}_\pm = \partial^o W^i_\pm - \partial^i W^o_\pm$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_H(x) &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o H(x)} = \partial_o H(x), \\
\pi_{\theta a}(x) &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o\theta_a(x)} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x))^2\{[\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{4}{\theta^2(x)}sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\cdot \\
&\quad \cdot\ (\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x))]\partial^o\theta_b(x) + 2[\frac{Z^o(x)}{sin\, 2\theta_w}(\hat{n}_3(x)\hat{n}_a(x) + \\
&+ \frac{sin\, \theta(x)}{\theta(x)}(\delta_{3a} - \hat{n}_3(x)\hat{n}_a(x)) - \frac{2}{\theta(x)}sin^2\,\frac{\theta(x)}{2}\epsilon_{3ab}\hat{n}_b(x)(A^o(x) + cot\, 2\theta_w Z^o(x))]\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^o\theta_a(x) = \frac{2}{(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H(x))^2}[\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{\theta^2(x)}{4sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_b(x))]\,\pi_{\theta_b}(x) -$$

$$-\frac{2Z^o(x)}{sin\,2\theta_w}[\hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_3(x) + \frac{\theta(x)sin\,\theta(x)}{4sin^2\frac{\theta(x)}{2}}(\delta_{a3} - \hat{n}_a(x)\hat{n}_3(x))] -$$

$$- \theta(x)\epsilon_{3ab}\hat{n}_b(x)(A^o(x) + cot\,2\theta_w Z^o(x)),$$

$$\pi^{(A)o}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o A_o(x)} = 0,$$

$$\pi^{(A)i}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o A_i(x)} = -e^{-2}A^{oi}(x) + ie^{-2}sin^2\theta_w[W^o_+(x)W^i_-(x) - W^i_+(x)W^o_-(x)],$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^o A^i(x) = \partial^i A^o(x) - e^2\pi^{(A)i}(x) + isin^2\theta_w(W^o_+(x)W^i_-(x) - W^i_+(x)W^o_-(x)),$$

$$\pi^{(Z)o}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o Z_o(x)} = 0,$$

$$\pi^{(Z)i}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o Z_i(x)} == -e^{-2}Z^{oi}(x) + \frac{i}{2}e^{-2}sin^2\theta_w[W^o_+(x)W^i_-(x) - W^i_+(x)W^o_-(x)],$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^o Z^i(x) = \partial^i Z^o(x) - e^2\pi^{(Z)i}(x) + \frac{i}{2}sin\,2\theta_w(W^o_+(x)W^i_-(x) - W^i_+(x)W^o_-(x)),$$

$$\pi^{(W_\pm)o}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o W_{\pm o}(x)} = 0,$$

$$\pi^{(W_\pm)i}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\partial_o W_{\pm i}(x)} = -e^{-2}sin^2\theta_w\mathcal{W}^{oi}_\mp(x) \pm$$

$$\pm\, ie^{-2}sin^2\theta_w[W^o_\mp(x)(A^i(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z^i(x)) - W^i_\mp(x)(A^o(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z^o(x))],$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^o W^i_\mp(x) = \partial^i W^o_\mp(x) - \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}\pi^{(W_\pm)i}(x) \pm$$

$$\pm\, i[W^o_\mp(x)(A^i(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z^i(x)) - W^i_\mp(x)(A^o(x) + cot\,\theta_w Z^o(x))]; \qquad (43)$$

they satisfy standard Poisson brackets.

These new momenta are related to $\pi_\phi(x), \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x), \pi^{(V)\mu}(x), \pi^{(W)\mu}_a(x)$, by the equations

$$\pi_\phi(x) = [D^{(W,V)o}\phi(x)]^\dagger =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi_H(x) + \frac{i}{2}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H(x))(V^o(x) + W^{'o}_a \tau^a)] e^{-\theta_d(x) T^d_w},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x) &= D^{(W,V)o} \phi(x) = \\ &= e^{\theta_d(x) T^d_w} \{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi_H(x) - \frac{i}{2}(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H(x))(V^o(x) + W^{'o}_a \tau^a)] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V^o(x) + W^{'o}_a(x)\tau^a &= A^o(x) - tg\, \theta_w Z^o(x) + \\ &+ \{ [cos\, \theta(x) \delta_{a3} + 2 sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} \hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_3(x) + sin\, \theta(x) \epsilon_{a3b} \hat{n}_b(x)](A^o(x) + cot\, \theta_w Z^o(x)) + \\ &+ [cos\, \theta(x) \frac{\delta_{a1} + i\delta_{a2}}{\sqrt{2}} + 2 sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} \hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_-(x) + \frac{sin\, \theta(x)}{\sqrt{2}} (\epsilon_{a1b} + i \epsilon_{a2b}) \hat{n}_b(x)] W^o_+(x) + \\ &+ [cos\, \theta(x) \frac{\delta_{a1} - i\delta_{a2}}{\sqrt{2}} + 2 sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} \hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_+(x) + \frac{sin\, \theta(x)}{\sqrt{2}} (\epsilon_{a1b} - i \epsilon_{a2b}) \hat{n}_b(x)] W^o_-(x) + \\ &+ \frac{2}{(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H(x))^2} [\hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{\theta(x) sin\, \theta(x)}{4 sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2}} (\delta_{ab} - \hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_b(x)) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \hat{n}_c(x)] \pi_{\theta_b}(x) - \\ &- \frac{2 Z^o(x)}{sin\, 2\theta_w} [cos^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} \delta_{a3} + sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} \hat{n}_a(x) \hat{n}_3(x) + \frac{sin\, \theta(x)}{2\theta(x)} \epsilon_{a3b} \hat{n}_b(x)] + \\ &+ (A^o(x) + cot\, 2\theta_w Z^o(x)) [sin\, \theta(x) \epsilon_{3ab} \hat{n}_b(x) + \frac{2}{\theta(x)} sin^2 \frac{\theta(x)}{2} (\delta_{a3} - \hat{n}_3(x) \hat{n}_a(x))] \} \tau^a, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\vec{\pi}^{(W_-)}(x) + \vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x)), \\ \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_2(x) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\vec{\pi}^{(W_-)}(x) - \vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x)), \\ \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_3(x) &= sin\, \theta_w (sin\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(A)}(x) + cos\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x)), \\ \vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x) &= cos\, \theta_w (cos\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(A)} - sin\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\pi}^{(A)}(x) &= \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_3(x) + \vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x), \\ \vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x) &= cot\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_3(x) - tg\, \theta_w \vec{\pi}^{(V)}(x), \\ \vec{\pi}^{(W_\mp)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\vec{\pi}^{(W)}_1(x) \mp i \vec{\pi}^{(W)}_2(x)). \end{aligned} \qquad (44)$$

The secondary constraints (41) may be rewritten in terms of the new momenta in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) &= -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) - c_{ABC}\vec{G}_B(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_C(x) + J^o_{sA}(x) \approx 0,\\
\Gamma^{(A)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma^{(W)}_3(x) + \Gamma^{(V)}(x)) =\\
&= -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(A)}(x) + i(\vec{W}_+(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x) - \vec{W}_-(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x)) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}[\pi_\phi(x)(1+\tau^3)\phi(x) - \phi^\dagger(x)(1+\tau^3)\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x)] + \tilde{j}^o_{(em)}(x) \approx 0,\\
\Gamma^{(Z)}(x) &= cot\,\theta_w\Gamma^{(W)}_3(x) - tg\,\theta_w\Gamma^{(V)}(x) =\\
&= -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x) + icot\,\theta_w(\vec{W}_+(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x) - \vec{W}_-(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_+)}(x)) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}[\pi_\phi(x)(cot\,\theta_w\tau^3 - tg\,\theta_w)\phi(x) - \phi^\dagger(x)(cot\,\theta_w\tau^3 - tg\,\theta_w)\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x)] + \tilde{j}^o_{(NC)}(x) \approx 0,\\
\Gamma^{(W_\pm)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma^{(W)}_1(x) \mp i\Gamma^{(W)}_2(x)) =\\
&= -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_\mp)}(x) \mp i(\vec{W}_\pm(x)\cdot sin\,\theta_w(sin\,\theta_w\vec{\pi}^{(A)}(x) + cos\,\theta_w\vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x)) -\\
&- (\vec{A}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec{Z}(x))\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(W_\mp)}(x)) +\\
&+ \frac{i}{2}[\pi_\phi(x)\tau^\mp\phi(x) - \phi^\dagger(x)\tau^\mp\pi_{\phi^\dagger}(x)] + \tilde{j}^o_{(CC)\mp}(x) \approx 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_\phi\phi \quad &-\phi^\dagger\pi_{\phi^\dagger} = i(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2(V^o - W'^o_3),\\
\pi_\phi\tau^3\phi \quad &-\phi^\dagger\tau^3\pi_{\phi^\dagger} = -i(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2[(cos\,\theta + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}^2_3)(V^o - W'^o_3) +\\
&+ (sin\,\theta\hat{n}_2 - 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_3)W'^o_1 - (sin\,\theta\hat{n}_1 + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_2\hat{n}_3)W'^o_2],\\
\pi_\phi\tau^1\phi \quad &-\phi^\dagger\tau^1\pi_{\phi^\dagger} = -i(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2[(sin\,\theta\hat{n}_2 + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_3)(V^o - W'^o_3) -\\
&- (cos\,\theta + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}^2_1)W'^o_1 + (sin\,\theta\hat{n}_3 - 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2)W'^o_2],\\
\pi_\phi\tau^2\phi \quad &-\phi^\dagger\tau^2\pi_{\phi^\dagger} = -i(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2[-(sin\,\theta\hat{n}_1 - 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_2\hat{n}_3)(V^o - W'^o_3) -\\
&- (sin\,\theta\hat{n}_3 + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2)W'^o_1 - (cos\,\theta + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}^2_2)W'^o_2],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^o \quad &-W'^o_3 = -\frac{2}{(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2}[\hat{n}_3\hat{n}_b + \frac{\theta sin\,\theta}{4sin^2\,\underline{\theta}}(\delta_{3b} - \hat{n}_3\hat{n}_b) + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_{3bc}\hat{n}_c]\pi_{\theta_b} -\\
&- [\frac{2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}{sin\,2\theta_w}(1 - \hat{n}_3)Z^o +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_3(W^o_+\hat{n}_- + W^o_-\hat{n}_+) - isin\,\theta(W^o_+\hat{n}_- - W^o_-\hat{n}_+)],\\
W^{\prime o}_1 &= \frac{2}{(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2}[\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_b + \frac{\theta sin\,\theta}{4sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}(\delta_{1b} - \hat{n}_1\hat{n}_b) + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_{1bc}\hat{n}_c]\pi_{\theta_b} +\\
&+ \frac{Z^o}{sin\,2\theta_w}(sin\,\theta\hat{n}_2 - 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_3) + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_1(W^o_+\hat{n}_- + W^o_-\hat{n}_+) +\\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[(cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta\hat{n}_3)W^o_+ + (cos\,\theta - isin\,\theta\hat{n}_3)W^o_-],\\
&W^{\prime o}_2 = \frac{2}{(\phi_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H)^2}[\hat{n}_2\hat{n}_b + \frac{\theta sin\,\theta}{4sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}(\delta_{2b} - \hat{n}_2\hat{n}_b) + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_{2bc}\hat{n}_c]\pi_{\theta_b} -\\
&- \frac{Z^o}{sin\,2\theta_w}(sin\,\theta\hat{n}_1 + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_2\hat{n}_3) + 2sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{n}_2(W^o_+\hat{n}_- + W^o_-\hat{n}_+) -\\
&- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[(sin\,\theta\hat{n}_3 - icos\,\theta)W^o_+ + (sin\,\theta\hat{n}_3 + icos\,\theta)W^o_-].
\end{aligned}
\tag{45}
$$

The main point is that $\Gamma^{(A)}$, $\Gamma^{(Z)}$, $\Gamma^{(W_\pm)}$, are independent from $\pi^H(x)$ and $A^o(x)$. However they depend on $Z^o(x)$, $W^o_\pm(x)$, and this implies the existence of 3 tertiary constraints and of 3 quaternary ones [see Ref. [21] for the general patterns of second class constraints]. Namely, in the new variables the constraints change nature and number with respect to the Hamiltonian formulation associated with the Lagrangian (1) [in contrast to papers I and II, this is due to the mixing in Eqs.(8) together with the non-Abelian nature of W^μ_a].

While the color and electromagnetic Gauss laws $\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) \approx 0$, $\Gamma^{(A)}(x) \approx 0$, are elliptic equations in the momenta $\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x)$, $\vec{\pi}^{(A)}(x)$, respectively, the weak ones $\Gamma^{(Z)}(x) \approx 0$, $\Gamma^{(W_\pm)}(x) \approx 0$, are ambiguous: each one of them (in analogy to I, II, and momentarily forgetting their dependence on Z^o and $W^o_\pm$) can be thought either as an elliptic equation in one of the momenta $\vec{\pi}^{(Z)}(x)$, $\vec{\pi}^{(W_\pm)}(x)$, or as an algebraic equation in the Higgs momenta (the would-be Goldstone bosons) $\pi_{\theta\pm}(x), \pi_{\theta_3}(x)$. Since the Gauss laws are the subset of the Euler-Lagrange equations independent from the accelerations, it turns out that the space of solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of the standard model is the disjoint union of 8 sectors (for 6 of them there may be many inequivalent copies corresponding to different choices of which combinations of the Higgs momenta have to be determined):

i) the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetric phase (0 broken and 4 unbroken generators), in which all the fields $A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_{\pm\mu}$ (or $V_\mu, W_{a\mu}$) are massless.

ii) 3 phases with 1 broken and 3 unbroken generators, in which $SU(2)\times U(1)$ is broken to three non-commuting U(1)'s. The three phases are: a) $A_\mu, W_{\pm\mu}$ massless and Z_μ massive; b) $A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_{+\mu}$ massless and $W_{-\mu}$ massive; c) $A_\mu, Z_\mu, W_{-\mu}$ massless and $W_{+\mu}$ massive. However, in general there are phases corresponding to all the possible choices of which combination of $V_\mu, W_{a\mu}$, becomes massive.

iii) 3 phases with 2 broken and 2 unbroken generators, in which $SU(2)\times U(1)$ is broken to two (in general non-commuting) U(1)'s. The three phases are: a) A_μ, Z_μ massless and $W_{\pm\mu}$ massive; b) $A_\mu, W_{+\mu}$, massless and $Z_\mu, W_{-\mu}$, massive; c) $A_\mu, W_{-\mu}$, massless and $Z_\mu, W_{+\mu}$, massive. Again there may be many other copies of these phases.

iv) The Higgs phase with 3 broken and 1 unbroken generators, in which $SU(2)\times U(1)$ is broken to $U_{(em)}(1)$: A_μ massless and $Z_\mu, W_{\pm\mu}$ massive.

However, in contrast to I and II, here the situation is much more complicated due to the presence of Z^o and $W^o_\pm$ in the constraints. The expected 3 tertiary and 3 quaternary constraints should be such that at the end one gets the following situation in the Higgs phase: i) $A^o(x)$ is a gauge variable conjugate to the 1st class constraint $\pi^{(A)o}(x)\approx 0$; ii) $\theta_a(x)$ and $\pi_{\theta_a}(x)$ are determined by 3 pairs of 2nd class constraints (containing $\Gamma^{(Z)}(x)\approx 0$, $\Gamma^{(W_\pm)}(x)\approx 0$ and the 3 tertiary constraints); iii) $\pi^{(Z)o}(x)\,[\approx 0]$, $\pi^{(W_\pm)o}(x)\,[\approx 0]$ and the 3 quaternary constraints determining $Z^o(x)$, $W^o_\pm(x)$, form 3 pairs of 2nd class constraints; iv) $\pi^{(G)o}_A(x)\approx 0$, $\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x)\approx 0$ are 1st class constraints.

Due to this extremely complicated situation, we will not study the direct canonical reduction in the Higgs sector of the constrained phase space associated with the Lagrangian density (17) [one should evaluate the Hamiltonian associated with the Lagrangian (17) and make a complete analysis of the constraints], but, following I and II, we will study the easier canonical reduction of the first and second class constraints deriving from the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}'(x)$ of Eq.(21) in the unitary gauge.

V. EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS AND CONSTRAINTS FROM $\mathcal{L}^{'}(X)$

The Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the Lagrangian density (21), which does not depend on the (would-be Goldstone bosons) Higgs fields $\theta_a(x)$, but only on $H(x), G_{A\mu}(x), \tilde{A}^{'}_{\mu}(x), \tilde{Z}^{'}_{\mu}(x), \tilde{W}^{'}_{\pm\mu}(x), \psi^{(l)\,'}_{Li}(x), \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x), \psi^{(q)\,'}_{Li}(x), \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)$, are

$$L^{(G)\,\mu}_{A} = g_s^2(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{'}}{\partial G_{A\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\nu G_{A\mu}} = \hat{D}^{(G)}_{\nu AB} G^{\nu\mu}_{B} + g_s^2 J^{\mu}_{sA} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$J^{\ \mu}_{sA} = i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \psi^{(q)}_{Li} + i\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + i\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu T^A_s \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{A})'\,\mu} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{'}}{\partial \tilde{A}^{'}_{\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{'}}{\partial \partial_\nu \tilde{A}^{'}_{\mu}} = \partial_\nu \tilde{A}^{'\nu\mu} - 2ie\partial_\nu(\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{-} - \tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{-}) + \\
&+ ie(\tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\nu}\tilde{W}^{'\nu\mu}_{+} - \tilde{W}^{'}_{+\,\nu}\tilde{W}^{'\nu\mu}_{-}) - 2e^2\tilde{W}^{'}_{+}\cdot\tilde{W}^{'}_{-}(\tilde{A}^{'\mu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu}) + \\
&+ e^2(\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{-} + \tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{-}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{+})(\tilde{A}^{'}_{\nu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_{\nu}) + e\tilde{j}^{'\mu}_{(em)} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\ '\mu}_{\ \ (em)} &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li} + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} = \\
&= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_{em}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li} - \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + \frac{2}{3}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{Z})'\,\mu} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{'}}{\partial \tilde{Z}^{'}_{\mu}} - \partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{'}}{\partial \partial_\nu \tilde{Z}^{'}_{\mu}} = \partial_\nu \tilde{Z}^{'\nu\mu} - 2iecot\,\theta_w\partial_\nu(\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{-} - \tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{-}) + \\
&+ m_Z^2(1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2\tilde{Z}^{'\mu} + \\
&+ iecot\,\theta_w(\tilde{W}^{'}_{-\,\nu}\tilde{W}^{'\nu\mu}_{+} - \tilde{W}^{'}_{+\,\nu}\tilde{W}^{'\nu\mu}_{-}) - 2e^2cot\,\theta_w\tilde{W}^{'}_{+}\cdot\tilde{W}^{'}_{-}(\tilde{A}^{'\mu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu}) + \\
&+ e^2cot\,\theta_w(\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{+}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{-} + \tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{-}\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{+})(\tilde{A}^{'}_{\nu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'}_{\nu}) + e\tilde{j}^{'\mu}_{(NC)} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{j}^{\ '\mu}_{\ \ (NC)} &= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_Z\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_Z\psi^{(q)'}_{Li} - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} - tg\,\theta_w\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} = \\
&= \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_Z\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu Q_Z\psi^{(q)'}_{Li} - tg\,\theta_w[-\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} + \frac{2}{3}\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\gamma^\mu\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})'\,\mu} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\tilde{W}'_{\pm\,\mu}} - \partial_\nu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\partial_\nu\tilde{W}'_{\pm\,\mu}} = \partial_\nu\tilde{W}^{'\nu\mu}_{\mp} + m_W^2(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{\mp} + \\
&+ ie\partial_\nu[\tilde{W}^{'\nu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}^{'\mu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu}) - \tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}^{'\nu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\nu})] - \\
&- ie[(\tilde{A}^{'\mu\nu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu\nu})\tilde{W}'_{\mp\,\nu} - \tilde{W}^{'\mu\nu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}'_\nu + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}'_\nu)] + \\
&+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}[\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{\pm}\tilde{W}^{'2}_{\mp} - \tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{\mp}\tilde{W}'_{+}\cdot\tilde{W}'_{-}] - e^2\tilde{W}^{'\mu}_{\mp}(\tilde{A}' + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}')^2 + \\
&+ e^2(\tilde{A}^{'\mu} + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}^{'\mu})\tilde{W}'_{\mp}\cdot(\tilde{A}' + cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Z}') + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\tilde{j}^{'\mu}_{(CC)\,\mp}\overset{\circ}{=}0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{j}^{\;'\mu}{}_{(CC)\,\mp} = \bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu iT_w^{\mp}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\gamma^\mu iT_w^{\mp}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_H &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial H} - \partial_\nu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\partial_\nu H} = -\Box H - m_H^2 H(1+\frac{e}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H) + \\
&+ \frac{2e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)[m_W^2\tilde{W}'_{+}\cdot\tilde{W}'_{-} + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2\tilde{Z}^{'2}] + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}[\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj} + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\psi^{(l)'}_{Lj} + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}M^{(q)}_{ij}\psi^{(q)}_{Rj} + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\psi^{(q)'}_{Lj} + \\
&+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}\tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}\tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\cdot\psi^{(q)'}_{Lj}]\overset{\circ}{=}0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{(l)}_{\psi'\,Li} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\partial_\mu\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}[i(\partial_\mu - \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{W}'}_{+\,\mu}T_w^{-} + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}T_w^{+}) + \\
&+ ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_\mu + ieQ_Z\tilde{Z}'_\mu)\gamma^\mu] - \\
&- (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Rj}M^{(l)\dagger}_{ji}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\overset{\circ}{=}0, \\
L^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi}'\,Li} &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}'}{\partial\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu}T_w^{-} + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu}T_w^{+}) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_\mu - ieQ_Z\tilde{Z}'_\mu)]\psi^{(l)'}_{Li} + \\
&+ (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj}\overset{\circ}{=}0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\psi Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(l)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}[i(\partial_\mu - e(\overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{A}'_\mu} - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu)\gamma^\mu] -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H)\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Lj} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(l)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + e(\tilde{A}'_\mu - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu)]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri} +$$

$$+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H) M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(l)'}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\psi' Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}[i(\partial_\mu - \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{W}}{}'_{+\,\mu} T^-_w + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu} T^+_w) +$$

$$+ ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_\mu + ieQ_Z \tilde{Z}'_\mu - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H)[\bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj} M^{(q)\dagger}_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Rj} \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}] \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi}' Li} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{W}'_{+\,\mu} T^-_w + \tilde{W}'_{-\,\mu} T^+_w) -$$

$$- ieQ_{em}\tilde{A}'_\mu - ieQ_Z \tilde{Z}'_\mu + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)]\psi^{(q)'}_{Li} +$$

$$+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H)[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(q)}_{ij} \psi^{(q)}_{Rj} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\psi Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\psi}^{(q)} Ri[i(\partial_\mu - e(\tilde{A}'_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{tg}\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu) - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H)\bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Lj} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(q)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + e(\tilde{A}'_\mu - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu) + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri} +$$

$$+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H) M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\tilde{\psi} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = -\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}[i(\partial_\mu - e(\tilde{A}'_\mu - \overset{\leftarrow}{tg}\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu) - G_{A\mu} T^A_s)\gamma^\mu] -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H)\bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Lj} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ji} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,$$

$$L^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde{\psi}} Ri} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}} = [\gamma^\mu i(\partial_\mu + e(\tilde{A}'_\mu - tg\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'_\mu) + G_{A\mu} T^A_s)]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri} +$$

$$+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H) \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj} \overset{\circ}{=} 0. \tag{46}$$

The canonical momenta associated with the Lagrangian density (21) [$\tilde{E}^{(\tilde{A})'i} = -\tilde{A}'^{oi}$, $\tilde{E}^{(\tilde{Z})'i} = -\tilde{Z}'^{oi}$, $\tilde{E}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'i} = -(\partial^o \tilde{W}'^i_\mp - \partial^i \tilde{W}'^o_\mp) = -\tilde{\mathcal{W}}'^{oi}_\mp$ are natural definitions of “Abelian” electric fields; the last one must not be confused with the non-Abelian field strength $\tilde{W}^{'}_{a\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \tilde{W}^{'}_{a\nu} - \partial_\nu \tilde{W}^{'}_{a\mu} + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w} \epsilon_{abc} \tilde{W}^{'}_{b\mu} \tilde{W}^{'}_{c\nu}$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(G)o}_A(x) &= 0, \\
\pi^{(G)i}_A(x) &= -g_s^{-2} G^{oi}_A(x) = g_s^{-2} E^{(G)i}_A(x), \\
\pi_H(x) &= \partial^o H(x), \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'o}(x) &= 0, \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'i}(x) &= -\tilde{A}'^{oi}(x) + ie[\tilde{W}'^o_+(x) \tilde{W}'^i_-(x) - \tilde{W}'^i_+(x) \tilde{W}'^o_-(x)] = \\
&= \tilde{E}^{(\tilde{A})'i}(x) + ie[\tilde{W}'^o_+(x) \tilde{W}'^i_-(x) - \tilde{W}'^i_+(x) \tilde{W}'^o_-(x)], \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'o}(x) &= 0, \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'i}(x) &= -\tilde{Z}'^{oi}(x) + iecot\,\theta_w[\tilde{W}'^o_+(x) \tilde{W}'^i_-(x) - \tilde{W}'^i_+(x) \tilde{W}'^o_-(x)] = \\
&= \tilde{E}^{(\tilde{Z})'i} + iecot\,\theta_w[\tilde{W}'^o_+(x) \tilde{W}'^i_-(x) - \tilde{W}'^i_+(x) \tilde{W}'^o_-(x)], \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'o}(x) &= 0, \\
\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'i}(x) &= -\tilde{\mathcal{W}}'^{oi}_\mp(x) \pm \\
&\pm ie[\tilde{W}'^o_\mp(x)(\tilde{A}'^i(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'^i(x)) - \tilde{W}'^i_\mp(x)(\tilde{A}'^o(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'^o(x))] = \\
&= \tilde{E}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'i}(x) \pm ie[\tilde{W}'^o_\mp(x)(\tilde{A}'^i(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'^i(x)) - \\
&- \tilde{W}'^i_\mp(x)(\tilde{A}'^o(x) + cot\,\theta_w \tilde{Z}'^o(x))],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{G_{A\mu}(\vec{x}, x^o), \pi^{(G)\nu}_B(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = \delta_{AB} \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \\
&\{\tilde{A}^{'}_\mu(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'\nu}(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = \{\tilde{Z}^{'}_\mu(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'\nu}(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \\
&\{\tilde{W}^{'}_{\pm\mu}(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'\nu}(\vec{y}, x^o)\} = \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}),
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

plus the fermion momenta $\pi^{(l)'}_{\psi Lia\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(l)'}_{\bar{\psi} Lia\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(l)}_{\psi Ri\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(l)}_{\bar{\psi} Ri\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(q)'}_{\psi LiAa\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(q)'}_{\bar{\psi} LiAa\alpha}(x)$,

$\pi^{(q)}_{\psi RiA\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(q)}_{\bar\psi RiA\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(q)}_{\tilde\psi RiA\alpha}(x)$, $\pi^{(q)}_{\bar{\tilde\psi} RiA\alpha}(x)$. The fermion momenta generate second class constraints, which can be eliminated with the Dirac brackets (36).

The resulting Dirac Hamiltonian density is [the "Abelian" magnetic fields are defined as $B^{(\tilde A)'i} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\tilde A'^{jk}$, $B^{(\tilde Z)'i} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\tilde Z'^{jk}$, $B^{(\tilde W_\pm)'i} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^j\tilde W'^k_\pm = \epsilon^{ijk}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}'^{jk}_\pm$; instead $B^{(G)i}_A = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}G^{jk}_A$ are non-Abelian magnetic fields; $m_W = m_Z cos\,\theta_w$]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}'_D(x) = &\frac{1}{2}\sum_A[g_s^2\vec\pi^{(G)2}_A(x) + g_s^{-2}\vec B^{(G)2}_A(x)] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[\vec{\tilde\pi}^{(\tilde A)'2}(x) + \vec B^{(\tilde A)'2}(x)] + \frac{1}{2}[\vec{\tilde\pi}^{(\tilde Z)'2}(x) + \vec B^{(\tilde Z)'2}(x)] + \\
&+ \vec{\tilde\pi}^{(\tilde W_+)'}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde\pi}^{(\tilde W_-)'}(x) + \vec B^{(\tilde W_+)'}(x)\cdot\vec B^{(\tilde W_-)'}(x) + \\
&+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2[m_W^2\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x) + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2\vec{\tilde Z}'(x)] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[\pi_H^2(x) + (\vec\partial H(x))^2] + \frac{1}{2}m_H^2H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2 + \\
&+ ie[\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\times\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x)\cdot(\vec B^{(\tilde A)'}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec B^{(\tilde Z)'}(x))] + \\
&+ ie(\vec{\tilde A}'(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))[\times\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x)\cdot\vec B^{(\tilde W_+)'}(x) - \times\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\cdot\vec B^{(\tilde W_-)'}(x))] - \\
&- \frac{e^2}{2sin^2\,\theta_w}[\vec{\tilde W}'^2_+(x)\vec{\tilde W}'^2_-(x) - (\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x))^2] + \\
&+ e^2[\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x)(\vec{\tilde A}'(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))^2 - \\
&- \vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde A}'(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))\vec{\tilde W}'_-(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde A}'(x) + cot\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))] + \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)[\vec\alpha\cdot(\vec\partial + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)T^-_w + \vec{\tilde W}'_-(x)T^+_w) - ieQ_{em}\vec{\tilde A}'(x) - \\
&- ieQ_Z\vec{\tilde Z}'(x)) - \\
&- (\vec\partial - \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\vec{\tilde W}'_+(x)T^-_w + \overset{\leftarrow}{\vec{\tilde W}}{}'_-(x)T^+_w) + ieQ_{em}\vec{\tilde A}'(x) + \\
&+ ieQ_Z\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))\cdot\vec\alpha]\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec\alpha\cdot(\vec\partial + \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}(\vec{\tilde A}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))Y_w) - \\
&- (\vec\partial - \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}\overset{\leftarrow}{(}\vec{\tilde A}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde Z}'(x))Y_w)\cdot\vec\alpha]\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) - \\
&- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))
\end{aligned}$$

$$[\bar{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(l)}_{ij}\psi^{(l)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(l)'}_{Lj}(x)] +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)[\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{\vec{W}}'_+(x)T^-_w + \tilde{\vec{W}}'_-(x)T^+_w) - ieQ_{em}\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) -$$

$$- ieQ_Z\tilde{\vec{Z}}'(x) + \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) -$$

$$- (\vec{\partial} - \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\tilde{\vec{W}}'_+(x)T^-_w + \overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{\vec{W}}}{}'_-(x)T^+_w) + ieQ_{em}\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) +$$

$$+ ieQ_Z\tilde{\vec{Z}}'(x) - \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) \cdot \vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + e(\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\tilde{\vec{Z}}'(x))Y_w + \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) -$$

$$- (\vec{\partial} - e(\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}{}'(x))Y_w - \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) \cdot \vec{\alpha}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)[\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + e(\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\tilde{\vec{Z}}'(x))Y_w + \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) -$$

$$- (\vec{\partial} - e(\tilde{\vec{A}}'(x) - tg\,\theta_w\overset{\leftarrow}{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}{}'(x))Y_w - \vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s) \cdot \vec{\alpha}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))$$

$$[\bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(q)}_{ij}\psi^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj}(x) +$$

$$+ \bar{\psi}^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(x) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)\tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \psi^{(q)'}_{Lj}(x)] -$$

$$- \theta\frac{g^3_s}{8\pi^2}\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) \cdot \vec{B}^{(G)}_A(x) -$$

$$- \tilde{A}'^o(x)[-\vec{\partial} \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + ie(\tilde{\vec{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \tilde{\vec{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) +$$

$$+ e\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_{em}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + e\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +$$

$$+ e\psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_{em}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) +$$

$$+ e\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + e\tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)] -$$

$$- \tilde{Z}'^o(x)[-\vec{\partial} \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x) + iecot\,\theta_w(\tilde{\vec{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \tilde{\vec{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) +$$

$$+ e\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_Z\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) - etg\,\theta_w\psi^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +$$

$$+ e\psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_Z\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) - etg\,\theta_w\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) -$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- etg\,\theta_w \tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) i Y_w \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)] - \\
&- \tilde{W}^{\prime o}_{+}(x)[-\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x) + ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + \\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x)) - (\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x)) + \\
&+ \frac{ie}{sin\,\theta_w}(\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{-}_{w} \psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{-}_{w} \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x))] - \\
&- \tilde{W}^{\prime o}_{-}(x)[-\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + \\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x)) - (\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + \\
&+ \frac{ie}{sin\,\theta_w}(\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{+}_{w} \psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{+}_{w} \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x))] - \\
&- (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 [m^2_W \tilde{W}^{\prime o}_{+}(x) \tilde{W}^{\prime o}_{-}(x) + \frac{1}{2} m^2_Z \tilde{Z}^{\prime o 2}(x)] - \\
&- G^o_A(x)[-\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{B}(x) + i\psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^A_s \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x) + \\
&+ i\psi^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) T^A_s \psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \tilde{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) T^A_s \tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x)] + \\
&+ \lambda_{Ao}(x)\pi^{(G)o}_{A}(x) + \lambda^{(\tilde{A})}_{o}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'o}(x) + \lambda^{(\tilde{Z})}_{o}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})"o}(x) + \\
&+ \lambda^{(-)}_{o}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_+)"o}(x) + \lambda^{(+)}_{o}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'o}(x) =
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_A [g^2_s \vec{\pi}^{(G)2}_{A}(x) + g^{-2}_s \vec{B}^{(G)2}_{A}(x)] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'2}(x) + \vec{B}^{(\tilde{A})'2}(x)] + \frac{1}{2}[\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'2}(x) + \vec{B}^{(\tilde{Z})'2}(x)] + \\
&+ \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x) + \vec{B}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x)\cdot\vec{B}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x) + \\
&+ (1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 [m^2_W \vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x) + \frac{1}{2} m^2_Z \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x)] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}[\pi^2_H(x) + (\vec{\partial} H(x))^2] + \frac{1}{2} m^2_H H^2(x)(1 + \frac{e}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 + \\
&+ ie[\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\times\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)\cdot(\vec{B}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{B}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x))] + \\
&+ (\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))[\times\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)\cdot\vec{B}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \times\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{B}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x))] - \\
&- \frac{e^2}{2sin^2\,\theta_w}[\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime 2}_{+}(x)\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime 2}_{-}(x) - (\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x))^2] + \\
&+ e^2[\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)(\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))^2 - \\
&- \vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)\cdot(\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x) + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x))] +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_e^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\mu^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\nu}_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial}\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \nu_\tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\mu}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\tau}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial} + \beta(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} e^{(m)}(x) \\ \mu^{(m)}(x) \\ \tau^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{c}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{t}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial} + \beta(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} u^{(m)}(x) \\ c^{(m)}(x) \\ t^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{s}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial} + \beta(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x)) \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} d^{(m)}(x) \\ s^{(m)}(x) \\ b^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \vec{G}_A(x)\cdot\vec{J}_{sA}(x) + e\vec{\tilde{A}}^{'}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{j}}^{'}_{(em)}(x) + e\vec{\tilde{Z}}^{'}(x)\vec{\tilde{j}}^{'}_{(NC)}(x) + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\vec{\tilde{W}}^{'}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{j}}^{'}_{(CC)\,-}(x) + \vec{\tilde{W}}^{'}_{-}(x)\cdot\vec{\tilde{j}}^{'}_{(CC)\,+}(x)) - \\
&- \theta\frac{g_s^3}{8\pi^2}\vec{\pi}_A^{(G)}(x)\cdot\vec{B}_A^{(G)}(x) - \\
&- G_A^o(x)\Gamma_A^{(G)}(x) - \tilde{A}^{'o}(x)\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) - \\
&- \tilde{Z}^{'o}(x)[\tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) - m_Z^2(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2\tilde{Z}^{'o}(x)] - \\
&- \tilde{W}_+^{'o}(x)[\tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{W}_+)}(x) - m_W^2(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2\tilde{W}_-^{'0}(x)] - \\
&- \tilde{W}_-^{'o}(x)[\tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{W}_-)}(x) - m_W^2(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2\tilde{W}_+^{'o}(x)] - \\
&- (1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2[m_W^2\tilde{W}_+^{'o}(x)\tilde{W}_-^{'o}(x) + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2\tilde{Z}^{'o\,2}(x)] + \\
&+ \lambda_{Ao}(x)\pi_A^{(G)o}(x) + \lambda_o^{(\tilde{A})}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'o}(x) + \lambda_o^{(\tilde{Z})}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})''o}(x) + \\
&+ \lambda_o^{(-)}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_+)''o}(x) + \lambda_o^{(+)}(x)\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'o}(x), \qquad\qquad (48)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\Gamma_A^{(G)}$, $\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}$, $\tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{Z})}$, $\tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}$, defined in the next Eq.(49).

The time constancy of the primary constraints generates the secondary ones

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_A^{(G)}(x) &= -\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB} \cdot \vec{\pi}_B^{(G)}(x) + i\psi_{Li}^{(q)'\dagger}(x) T_s^A \psi_{Li}^{(q)'}(x) + \\
&+ i\psi_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) T_s^A \psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) T_s^A \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) = \\
&= -\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB} \cdot \vec{\pi}_B^{(G)}(x) + J'^{o}_{sA}(x) \approx 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) &= -\vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + \\
&+ e\psi_{Li}^{(l)'\dagger}(x) Q_{em} \psi_{Li}^{(l)'}(x) + e\psi_{Ri}^{(l)\dagger}(x) iY_w \psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ e\psi_{Li}^{(q)'\dagger}(x) Q_{em} \psi_{Li}^{(q)'}(x) + e\psi_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) iY_w \psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) + \\
&+ e\tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) iY_w \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) = \\
&= -\vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + e\tilde{j}^{o}_{(em)}(x) \approx 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) &= m_Z^2 (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{Z}'^{o}(x) + \tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) = \\
&= m_Z^2 (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{Z}'^{o}(x) - \\
&- \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x) + iecot\, \theta_w (\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + \\
&+ e\psi_{Li}^{(l)'\dagger}(x) Q_Z \psi_{Li}^{(l)'}(x) - etg\, \theta_w \psi_{Ri}^{(l)\dagger}(x) iY_w \psi_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) + \\
&+ e\psi_{Li}^{(q)'\dagger}(x) Q_Z \psi_{Li}^{(q)'}(x) - etg\, \theta_w \psi_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) iY_w \psi_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) - \\
&- etg\, \theta_w \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x) iY_w \tilde{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) = \\
&= m_Z^2 (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{Z}'^{o}(x) - \\
&- \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x) + iecot\, \theta_w (\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + e\tilde{j}^{o}_{(NC)}(x) \approx 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}(x) &= m_W^2 (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{W}'^{o}_\mp(x) + \tilde{\zeta}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}(x) = \\
&= m_W^2 (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{W}'^{o}_\mp(x) - \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}(x) \pm \\
&\pm ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_\mp(x) \cdot (\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x)) - (\vec{\tilde{A}}'(x) + cot\, \theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x)) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}(x)) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{ie}{sin\, \theta_w} (\psi^{(l)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{\mp}_w \psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x) + \psi^{(q)'\dagger}_{Li}(x) T^{\mp}_w \psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x)) = \\
&= m^2_W (1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(x))^2 \tilde{W}'^o_{\mp}(x) - \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}(x) \pm \\
&\pm ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_{\mp}(x) \cdot (\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) + cot\, \theta_w \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x)) - (\vec{\tilde{A}}'(x) + cot\, \theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x)) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}(x)) + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\, \theta_w} \tilde{j}^o_{(CC)\, \mp}(x) \approx 0, \qquad (49)
\end{aligned}
$$

where Eqs.(27) have to be used for the fermionic currents.

The constraints $\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) \approx 0$, $\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) \approx 0$, are constant of the motion and first class: therefore, $G^o_A(x)$ and $\tilde{A}'^o(x)$ are gauge variables. Instead the time constancy of $\zeta^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) \approx 0$, $\zeta^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}(x) \approx 0$, determines the Dirac multipliers $\lambda^{(\tilde{Z})}_o(x), \lambda^{(\pm)}_o(x)$ (they vanish), so that these constraints form pairs of second class constraints with their primaries $\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'o}(x) \approx 0, \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'o}(x) \approx 0$ and determine their conjugate variables $\tilde{Z}'^o(x), \tilde{W}'^o_\pm(x)$, which can be eliminated by going to Dirac brackets.

VI. ELECTROMAGNETIC AND COLOR DIRAC OBSERVABLES

We shall use the results of Ref. [3] to find a canonical basis of electromagnetic and color Dirac's observables, having vanishing Poisson bracket with all the constraints and gauge variables, and we shall use the equations $\zeta^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) = 0$, $\zeta^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}(x) = 0$, together with the associated Dirac brackets (still denoted as Poisson brackets), to eliminate $\tilde{Z}'^o(x)$, $\tilde{W}'^o_\pm(x)$.

In the electromagnetic case, we have the following decomposition (Hodge decomposition of one-forms, when the first homotopy group of the manifold vanish as it happen for R^3) of $\vec{\tilde{A}}'(x)$, $\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x)$ [see Eqs.(2-10) and (2-9) of the second paper in Ref. [3]; $\triangle = -\vec{\partial}^2$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{\tilde{A}}'(x) = \vec{\partial} \tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) + \check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x), \\
&\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'}(x) = \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}_\perp(x) + \\
&\qquad + \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}[\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) - ie(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'}(x) - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_-(x) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) - e\tilde{j}^o_{(em)}(x)], \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

in terms of the Dirac observables $\check{\tilde{A}}^i_\perp(x) = P^{ij}_\perp(x) \tilde{A}'^j(x)$, $\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})i}_\perp(x) = P^{ij}_\perp(x) \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'i}(x)$ [$P^{ij}_\perp(x) = \delta^{ij} + \frac{\partial^i_x \partial^j_x}{\triangle_x}$, $\vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x) = \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}_\perp(x) = 0$], and of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \vec{\tilde{A}}^{'}(x) = -\int d^3y\, \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot \vec{\tilde{A}}^{'}(\vec{y},x^o) = \\
&= -\int d^3y\, c(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\vec{\partial}_y \cdot \vec{\tilde{A}}^{'}(\vec{y},x^o),\\
&\quad c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{1}{\triangle}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{-1}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|},\qquad \triangle c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\quad \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \vec{\partial}_x c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{\vec{\partial}_x}{\triangle}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{\vec{x}-\vec{y}}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{\tilde{\eta}_{em}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
$$

$$
\{\check{\tilde{A}}^i_\perp(\vec{x},x^o), \check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})j}_\perp(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -P^{ij}_\perp(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}). \tag{51}
$$

Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\tilde{W}^{'i}_\pm(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \pm ie\tilde{W}^{'i}_\pm(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'i}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = \mp ie\tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'i}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\psi^{(l)'}_{Lia\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -ieQ_{em}\psi^{(l)'}_{Lia\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = eY_w\psi^{(l)}_{Ri\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)'}_{LiAa\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -ieQ_{em}\psi^{(q)'}_{LiAa\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = eY_w\psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = eY_w\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned} \tag{52}
$$

the electromagnetic Dirac observables [having vanishing Poisson brackets with $\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)$] are the following quantities, each one dressed with its Coulomb cloud

$$
\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_\pm(x) = e^{\pm ie\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\vec{\tilde{W}}^{'}_\pm(x),\qquad \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)}(x) = e^{\mp ie\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}(x),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{-ieQ_{em}\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\psi^{(l)'}_{Li}(x),\qquad e^{eY_w\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x),\\
&e^{-ieQ_{em}\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\psi^{(q)'}_{Li}(x),\qquad e^{eY_w\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),\qquad e^{eY_w\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{53}
$$

The analogous decompositions in the non-Abelian SU(3) case can again be obtained from the second paper in Ref. [3]. For the vector potential we use Eqs.(4-13), (4-16), (4-26), (4-29), (4-30), (4-31), (4-33), (5-21), (5-24), of that paper to get

$$\vec{G}_A(x) = A_{AB}(\eta^{(G)}(x))\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_B(x) + (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\check{\vec{G}}_{B\perp}(x), \qquad \vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{G}}_{A\perp}(x) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{T}^A_s A_{AB}(\eta^{(G)}(x))\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_B(x)\cdot d\vec{x} = H_B(\eta^{(G)}(x))\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_B(x)\cdot d\vec{x} = \\ &= \Theta_A(\eta^{(G)}(x), \vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}(x))\hat{T}^A_s = d_{(\hat{\gamma})}\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x)) = \Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_{sA}(\eta^{(G)}(x))\hat{T}^A_s = {}_{(\hat{\gamma})}\int_0^{\eta^{(G)}(x,s)} H_B(\eta^{(G)}(x;s))\mathcal{D}\eta^{(G)}_B(x;s). \qquad (54)$$

If η_A are coordinates in a chart of the group manifold of SU(3), the matrices $A_{AB}(\eta)$ satisfy the Maurer-Cartan equations, which can be written in the zero curvature form $\frac{\partial H_A(\eta)}{\partial \eta_B} - \frac{\partial H_B(\eta)}{\partial \eta_A} + [H_A(\eta), H_B(\eta)] = 0$. We shall use only canonical coordinates of the first kind, defined by $A_{AB}(\eta)\eta_B = \eta_A$ [so that $A(\eta) = \frac{e^{T\eta}-1}{T\eta}$ with $(T\eta)_{AB} = (\hat{T}^C_s)_{AB}\eta_C = c_{ABC}\eta_C$]. If $\theta_A = A_{AB}(\eta)d\eta_B$ are the left-invariant (or Maurer-Cartan) one-forms on SU(3), the abstract Maurer-Cartan equations are $d\theta_A = -\frac{1}{2}c_{ABC}\theta_B\wedge\theta_C$; then, by using the preferred line $\gamma_\eta(s)$ (s is the parameter along it) defining the canonical coordinates of the first kind in a neighbourhood of the identity I of SU(3), one can define $d_{(\gamma_\eta)}\omega^{(\gamma_\eta)}_A(\eta(s)) = \theta_A(\eta(s))$ [$d_{(\gamma_\eta)}$ is the exterior derivative along γ_η] with $\omega^{(\gamma_\eta)}(\eta(s)) = \omega^{(\gamma_\eta)}_A(\eta(s))\hat{T}^A_s = {}_{(\gamma_\eta)}\int_0^{\eta(s)} \hat{T}^A_s A_{AB}(\bar{\eta})d\bar{\eta}_B = {}_{(\gamma_\eta)}\int_I^{\gamma eta(s)} \theta_A|_{\gamma_\eta}\hat{T}^A_s = {}_{(\gamma_\eta)}\int_I^{\gamma_\eta(s)} \omega_G|_{\gamma_\eta}$, where $\omega_G = \theta_A\hat{T}^A_s$ is the canonical one-form on SU(3) in the adjoint representation. In our case of a trivial principal SU(3)-bundle $P(R^3, SU(3))$ over R^3 [fixed x^o slice of Minkowski spacetime], it is shown in Ref. [3] that $\Theta_A(\eta^{(G)}(x), \vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}(x))$ and $\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))$ are just the extension of these SU(3) objects: in the second paper of Ref. [3], a connection-dependent coordinatization $(\vec{x}, x^o; \eta^{(G)}(\vec{x}, x^o))$ of the principal bundle is given with the SU(3) fibers parametrized with parallelly transported (with respect to the given connection) canonical coordinates of the first kind from a reference fiber over an arbitrarily chosen origin in R^3. The functions $\eta^{(G)}_A(\vec{x}, x^o)$ and their gradients $\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o)$ vanish on the identity cross section σ_I of the trivial principal bundle. The path $\hat{\gamma}$ is a surface (in the total bundle space) of preferred paths, associated with these generalized canonical coordinates of the first kind, starting from the identity cross section σ_I till a cross section parametrized by the parameter s, in a tubolar neighbourhood of σ_I. The operator $d_{(\hat{\gamma})}$ is the exterior derivative on the principal SU(3)-bundle total space restricted to $\hat{\gamma}$; it can be identified with the vertical derivative on the principal bundle and with the Hamiltonian BRST operator. With these conventions, one has $\{.,\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x)\} \equiv \{.,-\hat{\vec{D}}_{AB}\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(G)\prime}_B(x)\} = -B_{BA}(\eta^{(G)}(x))\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{\delta\eta^{(G)}_B(x)}$ $[B(\eta) = A^{-1}(\eta)]$ with the functional derivative to be interpreted as a directional derivative along the surface of paths $\hat{\gamma}$. The longitudinal gauge variables (the non-Abelian counterpart of $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)$) have a complicated formal implicit expression given in Eq.(4-49) of the second paper of Ref. [3] and satisfy $\{\eta^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o),\tilde{\Gamma}^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -\delta_{AB}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(G)}_A(x) = \Gamma^{(G)}_B(x)A_{BA}(\eta^{(G)}(x))$ are the Abelianized Gauss laws $[\{\tilde{\Gamma}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o),\tilde{\Gamma}^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = 0]$. In Eq.(54), $A_{AB}(\eta^{(G)}(x))\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_B(x)$ is the pure gauge part (saturated with $d\vec{x}$ it is the BRST ghost) of the vector potential $\vec{G}_A(x)$: the magnetic field $\vec{B}^{(G)}_A(x)$ is generated only by the second term of Eq.(54). In this sense, $\eta^{(G)}_A(x) = 0$ is the true generalized non-Abelian Coulomb gauge with all the same properties of the Abelian Coulomb gauge. In suitable weighted Sobolev spaces [11], as discussed in Ref. [3], this gauge-fixing is well defined, since all the connections over the principal SU(3)-bundle are completely irreducible [their holonomy bundles (i.e. the set of points of $P(R^3,SU(3))$ which can be joined by horizontal curves) coincide with the principal bundle itself] and there is no form of Gribov ambiguity (i.e. of stability subgroups of the group of gauge transformations for special connections and/or field strengths). In these spaces, the covariant divergence is an elliptic operator without zero modes [10] and its Green function $\vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)$ is globally defined

$$\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}(x)\cdot\vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{BC}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = -\delta_{AC}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),$$

$$\vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\zeta^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})(P\, e^{\int_y^x d\vec{z}\cdot\vec{G}_C(\vec{z},x^o)\hat{T}^C_s}\ )_{AB}. \tag{55}$$

The path ordering is along the straightline (flat geodesic) joining $\vec{y}$ and $\vec{x}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) &= -\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) + \vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(x) = \\ &= \vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{A,D\perp}(x) + \int d^3y\, \vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)[\Gamma^{(G)}_B(y) - J'^{o}_{sB}(y)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(x) = \hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x) = 0. \tag{56}$$

It is shown in Eqs. (5-7), (5-8), (5-10), of the second paper in Ref. [3] that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) &= \int d^3y\, \vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) \\ &\quad [c_{BEF}\vec{G}_E(y)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{F\perp}(y) + \Gamma^{(G)}_B(y) - J'^{o}_{sB}(y)], \\ \pi^{(G)i}_{A,D\perp}(x) &= \int d^3y\, [\delta^{ij}\delta_{AB}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) - \\ &- \frac{\partial^i_x}{\triangle_x}\vec{\partial}_x\cdot\vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AC}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)c_{CEB}G^j_E(y)]\pi^{(G)j}_{B\perp}(y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi^{(G)i}_{A\perp}(x) = P^{ij}_{\perp}(x)\pi^{(G)j}_{A,D\perp}(x). \tag{57}$$

Moreover, Eqs.(5-21) and (5-25) of that paper give

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{A,D\perp}(x) &= (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x) = \\ &= (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}[\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B\perp}(x) - \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\pi}^{(G)i}_{A\perp}(x) &= P^{ij}_{\perp}(x)\check{\pi}^{(G)j}_{A,D\perp}(x), \\ &\{\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A,D\perp}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Therefore, the color SU(3) canonical pairs of Dirac's observables turn out to be $\check{\vec{G}}_{A\perp}(x)$, $\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(x)$. They satisfy the Poisson brackets

$$\{\check{G}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o), \check{\pi}^{(G)j}_{B\perp}(\vec{y},x^o)\} = -\delta_{AB}P^{ij}_{\perp}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}). \tag{59}$$

The original momenta can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{(G)i}_A(x) &= \pi^{(G)i}_{A\perp}(x) - \frac{\partial^i}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) = \\
&= P^{ij}_\perp(x)(P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}[\check{\pi}^{(G)j}_{B\perp}(x) - \frac{\partial^j}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x)] - \\
&- \frac{\partial^i}{\triangle}\int d^3y\, \vec{\partial}_x \cdot \vec{\zeta}^{(G)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)[\Gamma^{(G)}_B(y) - J'^{o}_{sB}(y) + \\
&+ c_{BCD}(\Theta^h_C(\eta^{(G)}(y)) + (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{CE}\check{G}^h_{E\perp}(y)) \cdot \\
&\cdot\ P^{hk}_\perp(y)(P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(y))})_{DF}(\check{\pi}^{(G)k}_{F\perp}(y) - \frac{\partial^k_y}{\triangle_y}\vec{\partial}_y\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{F,D\perp}(y))],
\end{aligned}
\tag{60}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{\zeta}^{(G)}{}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \\
&\qquad (P\, exp\{\int_y^x d\vec{z}\cdot[\vec{\Theta}_C(\eta^{(G)}(\vec{z},x^o)) + (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(\vec{z},x^o))})_{CD}\check{\vec{G}}_{D\perp}(\vec{z},x^o)]\hat{T}^C_s\})_{AB},
\end{aligned}
\tag{61}
$$

and with $\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A,D\perp}(x)$ solution of the equation [implied by $\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}(x)\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x) = 0$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\delta_{AB} - (P\, e^{-\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}\vec{\partial}P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\cdot\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} - \\
&\quad - (P\, e^{-\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AC}c_{CEF}(\vec{\Theta}_E(\eta^{(G)}(x)) + (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}0_{ER}\check{\vec{G}}_{R\perp}(x)) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot\ (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{FB}\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}]\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B,D\perp}(x) = \\
&\quad = -(P\, e^{-\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}\vec{\partial}P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\cdot\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{B\perp}(x) - \\
&\quad - (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}c_{BEF}(\vec{\Theta}_E(\eta^{(G)}(x)) + (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{FR}\check{\vec{G}}_{R\perp}(x)) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot\ (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{FC}\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{C\perp}(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{62}
$$

It is not necessary to solve this equation, since for $\Gamma^{(G)}_A(x) = 0$ and $\eta^{(G)}_A(x) = 0$ [so that also $\vec{\partial}\eta^{(G)}_A(x) = 0$, $\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x)) = 0$] we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\pi}^{(G)}_A(x) \rightarrow \hat{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_A(x) &= \check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(x) - \\
&- \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\int d^3y\, \vec{\partial}_x\cdot\vec{\zeta}^{(\check{G}_\perp)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)[c_{BCE}\check{G}^h_{C\perp}(y)\check{\pi}^{(G)h}_{E\perp}(y) - J^{;o}_{sB}(y)],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\vec{\zeta}^{(\check{G}_\perp)}_{AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})(P\, e^{\int_y^x d\vec{z}\cdot\check{\vec{G}}_{C\perp}(\vec{z},x^o)\hat{T}^C_s})_{AB}. \tag{63}
$$

While in the electromagnetic case it is possible to get the physical Hamiltonian without imposing the Coulomb gauge-fixing $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) \approx 0$ (namely it is obtained by a canonical decoupling of the gauge degrees of freedom), this is too difficult in the non-Abelian case. Therefore, we shall evaluate the physical quantities by imposing the generalized Coulomb gauge-fixings $\eta^{(G)}_A(x) \approx 0$. Conceptually, the canonical decoupling of the gauge degrees of freedom gives the same results for the physical quantities.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\psi^{(q)\prime}_{LiAa\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = (T^B_s)_{AC}\psi^{(q)\prime}_{LiCa\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\psi^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = (T^B_s)_{AC}\psi^{(q)}_{RiC\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),\\
&\{\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiA\alpha}(\vec{x},x^o), \Gamma^{(G)}_B(\vec{y},x^o)\} = (T^B_s)_{AC}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiC\alpha}(x)\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}),
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

the fermionic Dirac observables (with vanishing Poisson brackets with the color Gauss laws and with $\eta^{(G)}(x)$), dressed with gluon clouds, are

$$(P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\psi^{(q)\prime}_{LiBa\alpha}(x), \quad (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\psi^{(q)}_{RiB\alpha}(x), \quad (P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))})_{AB}\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{RiB\alpha}(x), \tag{65}$$

and, by using Eqs.(5-31)-(5-33) of the second paper in Ref. [3] , we have for the quark fields

$$i\psi^{(q)\dagger}(x)\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial}+\vec{G}_A(x)T^A_s]\psi^{(q)}(x) \rightarrow_{\eta^{(G)}\rightarrow 0} i\check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}(x)\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial}+\check{\vec{G}}_{A\perp}(x)T^A_s]\check{\psi}^{(q)}(x), \tag{66}$$

where $\check{\psi}^{(q)}(x)$ are the color Dirac observables. Analogously, in the electromagnetic case, one has for the electrically charged fermions

$$\begin{aligned}
&i\psi^{\dagger}(x)\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial}+\frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{+}(x)T^-_w+\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{-}(x)T^+_w)-ieQ_{em}\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\prime}(x)]\psi(x)\rightarrow_{\tilde{\eta}_{em}\rightarrow 0}\\
&\qquad i\check{\psi}^{\dagger}(x)\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial}+\frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{+}(x)T^-_w+\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{-}(x)T^+_w)-ieQ_{em}\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_{\perp}(x)]\check{\psi}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

As shown in Refs. [3,1], the Noether identities implied by the second Noether theorem, applied to the color SU(3) gauge group, give the following result for the weak improper conserved non-Abelian Noether charges $Q^{(G)}_A$ and for the strong improper conserved ones $Q^{(G)}_{(s)A}$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{(G)}_A &= g_s^{-2}c_{ABC}\int d^3x\, G^{ok}_B(\vec{x},x^o)G^k_C(\vec{x},x^o)+\int d^3x\, J^o_{sA}(\vec{x},x^o)\overset{\circ}{=}\\
&\overset{\circ}{=} Q^{(G)}_{(s)A}=\int d^2\Sigma\cdot\vec{E}^{(G)}_A(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

As shown in Ref. [3], one gets $s^{\mu}_{sA} = -g_s^{-2}\partial_{\nu}G^{\nu\mu}_A \overset{\circ}{=} v^{\mu}_{sA} = -g_s^{-2}c_{ABC}G^{\mu\nu}_B G_{C\nu} + J^{\mu}_{sA}$ [for $\mu = 0$ one gets $\Gamma^{(G)}_A \approx 0$], where s^{μ}_{sA} [$\partial_{\mu}s^{\mu}_{sA} \equiv 0$] is the strong improper conserved current and v^{μ}_{sA} [$\partial_{\mu}v^{\mu}_{sA} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$] the weak improper Noether conserved current. One has $Q^{(G)}_{(s)A} = \int d^3x s^o_{sA} \overset{\circ}{=} Q^{(G)}_A = \int d^3x v^o_{sA}$.

Then, we get [see Eqs.(6-33)-(6-35) in the second paper of Ref. [3]] the following Dirac's observables

$$Q^{(G)}_A \rightarrow_{\eta^{(G)}\rightarrow 0} \check{Q}^{(G)}_A = \int d^3x[c_{ABC}\check{\vec{G}}_{B\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)\cdot \check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{C\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) + \check{J}^o_{sA}(\vec{x},x^o)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\check{Q}^{(G)}_A, \check{Q}^{(G)}_B\} = c_{ABC}\check{Q}^{(G)}_C,\\
&\{\check{\vec{G}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o), \check{Q}^{(G)}_B\} = c_{ABC}\check{\vec{G}}_{C\perp}(\vec{x},x^o),\\
&\{\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o), \check{Q}^{(G)}_B\} = c_{ABC}\check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{C\perp}(\vec{x},x^o),\\
&\{\check{\psi}^{(q)}_A(\vec{x},x^o), \check{Q}^{(G)}_B\} = [T^B_s\check{\psi}^{(q)}(\vec{x},x^o)]_A.
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Instead, as shown in I and II, the original SU(2)xU(1) gauge invariance is broken in the Higgs phase and there is no Gauss theorem associated with it due to the existence of the mass for the Z and $W_{\pm}$ bosons (whose electric fields go to zero at space infinity). Let us remark that the second class constraints $\zeta^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) \approx 0$, $\zeta^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})}(x) \approx 0$, of Eqs.(49) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) &= e\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(x) + m^2_Z(1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z}H(x))^2\tilde{Z}'^o(x) \approx 0,\\
\zeta^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})}(x) &= \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(x) + m^2_W(1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z}H(x))^2\tilde{W}'^o_{\mp}(x) \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

The three charges

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}_{(NC)} &= \int d^3x\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o) =\\
&= \int d^3x[-e^{-1}\vec{\partial}\cdot\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'} + i cot\,\theta_w(\tilde{\vec{W}}^{'}_{+}\cdot\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'} - \tilde{\vec{W}}^{'}_{-}\cdot\tilde{\vec{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}(x)) + \tilde{j}^o_{(NC)}](\vec{x},x^o) \approx\\
&\approx -m^2_Z\int d^3x(1 + \frac{e}{sin\, 2\theta_w\, m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2\tilde{Z}'^o(\vec{x},x^o),\\
\tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm} &= \int d^3x\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(\vec{x},x^o) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= sin\,\theta_w \int d^3x[-e^{-1}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'} \pm i(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_\mp \cdot (\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{A})'} + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}) - \\ &- (\vec{\tilde{A}}' + cot\,\theta_w \vec{\tilde{Z}}') \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'}) + \frac{1}{sin\,\theta_w} \tilde{j}^o_{(CC)\mp}](\vec{x}, x^o) \approx \\ &\approx -m^2_W \int d^3x(1 + \frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w\, m_Z} H(\vec{x}, x^o))^2 \tilde{W}'^o_\mp(\vec{x}, x^o). \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

are not constants of the motion as can be checked by evaluating their Poisson brackets with the Hamiltonian resulting from Eq.(48) after the elimination of the gauge variables $\tilde{Z}'^o$, $\tilde{W}'^o_\pm$, by using Eq.(70) and with $\lambda^{(\tilde{Z})}_o(x) = \lambda^{(\pm)}_o(x) = 0$. Here, there is a difference with the result in II: in the SU(2) Higgs model the 3 second class constraints are a vector under SU(2) and generate global SU(2) transformations under which the Hamiltonian (a SU(2) scalar) is invariant, so that there are 3 conserved charges. Here, the mixing with the Weinberg angle implies that the 3 second class constraints (70) generate global transformations under which the Hamiltonian is not a scalar due to $m_Z \neq m_W$ and due to the mass terms of the fermions. It is not clear, at the mathematical level, which is the distinction between second class constraints generating conserved quantities like in II [it would correspond to the first Noether theorem hidden in the second Noether theorem describing local gauge transformations [17], extended to include the local Noether pseudogauge transformations generated by the second class under which the Lagrangian is invariant modulo those acceleration-independent combinations of its Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to these secondary constraints [17]] and second class constraints not generating constants of motion like in this case.

By adding to these non conserved charges the constant of the motion corresponding to the electromagnetic charge [the second Noether theorem [17] implies that the strong improper conserved charge $Q_{(s)(em)} = \int d^2\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi}^{(A)}$ is connected through the Gauss law $\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) \approx 0$ of Eqs.(49) to the weak improper conserved charge $Q_{(em)} = \int d^3x[\tilde{j}^o_{(em)} + i(\vec{W}_+ \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(W_+)} - \vec{W}_- \cdot \vec{\pi}^{(W_-)})] \overset{\circ}{=} Q_{(s)(em)}$]

$$\tilde{Q}_{(em)} = \int d^3x[i(\vec{\tilde{W}}'_+ \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_+)'} - \vec{\tilde{W}}'_- \cdot \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_-)'}) + \tilde{j}^o_{(em)}](\vec{x}, x^o), \tag{72}$$

one finds that these four charges satisfy the algebra

$$\tilde{Q}^1_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{Q}_{(CC)+} + \tilde{Q}_{(CC)-}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{Q}^2_w = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{Q}_{(CC)+} - \tilde{Q}_{(CC)-}),\\
&\tilde{Q}^3_w = sin\,\theta_w(sin\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(em)} + cos\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(NC)}),\\
&\tilde{Q}_{Y_w} = cos\,\theta_w(cos\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(em)} - sin\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(NC)}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\{\tilde{Q}^i_w, \tilde{Q}^j_w\} = \epsilon_{ijk}\tilde{Q}^k_w, \qquad \{\tilde{Q}^i_w, \tilde{Q}_{Y_w}\} = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\tilde{Q}_{(em)}, \tilde{Q}_{(NC)}\} = 0, \qquad \{\tilde{Q}_{(em)}, \tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm}\} = \mp i\tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm},\\
&\{\tilde{Q}_{(NC)}, \tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm}\} = \mp i cot\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm},\\
&\{\tilde{Q}_{(CC)+}, \tilde{Q}_{(CC)-}\} = -i(sin^2\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(em)} + sin\,\theta_w cos\,\theta_w\tilde{Q}_{(NC)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Therefore, the charges satisfy a global $su(2) \times u(1)$ algebra, even if only the electromagnetic charge is conserved (custodial symmetry).

However, if we go to the electromagnetic Coulomb gauge by adding the gauge-fixing $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) \approx 0$ [$\partial^o\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) \approx 0$ implies $\lambda^{(\tilde{A})}_o(x) = 0$ in Eq.(48)] and we define the associated Dirac brackets $\{\alpha(\vec{x}, x^o), \beta(\vec{y}, x^o)\}^* = \{\alpha(\vec{x}, x^o), \beta(\vec{y}, x^o)\} + \int d^3z[\{\alpha(\vec{x}, x^o), \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{z}, x^o)\}\,\{\eta_{em}(\vec{z}, x^o), \beta(\vec{y}, x^o)\} - \{\alpha(\vec{x}, x^o), \eta_{em}(\vec{z}, x^o)\}\,\{\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{z}, x^o), \beta(\vec{y}, x^o)\}]$, we find that the charges have their algebra modified. In particular, since $\{\tilde{\eta}_{em}(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm}\} = \mp i\int d^3y\frac{(\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{W}_{\mp})(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}$, $\{\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm}\} = -ie\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(\vec{x}, x^o)$, we get $\{\tilde{Q}_{(CC)+}, \tilde{Q}_{(CC)-}\}^* = \{\tilde{Q}_{(CC)+}, \tilde{Q}_{(CC)-}\} + e\int d^3xd^3y\frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x}, x^o)\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{W}_+(\vec{y}, x^o) + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x}, x^o)\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{W}_-(\vec{y}, x^o))$. To recover the algebra of charges in the Coulomb gauge, one should redefine the charges.

This means that, due to the Weinberg rotation, $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x)$ is not the natural variable conjugate with $\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x)$, if we want to preserve the charge algebra. It turns out that if we define the canonical transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) \mapsto \tilde{\eta}^{'}_{em}(x) &= \tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) + tg\,\theta_w\frac{1}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{Z}}^{'}(x) =\\
&= -\frac{1}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot(\vec{\tilde{A}}^{'}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\vec{\tilde{Z}}^{'}(x)) = -\frac{1}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{V}}^{'}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) &\mapsto \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x),\\
\vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x) &\mapsto \vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x),\\
\vec{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x) &\mapsto \vec{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})"}(x) = \vec{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x) \approx \vec{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

and we impose the gauge-fixing $\tilde{\eta}'_{em}(x) \approx 0$ [this means that the electromagnetic Coulomb cloud is replaced by a hypercharge cloud, associated with an effective hypercharge field $\vec{\tilde{V}}'(x)$], then, since $\{\tilde{\eta}'_{em}(\vec{x}, x^o), \tilde{Q}_{(CC)\pm}\} = 0$, the $su(2) \times u(1)$ algebra of charges is preserved at the level of Dirac brackets.

The new variable $\tilde{\eta}'(x)$ implies that the first of Eqs.(50) is modified to

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\tilde{A}}'(x) &= \check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x) + \vec{\partial}[\tilde{\eta}'_{em}(x) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{1}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x)]\\
&\rightarrow_{\tilde{\eta}'_{em}(x)\rightarrow 0}\ \check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\tilde{Z}}'(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

and that, to get the generalized Coulomb gauge, we have to add the gauge-fixings $\tilde{\eta}'_{em}(x) \approx 0$, $\eta^{(G)}_A(x) \approx 0$, whose time constancy implies $\lambda_{Ao}(x) = \lambda^{(\tilde{A})}_o(x) = 0$ in Eq.(48).

VII. DIRAC'S OBSERVABLES FOR THE STANDARD MODEL

In conclusion a canonical basis of gauge variables for the standard model is

$$\begin{aligned}
&G^o_A(x), \quad \pi^{(G)o}_A(x) \qquad \eta^{(G)}_A(x), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}^{(G)}_A(x),\\
&\tilde{A}'_o(x), \quad \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{A})'o}(x), \qquad \tilde{\eta}'_{em}(x), \quad \Gamma^{(\tilde{A})}(x),\\
&\tilde{Z}'^o(x), \quad \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{Z})'o}(x),\\
&\tilde{W}'^o_\pm(x), \quad \tilde{\pi}^{(\tilde{W}_\pm)'o}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

The associated canonical basis of Dirac's observables is [on the SU(2)-singlets one has $Q_{em} = iY_w$]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{\vec{G}}_{\perp A}(x), \quad \check{\vec{\pi}}^{(G)}_{A\perp}(x),\\
&\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x), \quad \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}_\perp(x),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x) = \vec{\tilde{Z}}^{\prime}(x), \quad \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\tilde{Z})}(x) = \vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{Z})'}(x),\\
&\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{\pm}(x) = e^{\pm ie\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)}\vec{\tilde{W}}^{\prime}_{\pm}(x), \quad \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})}(x) = e^{\mp ie\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)}\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\tilde{W}_{\pm})'}(x),\\
&H(x), \quad \pi_H(x),\\
&\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) = e^{-ie\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)Q_{em}}e^{-\theta_a(x)T^a_w}\psi^{(l)}_{Li}(x),\\
&\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) = e^{e\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)Y_w}\psi^{(l)}_{Ri}(x),\\
&\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) = e^{-ie\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)Q_{em}}e^{-\theta_a(x)T^a_w}[P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}]\psi^{(q)}_{Li}(x),\\
&\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) = e^{e\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)Y_w}[P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}]\psi^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),\\
&\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) = e^{e\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}_{em}(x)Y_w}[P\, e^{\Omega^{(\hat{\gamma})}_s(\eta^{(G)}(x))}]\tilde{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{77}
$$

Note that the fermion fields $\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}$, $\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}$, are dressed with clouds of would-be Goldstone bosons $\theta_a(x)$, which also contribute to the definition of the fields $\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_{\perp}(x)$, $\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x)$, $\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{\pm}(x)$, through Eqs.(8) and the first of Eqs.(42).

The Dirac's observables for the fermionic charge densities are [one has $iT^3_w = sin\,\theta_w(sin\,\theta_w Q_{em} + cos\,\theta_w Q_Z)$, $iY_w = cos\,\theta_w(cos\,\theta_w Q_{em} - sin\,\theta_w Q_Z)$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(em)}(x) &= \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +\\
&+ \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x) &= \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_Z\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +\\
&+ \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)Q_Z\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)\,\pm}(x) = \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)iT^{\pm}_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)iT^{\pm}_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x),
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)\,3}(x) &= \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)iT^3_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x)iT^3_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) =\\
&= sin\,\theta_w[sin\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(em)}(x) + cos\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x)],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{Y_w}(x) = \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Li}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(l)\dagger}_{Ri}(x)iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(x) +
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x) i Y_w \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) i Y_w \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) i Y_w \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) = \\
&= cos\,\theta_w [cos\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(em)}(x) - sin\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x)],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\check{J}^{(G)o}_{sA}(x) = \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Li}(x) i T^A_s \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(x) + \check{\psi}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) i T^A_s \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x) + \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)\dagger}_{Ri}(x) i T^A_s \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(x), \tag{78}
$$

whose expression in terms of the physical mass eigenstates $\check{\nu}_e, ..., \check{e}, ...$ coincide with Eqs.(27).

By collecting all the previous results, by using Section 6 of the second paper in Ref. [3] [especially Eq.(6-27)] and by using the following notation for the non-Abelian counterpart of $c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{1}{\triangle}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$ [see Eq.(3-25) of that paper; one has $\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{AB}(x)\cdot\hat{\vec{D}}^{(G)}_{BC}(x) C^{(G)}_{\triangle,CD}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = -\delta_{AD}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$ and, if one puts equal to zero the structure constants c_{ABC}, this equation becomes $\triangle C^{(G)(o)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \delta_{AB}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$ so that $C^{(G)(o)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) = \delta_{AB} c(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) &= \frac{1}{\triangle}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}, \\
C^{(\check{\vec{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{y}_1,\vec{y}_2;x^o) &= \delta_{AB}\, c(\vec{y}_1-\vec{y}_2) - \\
&\quad - 2\int d^3z\, c(\vec{y}_1-\vec{z})\, [\vec{\partial}_z c(\vec{z}-\vec{y}_2)]\, c_{AUV}\, \check{\vec{G}}_{V\perp}(\vec{z},x^o)\, \zeta^{(\check{\vec{G}}_\perp)}_{UB}(\vec{z},\vec{y}_2;x^o) + \\
&\quad + \int d^3z_1 d^3z_2\, c(\vec{y}_1-\vec{z}_1)\, [\partial^h_{z_1} c(\vec{z}_1-\vec{z}_2)]\, [\partial^k_{z_2} c(\vec{z}_2-\vec{y}_2)] \\
&\quad\quad c_{AUR}\, \check{G}^h_{R\perp}(\vec{z}_1,x^o)\, \zeta^{(\check{\vec{G}}_\perp)}_{UV}(\vec{z}_1,\vec{z}_2;x^o)\, c_{VTS}\, \check{G}^k_{S\perp}(\vec{z}_2,x^o)\, \zeta^{(\check{\vec{G}}_\perp)}_{TB}(\vec{z}_2,\vec{y}_2;x^o).
\end{aligned} \tag{79}
$$

we obtain the physical Hamiltonian density in the generalized Coulomb gauge $\tilde{\eta}^{'}_{em}(x) = \eta^{(G)}_A(x) = 0$ [we also rescale the SU(3) vector potential $\vec{G}_{A\perp}(x) = g_s \check{\vec{G}}_{A\perp}(x)$ so that g_s now appears in the field strength]. It consists of four pieces

$$
\mathcal{H}_{phys}(x) = \mathcal{H}_o(x) + \mathcal{H}_{magn}(x) + \mathcal{H}_{self}(x) + \theta \check{Q}_{top}(x) \tag{80}
$$

i) The field kinetic terms and the linear couplings of the bosonic fields to the fermions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_o(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_A \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})2}_{A\perp}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})2}_{\perp}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})2}(x) + \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) + \\
&\quad + m^2_W\Big(1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)\Big)^2 \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(x) + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} m^2_Z\Big(1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)\Big)^2 \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}^2(x) +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}[\pi_H^2(x) + (\vec{\partial} H(x))^2] + \frac{1}{2} m_H^2\, H^2(x)(1 + \frac{|e|}{2 sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 +$$

$$+ \check{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)\dagger}(x)[i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + \frac{e}{sin\, \theta_w}(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x) T_w^- + \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x) T_w^+) -$$

$$- ieQ_{em}(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x)) - ieQ_Z \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))]\check{\psi}_{Li}^{(l)}(x) +$$

$$+ \check{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)\dagger}(x)[i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + \frac{e}{cos\, \theta_w}(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) -$$

$$- tg\, \theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))Y_w)]\check{\psi}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))$$

$$[\check{\bar{\psi}}_{Li}^{(l)}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{ij}^{(l)} \check{\psi}_{Rj}^{(l)}(x) + \check{\bar{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(l)}(x) M_{ij}^{(l)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \check{\psi}_{Lj}^{(l)}(x)] +$$

$$+ \check{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)\dagger}(x)[i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + g_s \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{A\perp}(x) T_s^A + \frac{e}{sin\, \theta_w}(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x) T_w^- + \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x) T_w^+) -$$

$$- ieQ_{em}(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x)) - ieQ_Z \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))]\check{\psi}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) +$$

$$+ \check{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x)[i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + g_s \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{A\perp}(x) T_s^A +$$

$$+ \frac{e}{cos\, \theta_w}(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) - tg\, \theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))Y_w)]\check{\psi}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) +$$

$$+ \check{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)\dagger}(x)[i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + g_s \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{A\perp}(x) T_s^A +$$

$$+ \frac{e}{cos\, \theta_w}(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) - tg\, \theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))Y_w)]\check{\tilde{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) -$$

$$- (1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x)) \cdot$$

$$[\check{\bar{\psi}}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{ij}^{(q)} \check{\psi}_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \check{\bar{\psi}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) M_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \check{\psi}_{Lj}^{(q)}(x) +$$

$$+ \check{\bar{\psi}}_{Li}^{(q)}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)} \check{\tilde{\psi}}_{Rj}^{(q)}(x) + \check{\bar{\tilde{\psi}}}_{Ri}^{(q)}(x) \tilde{M}_{ij}^{(q)\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \check{\psi}_{Lj}^{(q)}(x)] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}_{A\perp}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})2}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}_\perp^{(\check{\tilde{A}})2}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})2}(x) + \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x) \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) +$$

$$+ m_W^2(1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\, 2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2 \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x) \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x) +$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}m_Z^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}^2(x)+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}[\pi_H^2(x)+(\vec{\partial}H(x))^2]+\frac{1}{2}m_H^2\,H^2(x)(1+\frac{|e|}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2+\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\check{\nu}_e^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{\nu}_\mu^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{\nu}_\tau^{(m)\dagger}(x)\end{pmatrix} i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial}\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)\begin{pmatrix}\check{\nu}_e^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\nu}_\mu^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\nu}_\tau^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\check{e}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{\mu}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{\tau}^{(m)\dagger}(x)\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad[i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial}+\beta(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))\begin{pmatrix}m_e & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_\mu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau\end{pmatrix}]\begin{pmatrix}\check{e}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\check{u}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{c}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{t}^{(m)\dagger}(x)\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad[i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial}+\beta(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))\begin{pmatrix}m_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_t\end{pmatrix}]\begin{pmatrix}\check{u}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{c}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{t}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\check{d}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{s}^{(m)\dagger}(x) & \check{b}^{(m)\dagger}(x)\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad[i\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\partial}+\beta(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))\begin{pmatrix}m_d & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_s & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_b\end{pmatrix}]\begin{pmatrix}\check{d}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{s}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{b}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
&+g_s\check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(x)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{J}}}_{sA}(x)+e(\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(x)-tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x))\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{j}}}_{(em)}(x)+\\
&+e\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x)\check{\vec{\tilde{j}}}_{(NC)}(x)+\frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{j}}}_{(CC)-}(x)+\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(x)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{j}}}_{(CC)+}(x).
\end{aligned}
\qquad (81)
$$

Let us remark that the right fermions couple to $\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}_\perp(x)=\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x)+\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(x)$ in this generalized $[\tilde{\eta}^{'}_{em}(x)=0]$ gauge.

ii) The magnetic bilinear, trilinear and quadrilinear terms $[\check{B}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})i}=-\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^j\check{\tilde{A}}^k_\perp$, $\check{B}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})i}=-\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^j\check{\tilde{Z}}^k$, $\check{B}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)i}=-\epsilon^{ijk}\partial^j\check{\tilde{W}}^k_\pm=-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{W}^{jk}_\pm$ are the "Abelian" magnetic fields, while for the color non-Abelian magnetic field we have $\frac{1}{2}\sum_A\check{\vec{B}}_A^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)\,2}(x)=\partial^i\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{A\perp}(x)\partial^i\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{A\perp}(x)+2g_s c_{ABC}\partial^i\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{A\perp}(x)\check{\tilde{G}}^i_{B\perp}(x)\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{C\perp}(x)+\frac{1}{2}g_s^2c_{ABC}c_{AUV}\check{\tilde{G}}^i_{B\perp}(x)\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{C\perp}(x)\check{\tilde{G}}^i_{U\perp}(x)\check{\tilde{G}}^j_{V\perp}(x)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{magn}(x) = &\frac{1}{2}\sum_A \check{\vec{B}}_A^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)\,2}(x) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})2}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})2}(x) + \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)\cdot\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) + \\
&+ ie[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\times\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\cdot(\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(x))] + \\
&+ ie(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))\cdot \\
&\quad[\times\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\cdot\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x) - \times\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x))] - \\
&- \frac{e^2}{2sin^2\,\theta_w}[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+^{\,2}(x)\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-^{\,2}(x) - (\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x))^2] + \\
&+ e^2[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))^2 - \\
&- \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))\cdot \\
&\quad\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\cdot(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp(x) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) + cot\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))]. \qquad (82)
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) The nonperturbative terms with the self-interactions ($y^o = x^o$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d^3x\,\mathcal{H}_{self}(x) = &-\frac{1}{2}g_s^2\int d^3xd^3y \\
&[c_{ARS}\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{R\perp}(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{S\perp}(x) + \check{J}^o_{sA}(x)] \\
&C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\cdot \\
&[c_{BUV}\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{U\perp}(y)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{V\perp}(y) + \check{J}^o_{sB}(y)] +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{e^2}{2}\int d^3xd^3y \\
&\quad[i(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)) + \check{\tilde{j}}^o_{(em)}(x)] \\
&\quad\frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} \\
&\quad[i(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(y)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(y) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(y)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)) + \check{\tilde{j}}^o_{(em)}(y)] +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int d^3xd^3y \\
&\quad[\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(x) - iecotg\,\theta_w(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)) -
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- e\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x)]\\
&\quad \frac{1}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\\
&\quad [\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(y) - iecotg\,\theta_w(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(y)\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(y) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(y)\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(y)) -\\
&- e\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(y)] +\\
&\\
&+ \int d^3xd^3y\\
&\quad \{\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x) + ie[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot (\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})}_{\perp}(x) -\\
&- e\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}[i(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(x)\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(x)\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x)) + \check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(em)}(x)] +\\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(x)) - (\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_{\perp}(x) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) +\\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x))\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x)] -\\
&- \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)-}(x)\}\\
&\quad \frac{1}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\\
&\quad \{\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(y) - ie[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(y)\cdot (\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})}_{\perp}(y) -\\
&- e\frac{\vec{\partial}_y}{\triangle_y}[i(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(y)\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(y) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(y)\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_=)}(y)) + \check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(em)}(y)] +\\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(y)) - (\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_{\perp}(y) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(x) +\\
&+ cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(y))\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(y)] -\\
&- \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)+}(y)\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

iv) the topological term

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{Q}_{top} = -g^2_s \int d^3x\vec{B}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_A(\vec{x},x^o)\cdot [\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) +\\
+ c_{ABC}\check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{C\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)\frac{1}{\triangle}\int d^3y\,\vec{\partial}_x\cdot \vec{\zeta}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{BD}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\check{J}^o_{sD}(\vec{y},x^o)].
\end{aligned}
\tag{84}
$$

There is a relevant asymmetry between the electromagnetic and color (massless fields) nonperturbative self-energies, which are “nonlocal” (more exactly bilocal), and the weak (massive fields) ones, which are “local”: at the classical nonperturbative level, the Fermi 4-fermion interactions $\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x)\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(NC)}(x)$ and $\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)+}(x)\check{\tilde{j}}^{o}_{(CC)-}(x)$ reappear, notwithstanding that they had been eliminated from the “tree level”

If one would add the not-Lorentz-invariant terms $-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\partial}\tilde{Z}'^{o}(x)\cdot\vec{\partial}\tilde{Z}'^{o}(x)-\vec{\partial}\tilde{W}'^{o}_{+}(x)\cdot\vec{\partial}\tilde{W}'^{o}_{-}(x)$ to the Hamiltonian density (48) [the same terms with the opposite sign to the unitary gauge Lagrangian density (21)], then in Eqs.(49) the coefficients of $\tilde{Z}'^{o}(x)$ and of $\tilde{W}'^{o}_{\pm}(x)$ would become $\triangle + m^2_Z(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2$ and $\triangle + m^2_W(1+\frac{e}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2$ respectively, and the last two terms in Eq.(83) would become bilocal (like the non-weak ones) with the expected massive Yukawa Green functions for the weak self-energies in analogy with the electromagnetic and color massless ones. Once the model will be reformulated in a covariant way on spacelike hypersurfaces, this modification can be done in a covariant way.

Let us remark that if, in analogy to I and II, we add the holonomic constraint $H(x) \approx 0$ to the physical Lagrangian with a multiplier $\lambda(x)$, then its time constancy would imply the constraint $\pi_H(x) \approx 0$ and $\partial^o\pi_H(x) \approx 0$ would determine $\lambda(x)$. Therefore, it is consistent to put $H(x) = \pi_H(x) = 0$ in the physical Lagrangian: we would get the Hamiltonian corresponding to treat the fields $Z^\mu(x)$ and $W^\mu_\pm(x)$ as massive vector fields (Proca field theory) with masses m_Z and $m_W = cos\,\theta_w m_Z$ respectively [see the discussion in I and II].

The elimination of $H(x)$ can also be thought as a limiting classical result of the so-called “triviality problem” [triviality of the $\lambda\phi^4$ theory [18]], which however would imply a quantization (but how?) of the Higgs phase alone without the residual Higgs field, so that also its quantum fluctuations would be absent. Instead these fluctuations are the main left quantum effect in the limit $m_H \rightarrow \infty$, which is known to produce [22], in the non-Abelian case, a gauge theory coupled to a nonlinear $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ σ-model, equivalent [23] to a massive Yang-Mills theory.

Finally, let us note that the coupling to the Higgs field $H(x)$ is always proportional to the charge-mass ratio $|e|/sin\,2\theta_w m_Z$.

VIII. PHYSICAL HAMILTON EQUATIONS.

Instead of evaluating the physical Lagrangian [it can be made with the inverse Legendre transformation like in Ref. [3]], which is not particularly illuminating being even more nonlocal of the physical Hamiltonian, we will present the physical Hamilton equations

However, before doing that, let us introduce the following notations: i) the charge densities appearing in the self-energies (83) are those of Eqs. (70), (72), specialized to the generalized Coulomb gauge, and satisfy the $su(3) \times su(2) \times u(1)$ algebra [see Eqs.(69) and (73)]. They will be denoted as $[(\vec{\partial}/\triangle) \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \int d^3y \vec{c}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{y})$, $\vec{c}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}/4\pi|\vec{x}|^3]$

$$\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sA}(x) = \check{J}^o_{sA}(x) + c_{ARS} \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{R\perp}(x) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{S\perp}(x),$$

$$\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(x) = \check{\tilde{j}}^o_{(em)}(x) + i[\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(x) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x) - \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(x) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(x) &= \check{\tilde{j}}^o_{(NC)}(x) - e^{-1}\vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(x) + \\ &+ i cot\, \theta_w [\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(x) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)}(x) - \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(x) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}(x)],\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(\vec{x}, x^o) &= \check{\tilde{j}}^o_{(CC)\mp}(\vec{x}, x^o) - e^{-1} sin\, \theta_w \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)}(\vec{x}, x^o) \pm \\ &\pm i sin\, \theta_w [\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_\mp \cdot (\check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})}_\perp + cot\, \theta_w\, \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}) - \\ &- (\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp - tg\, \theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}} + cot\, \theta_w \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{\pi}}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)}](\vec{x}, x^o) \mp \\ &\mp ie\, sin\, \theta_w \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_\mp(\vec{x}, x^o) \cdot \int d^3z \frac{\vec{x} - \vec{z}}{4\pi|\vec{x} - \vec{z}|^3} \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{z}, x^o),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\check{Q}^{(G)}_A &= \int d^3x \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sA}(\vec{x}, x^o), \\ \check{Q}_{(em)} &= \int d^3x \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{x}, x^o), \\ \check{Q}_{(NC)} &= \int d^3x \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x}, x^o), \\ \check{Q}_{(CC)\pm} &= \int d^3x \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(\vec{x}, x^o),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{Q}^1_w &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\check{Q}_{(CC)+} + \check{Q}_{(CC)-}),\\
\check{Q}^2_w &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\check{Q}_{(CC)+} - \check{Q}_{(CC)-}),\\
\check{Q}^3_w &= sin\,\theta_w(sin\,\theta_w\check{Q}_{(em)} + cos\,\theta_w\check{Q}_{(NC)}),\\
\check{Q}_{Y_w} &= cos\,\theta_w(cos\,\theta_w\check{Q}_{(em)} - sin\,\theta_w\check{Q}_{(NC)}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{85}
$$

so that Eq.(83) may be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{self} &= \int d^3x\mathcal{H}_{self}(\vec{x},x^o) =\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}g^2_s\int d^3xd^3y\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sA}(\vec{x},x^o)\,C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{y},x^o) +\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}e^2\int d^3xd^3y\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{x},x^o)\,\frac{1}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o) +\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}e^2\int d^3xd^3y\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)\,\frac{\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}{m^2_Z\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{y},x^o) +\\
&+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\,\theta_w}\int d^3xd^3y\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o)\,\frac{\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}{m^2_W\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{y},x^o);
\end{aligned}
\tag{86}
$$

Therefore, the weak self-energies contain the densities of the neutral and charged charges $\check{Q}_{(NC)}$, $\check{Q}_{(CC)\pm}$: even if these charges are not constants of motion, the associated charges $\check{Q}^a_w$, $\check{Q}_{Y_w}$, still satisfy a su(2)xu(1) algebra in this generalized $[\tilde{\eta}^{'}_{em}(x) = 0]$ gauge [this is the reason why we choose this gauge rather then the standard Coulomb one $\tilde{\eta}_{em}(x) = 0$].

The derivatives of H_{self} with respect to the charge densities , which will be left implicit in what follows, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta H_{self}}{\delta\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sA}(x)} &= -g^2_s\int d^3yC^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{y},x^o),\\
\frac{\delta H_{self}}{\delta\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(x)} &= e^2\int d^3y\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} +\\
&\quad + i\frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y\frac{\vec{x}-\vec{y}}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3}\cdot\\
&\quad\cdot\ \frac{\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-(\vec{y},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{y},x^o) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+(\vec{y},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{y},x^o)}{m^2_Z\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2},\\
\frac{\delta H_{self}}{\delta\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(x)} &= \frac{e^2\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(x)}{m^2_Z\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(x))^2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\frac{\delta H_{self}}{\delta \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(x)} = \frac{e^2}{sin^2\,\theta_w} \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\mp}(x)}{m_W^2\,(1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(x))^2}. \tag{87}$$

The Hamilton equations are [$H_{phys} = \int d^3x \mathcal{H}_{phys}(\vec{x}, x^o) = H_o + H_{magn} + H_{self} + \theta H_{top}$; let us remark that $H_{top} = \int d^3x \check{Q}_{top}(\vec{x}, x^o)$ contributes to the reduced equations of motion for $\check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_A(x)$, $\check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_A(x)$, since the reduced topological charge is no more a surface term: the phenomenological result $\theta \approx 0$ may be rephrased as the absence of these terms in the equations of motion]

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^o \quad & \check{\tilde{G}}{}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o) \circeq \{\check{\tilde{G}}{}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o), H_{phys}\} = -\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,i}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)} = \\
& = -\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,i}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o) - \\
& - g_s^2 c_{ABC} P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) [\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,j}_{B\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o) \int d^3y C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,CD}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; x^o) \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sD}(\vec{y}, x^o)] - \\
& - \theta g_s^2 P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) B_A^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,j}(\vec{x}, x^o), \\
\partial^o \quad & \check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,i}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o) \circeq \{\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,i}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o), H_{phys}\} = \frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta \check{\tilde{G}}{}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)} = \\
& = P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) (\, \hat{\vec{D}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{AB}(\vec{x}, x^o) \times [(\hat{\vec{D}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{BC}(\vec{x}, x^o) - \frac{1}{2} c_{BDC} \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{D\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)) \times \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{C\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)]\,)^j + \\
& + g_s P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) \check{J}^j_{sA}(\vec{x}, x^o) - \\
& - g_s^2 P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) c_{ABC} \check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,j}_{B\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o) \int d^3y C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,CD}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; x^o) \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sD}(\vec{y}, x^o) - \\
& - \frac{1}{2} g_s^2 \int d^3z d^3y \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{z}, x^o) \frac{\delta C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,BC}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}; x^o)}{\delta \check{\tilde{G}}{}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)} \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sC}(\vec{y}, x^o) + \\
& + \theta g_s^2 [\, (\vec{\partial} \times \check{\tilde{\vec{\pi}}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)\,)^i - \\
& - c_{ACB} P_\perp^{ij}(\vec{x}) \check{B}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})\,j}_C(\vec{x}, x^o) \frac{1}{\triangle_x} \int d^3y \vec{\partial}_x \cdot \vec{\zeta}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{BD}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; x^o) \check{J}^o_{sD}(\vec{y}, x^o) - \\
& - c_{CBE} \int d^3z \check{\vec{B}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}_C(\vec{z}, x^o) \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{G}}}_{E\perp}(\vec{z}, x^o) \frac{1}{\triangle_z} \int d^3y \vec{\partial}_z \cdot \frac{\delta \vec{\zeta}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{BD}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}; x^o)}{\delta \check{\tilde{G}}{}^i_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)} \check{J}^o_{sD}(\vec{y}, x^o)\,],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^o \quad & \check{\tilde{A}}{}^i_\perp(\vec{x}, x^o) \circeq \{\check{\tilde{A}}{}^i_\perp(\vec{x}, x^o), H_{phys}\} = -\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})\,i}_{A\perp}(\vec{x}, x^o)} = \\
& = -\check{\tilde{\pi}}{}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})\,i}_\perp(\vec{x}, x^o) -
\end{aligned}$$

$$- i\frac{e^2}{sin\,\theta_w} P^{ij}_{\perp}(\vec{x}) \frac{\check{\tilde{W}}^j_-(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o) - \check{\tilde{W}}^j_+(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_W\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2},$$

$$\partial^o \quad \check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})\,i}_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})\,i}_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta \check{\tilde{A}}^i_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)} =$$

$$= \triangle \check{\tilde{A}}^i_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) + eP^{ij}_{\perp}(\vec{x})\check{\tilde{j}}^j_{(em)}(\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- P^{ij}_{\perp}(\vec{x})[\, ie(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)} - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)})^j(\vec{x},x^o) - ie(\,\vec{\partial} \times [\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \times \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-]\,)^j(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ e^2[2(\check{\tilde{A}}^j_{\perp} - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{Z}}^j)\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- -$$

$$- (\check{\tilde{W}}^j_+ \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- + \check{\tilde{W}}^j_- \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+)\cdot(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_{\perp} - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}})](\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- \frac{e^2}{sin\,\theta_w}\frac{i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)\,j}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)\,j}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2}(\vec{x},x^o)\,],$$

$$\partial^o \quad \check{\tilde{Z}}^i(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\tilde{Z}}^i(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = -\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)} =$$

$$= -\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o) - \partial^i \cdot \frac{e\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} -$$

$$- i\frac{e^2 cos\,\theta_w}{sin^2\,\theta_w}\frac{\check{\tilde{W}}^i_-(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o) - \check{\tilde{W}}^i_+(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_W\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2},$$

$$\partial^o \quad \check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta \check{\tilde{Z}}^i(\vec{x},x^o)} =$$

$$= \triangle \check{\tilde{Z}}^i(\vec{x},x^o) + \partial^i \vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + e\check{\tilde{j}}^i_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2 \check{\tilde{Z}}^i(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ iecot\,\theta_w[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)} - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}})+ \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)}]^i(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ e^2 cot\,\theta_w[2(\check{\tilde{A}}^i_{\perp} - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{Z}}^i)\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- -$$

$$- (\check{\tilde{W}}^i_+ \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- + \check{\tilde{W}}^i_- \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+)\cdot(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_{\perp} - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}})](\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- \frac{e^2 cos\,\theta_w}{sin^2\,\theta_w}\frac{i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)\,i}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)\,i}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2}(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ tg\,\theta_w \int d^3y \frac{\partial^i \vec{\partial}\cdot \vec{F}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|},$$

with

$$\vec{F}(\vec{x},x^o) = e\check{\tilde{j}}^i_{(em)}(\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- [\, ie(\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)} - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)})^j(\vec{x},x^o) - ie(\,\vec{\partial} \times [\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \times \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-]\,)^j(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ e^2[2(\check{\tilde{A}}^j_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{Z}}^j)\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_- -$$

$$- (\check{\tilde{W}}^j_+ \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}} + \check{\tilde{W}}^j_- \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+)\cdot(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}})](\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- \frac{e^2}{sin\,\theta_w}\frac{i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_+)\,j}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_-)\,j}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2}(\vec{x},x^o)\,],$$

$$\partial^o \quad \check{\tilde{W}}^i_\pm(\vec{x},x^o) \circeq \{\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\pm(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = -\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)} =$$

$$= -\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\mp)\,i}(\vec{x},x^o) \pm i\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(\vec{x},x^o)\int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} \pm$$

$$\pm\, ie^2 cot\,\theta_w \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} - \partial^i \frac{e sin^{-1}\theta_w \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\mp}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_W\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} +$$

$$+ i\frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(\vec{x},x^o)\int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_\mp(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3}\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\mp}(\vec{y},x^o)}{m^2_W\,(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2},$$

$$\partial^o \quad \check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)\,i}(\vec{x},x^o) \circeq \{\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\pm)\,i}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\pm(\vec{x},x^o)} =$$

$$= \triangle\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(\vec{x},x^o) + \partial^i\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_\mp(\vec{x},x^o) + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}\check{\tilde{j}}^i_{(CC)\mp}(\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(\vec{x},x^o) \pm$$

$$\pm\, ie[\check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_\mp \times (\check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})} + cot\,\theta_w \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})})]^i(\vec{x},x^o) \pm$$

$$\pm\, ie[2(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}) \times \check{\vec{B}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_\mp)})^i +$$

$$+ \check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(cot\,\theta_w \vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}) - \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_\mp \cdot \partial^i(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}})](\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}[\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\pm \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}^2_\mp - \check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\tilde{\vec{W}}}_-](\vec{x},x^o) +$$

$$+ e^2[\check{\tilde{W}}^i_\mp(\check{\tilde{\vec{A}}}_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}})^2 -$$

$$- (\check{\tilde{A}}^i_\perp - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\tilde{\vec{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) + cot\,\theta_w \check{\tilde{Z}}^i)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{\mp}\cdot(\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_{\perp}-tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)+cot\,\theta_w\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}})](\vec{x},x^o)\pm\\
&\pm ie^2\int d^3y\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_{\mp})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)\pm\\
&\pm ie^2cot\,\theta_w\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m_Z^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_{\mp})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)\mp\\
&\mp i\frac{e^2}{sin^2\,\theta_w}\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\pm}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\cdot\\
&\cdot\ [\check{\tilde{\pi}}_{\perp}^{(\check{\tilde{A}})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)-e\int d^3z\frac{x^i-z^i}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{z}|^3}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{z},x^o)+cot\,\theta_w\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{Z}})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o)]-\\
&-\frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y\frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{\mp}(\vec{y},x^o)\,\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)\mp}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\check{\tilde{\pi}}^{(\check{\tilde{W}}_{\mp})\,i}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^o\quad & H(\vec{x},x^o)\,\overset{\circ}{=}\,\{H(\vec{x},x^o),H_{phys}\}=\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta\pi_H(\vec{x},x^o)}=\pi_H(\vec{x},x^o),\\
\partial^o\quad & \pi_H(\vec{x},x^o)\,\overset{\circ}{=}\,\{\pi_H(\vec{x},x^o),H_{phys}\}=-\frac{\delta H_{phys}}{\delta H(\vec{x},x^o)}=\\
&=\triangle_x H(\vec{x},x^o)-m_H^2H(\vec{x},x^o)(1+\frac{|e|}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2-\\
&-\frac{|e|m_H^2}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H^2(\vec{x},x^o)(1+\frac{|e|}{2sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))-\\
&-\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\cdot\\
&\quad[m_Z^2\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}^{\,2}(\vec{x},x^o)+2m_W^2\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{+}(\vec{x},x^o)\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{-}(\vec{x},x^o)]+\\
&+\frac{|e|e^2}{4sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}\frac{1}{(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^3}\cdot\\
&\cdot\ [\frac{1}{m_Z^2}\check{\mathcal{Q}}^2_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)+\frac{1}{m_W^2sin^2\,\theta_w}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o)]-\\
&-\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}[\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\check{e}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{\mu}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{\tau}}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}\beta\begin{pmatrix}m_e & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_\mu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\check{e}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
&+\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\check{u}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{c}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{t}}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}\beta\begin{pmatrix}m_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_t\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\check{u}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{c}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{t}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\check{d}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{s}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{b}}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \beta \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}^{(m)}(x) \\ \check{s}^{(m)}(x) \\ \check{b}^{(m)}(x) \end{pmatrix}],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \\
&= i\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial} + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(\vec{x},x^o)T^-_w + \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(\vec{x},x^o)T^+_w) - \\
&- ieQ_{em}\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- ieQ_Z\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)]\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- (1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(l)}_{ij}\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Rj}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2\int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}Q_Z\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\,\theta_w}\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}iT^+_w + \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}iT^-_w}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H)^2}(\vec{x},x^o)\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2}Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \\
&= i\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial} + \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o))Y_w]\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- (1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\beta M^{(l)\dagger}_{ij}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot\check{\psi}^{(l)'}_{Lj}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2\int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- e^2 tg\,\theta_w\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(l)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \\
&= i\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial} + g_s\check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)T^A_s + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(\vec{x},x^o)T^-_w + \\
&+ \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(\vec{x},x^o)T^+_w) - ieQ_{em}\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- ieQ_Z\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)]\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- (1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\beta[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M^{(q)}_{ij}\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Rj}(\vec{x},x^o) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^{(q)}_{ij}\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Rj}(\vec{x},x^o)] - \\
&- g^2_s\int d^3y iT^A_s C^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{y},x^o)\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2\int d^3y\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- e^2 tg\,\theta_w\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}Q_Z\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o)iT^+_w + \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o)iT^-_w}{m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y\frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2}Q_{em}\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Li}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \\
&= i\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial} + g_s\check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)T^A_s + \\
&+ \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o))Y_w]\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- (1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\beta M^{(q)\dagger}_{ij}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lj}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2\int d^3y\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- e^2 tg\,\theta_w\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y\frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2}iY_w\check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) \overset{\circ}{=} \{\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o), H_{phys}\} = \\
&= i\vec{\alpha}\cdot[\vec{\partial} + g_s \check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) T^A_s + \\
&+ \frac{e}{cos\,\theta_w}(\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- tg\,\theta_w \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o))Y_w]\check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- (1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{x},x^o))\beta \tilde{M}^{(q)\dagger}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \check{\psi}^{(q)}_{Lj}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ e^2 \int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} iY_w \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) - \\
&- e^2 tg\,\theta_w \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} iY_w \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o) + \\
&+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w} \int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_- \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+ \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m^2_W(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{y},x^o))^2} iY_w \check{\tilde{\psi}}^{(q)}_{Ri}(\vec{x},x^o),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\partial^o \quad & \begin{pmatrix} \check{\nu}^{(m)}_e(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}^{(m)}_\mu(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}^{(m)}_\tau(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} \overset{\circ}{=} [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial} - \frac{ie}{sin\,2\theta_w}\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)) + \\
&+ \frac{e^2}{sin\,2\theta_w} \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} \check{\nu}^{(m)}_e(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}^{(m)}_\mu(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}^{(m)}_\tau(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}[\vec{\alpha}\cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(\vec{x},x^o) + \frac{e}{2cos\,\theta_w} \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m^2_Z(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}] \cdot \\
& \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) \begin{pmatrix} \check{e}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
i\partial^o \begin{pmatrix} \check{e}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} \overset{\circ}{=} [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial} - ie\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) -
$$

$$
- ie\frac{2sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)}{sin\,2\theta_w}\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)) +
$$

$$
+ \beta(1 + \frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o)) \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix} + e^2\int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} +
$$

$$
+ e^2\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m_Z^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\frac{2sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)}{sin\,2\theta_w} +
$$

$$
+ \frac{e^3}{sin\,\theta_w}\int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\cdot[i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{-}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_{+}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\; m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\;] \begin{pmatrix} \check{e}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} +
$$

$$
+ \frac{e^2}{sin^2\theta_w}\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o)iT_w^+}{m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)\begin{pmatrix} \check{\nu}_e^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}_\mu^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{\nu}_\tau^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
i\partial^o \begin{pmatrix} \check{u}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{c}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{t}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} \overset{\circ}{=} [i\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\partial} + g_s\check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o)T_s^A +
$$

$$
+ i\frac{2}{3}e\check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_{\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w\frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle}\vec{\partial}\cdot\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) -
$$

$$
- ie\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o)\frac{\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) - \frac{4}{3}sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w} + \beta(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))\begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} -
$$

$$
- g_s^2\int d^3y\, iT_s^A C^{(\check{\vec{G}}_\perp)}_{\triangle,AB}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o)\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{y},x^o) -
$$

$$
- \frac{2}{3}e^2\int d^3y\frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} + \frac{e^2\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m_Z^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z}H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}\frac{\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)-\frac{4}{3}sin^2\theta_w}{sin\,2\theta_w} -
$$

$$- \frac{2e^2}{3 sin\,\theta_w} \int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \cdot [i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_- \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+ \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m_W^2 (1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{y},x^o))^2} -$$

$$- \theta g_s^2 \int d^3y c_{ABC} \check{\vec{B}}_A^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}(\vec{y},x^o) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{C\perp}(\vec{y},x^o) \frac{\vec{\partial}_y}{\triangle_y} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{BD}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}(\vec{y},\vec{x};x^o) i T_s^D] \begin{pmatrix} \check{u}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{c}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{t}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}[\vec{\alpha} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+(\vec{x},x^o) + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w} \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}(\vec{x},x^o) T_w^-}{m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}] \cdot$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5) V_{CKM} \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{s}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{b}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$i\partial^o \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{s}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{b}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} \circeq [i\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{\partial} + g_s \check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{A\perp}(\vec{x},x^o) T_s^A -$$

$$- i\frac{1}{3} e \check{\vec{\tilde{A}}}_\perp(\vec{x},x^o) - tg\,\theta_w \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\triangle} \vec{\partial} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) -$$

$$- ie\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}(\vec{x},x^o) \frac{\frac{2}{3} sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)}{sin\,2\theta_w} + \beta(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{x},x^o)) \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} -$$

$$- g_s^2 \int d^3y i T_s^A C_{\triangle,AB}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}(\vec{x},\vec{y};x^o) \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{sB}(\vec{y},x^o) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3} e^2 \int d^3y \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(em)}(\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|} + \frac{e^2 \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(NC)}(\vec{x},x^o)}{m_Z^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{x},x^o))^2} \frac{\frac{2}{3} sin^2\theta_w - \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)}{sin\,2\theta_w} +$$

$$+ \frac{e^2}{3 sin\,\theta_w} \int d^3y \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \cdot [i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_- \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-} - i\check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+ \check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}](\vec{y},x^o)}{4\pi|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^3\ m_W^2 (1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{y},x^o))^2} -$$

$$- \theta g_s^2 \int d^3y c_{ABC} \check{\vec{B}}_A^{(\check{\tilde{G}})}(\vec{y},x^o) \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{G}}}_{C\perp}(\vec{y},x^o) \frac{\vec{\partial}_y}{\triangle_y} \cdot \vec{\zeta}_{BD}^{(\check{\tilde{G}}_\perp)}(\vec{y},\vec{x};x^o) i T_s^D] \begin{pmatrix} \check{d}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{s}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \\ \check{b}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o) \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$+ \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w}[\vec{\alpha} \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-(\vec{x},x^o) + \frac{e}{sin\,\theta_w} \frac{\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}(\vec{x},x^o) T_w^+}{m_W^2(1+\frac{|e|}{sin\,2\theta_w m_Z} H(\vec{x},x^o))^2}] \cdot$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)V^{\dagger}_{CKM}\begin{pmatrix}\check{u}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o)\\ \check{c}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o)\\ \check{t}^{(m)}(\vec{x},x^o)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{88}$$

One could deduce the Euler-Lagrange equations from here without making the inverse Legendre transformation to find the physical Lagrangian. See Ref. [6] for the form of the reduced second order equation for the transverse Yang-Mills field (formulated on spacelike hypersurfaces) when only the color SU(3) field is present.

Let us remark that, like in papers I and II, if we assume that the Higgs field H(x) is a weak nearly constant field [$H(x)\approx 0$, $\partial^o H(x)\approx 0$], from its equation of motion we get the following restriction on the bosonic field $\check{\tilde{Z}}(x)$, $\check{\tilde{W}}_{\pm}(x)$"

$$\begin{aligned}
&[m^2_Z \check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}^2 + 2m^2_W \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-](x) =\\
&= m^2_Z[\check{\vec{\tilde{Z}}}^2 + 2cos^2\theta_w \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_+ \cdot \check{\vec{\tilde{W}}}_-](x) \approx\\
&\approx \frac{e^2}{4m^2_Z}[\check{\mathcal{Q}}^2_{(NC)} + \frac{4}{sin^2 2\theta_w}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)+}\check{\mathcal{Q}}_{(CC)-}](x) -\\
-\,[&\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\check{e}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{\mu}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{\tau}}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}\beta\begin{pmatrix}m_e & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_\mu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\check{e}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\mu}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{\tau}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
+&\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\check{u}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{c}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{t}}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}\beta\begin{pmatrix}m_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_t\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\check{u}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{c}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{t}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}+\\
+&\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\check{d}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{s}}^{(m)}(x) & \bar{\check{b}}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}\beta\begin{pmatrix}m_d & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_s & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_b\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\check{d}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{s}^{(m)}(x)\\ \check{b}^{(m)}(x)\end{pmatrix}].
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Finally, one should check that the dressed (Dirac observable) charges $\check{Q}_V$, $\check{Q}_A$, $\check{Q}_{V,\bar{A}}$, $\check{Q}_{A,\bar{A}}$, corresponding to Eqs.(31), are constants of the motion in the limit $m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$, $\theta_{13} = \theta_{23} = \delta_{13} = 0$, and that the strong and weak chiral symmetries are verified in the appropriate limits.

IX. CONCLUSIONS.

We have given the missing complete Hamiltonian treatment of the standard model of elementary particles in the Higgs phase. A canonical basis of Dirac's observables for the electromagnetic, strong and weak interactions has been found and the noncovariant canonical reduction (the generalized Coulomb gauge) has been done. We have evaluated the physical noncovariant, nonlocal and nonpolynomial Hamiltonian. An unexpected result is that the self-energy terms of the weak interactions, associated with the Z and $W_\pm$ bosons, are "local". Therefore, the Fermi 4-fermion interaction reappears at the nonperturbative level after the solution of the Gauss laws in the Higgs phase and the elimination of the unphysical degrees of freedom. It is interesting to note that, even if only the electromagnetic charge is conserved (custodial symmetry in the electroweak sector, at each instant there is a global su(2)xu(1) algebra of non conserved charges.

This physical Hamiltonian appears as the final stage of the reduction of the non-renormalizable unitary gauge. To go to the quantum level, one has to learn how to quantize this nonlocal and nonpolynomial field theory. Since the Hamiltonian is bilinear in the momenta, with a nonlocal and nonpolynomial coordinate-dependent metric connecting them, the natural technology to apply for the canonical quantization seems to be the one used for field theory in curved spacetimes. Moreover, as said in the Introduction, one now has an intrinsic classical unit of lenght (the Møller radius) to be used as an intrinsic physical ultraviolet cutoff in the spirit of Dirac and Yukawa.

However, before trying to quantize, we have to covariantize the generalized Coulomb gauge (see the Introduction) and to unify the standard model with tetrad gravity at the classical level [see Ref. [25]]: since in the asymptotically flat case one can define the same classical unit of lenght in terms of the asymptotic Poincaré charges, one would have a unified description of the four interactions with a universal ultraviolet cutoff and a physical nonlocal Hamiltonian bilinear in the momenta.

As in the cases of papers I and II, the covariant R-gauge-fixings [24], of the type

$\partial^\mu U_{a\mu}(x) + \xi\theta_a(x) \approx 0$, used in the proof of renormalizability and in the evaluation of radiative corrections, are ambiguous like the Gauss laws: they can be solved either in the Higgs fields (would-be Goldstone bosons) $\theta_a(x)$ [Higgs phase] or in $U_{ao}(x)$ [unbroken phase] or in a mixed way [the other mixed phases]. It turns out that in the proofs of renormalizability one is mixing all the existing disjoint phases (the only physical ones are the Higgs one and, maybe, the unbroken phase, which could be relevant in cosmology; all the mixed non-covariant phases are unphysical), and only at the end, in the limit $\xi \to \infty$, one is recovering the Higgs phase.

As said in the Introduction, the covariantization of these results can be done by reformulating the theory on spacelike hypersurfaces in Minkowski spacetime. However, before getting it, one has to end the study of Dirac and chiral fermion fields on spacelike hypersurfaces and to understand whether there is a classical background for the chiral anomaly. In the covariantized theory there will be the possibility to avoid the Fermi 4-fermion interaction in a covariant way as said in Section VII.

REFERENCES

[1] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, "Dirac's Observables for the Higgs model: I) the Abelian Case", to appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. A (hep-th/9606078).

[2] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, "Dirac's Observables for the Higgs model: II) the non-Abelian SU(2) Case", to appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. A (hep-th/9606079)¿

[3] L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A10**, 3531 and 3675 (1995).

[4] L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A12**, 645 (1997).

[5] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, "The Lienard-Wiechert Potential of Charged Scalar Particles and their Relation to Scalar Electrodynamics in the Rest-Frame Instant Form", Firenze Univ. preprint 1997 (hep-th/9705155).

[6] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, "The Classical Relativistic Quark Model in the Rest-Frame Wigner-Covariant Coulomb Gauge", Firenze Univ.preprint 1997 (hep-th/9705156).

[7] F.Bigazzi, R.DePietri and L.Lusanna, "Fermion Fields on Spacelike Hypersurfaces", in preparation.

[8] J.Geheniau and M.Henneaux, Gen.Rel.Grav. **8**, 611 (1977). M.Henneaux, Gen.Rel.Grav¿ **9**, 1031 (1978).

[9] "Solving Gauss' Laws and Searching Dirac Observables for the Four Interactions", talk at the "Second Conf. on Constrained Dynamics and Quantum Gravity", S.Margherita Ligure 1996 (hep-th/9702114). "Unified Description and Canonical Reduction to Dirac's Observables of the Four Interactions", talk at the Int.Workshop "New non Perturbative Methods and Quantization on the Light Cone", Les Houches 1997 (hep-th/9705154).

[10] V.Moncrief, J.Math.Phys. **20**, 579 (1979).

[11] M.Cantor, Bull.Am.Math.Soc. **5**, 235 (1981).

[12] K.Huang, "Quarks, Leptons and Gauge Fields" (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982).

[13] J.F.Donoghue, E.Golowich and B.R.Holstein, “Dynamics of the Standard Model” (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1992).

[14] S.Dawson, “Introduction to the Physics of Higgs Bosons”, Lectures given at the 1994 Theoretical Advanced Study Institute, Boulder CO, BNL-61012 (October 1994) preprint.

[15] M.J.Herrero, “Introduction to the Symmetry Breaking Sector”, Lectures given at the XXIII Int.Meeting on Fundamental Physics, Santander (Spain) 1995, preprint FTUAM Jan/96/1, hep-ph/9601, of the Universidad Autonoma de Madrid.

[16] A.Pich, “The Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions”, Lectures at the XXII Int. Meeting on Fundamental Physics “The Standard Model and Beyond”, Jaca(Spain) 1994, and CERN Academic Training, Genéva 1993. “Quantum Chromodynamics”, Lectures at the 1994 European School of High Energy Physics”, Sorrento (Italy) 1994. “Flavourdynamics”, Lectures at the XXIII Int. Meeting on Fundamental Interactions, Comillas (Spain) 1995.

[17] L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A8**, 4193 (1993); Phys.Rep. **185**, 1 (1990); Riv. Nuovo Cimento **14**, n.3, 1 (1991); J.Math.Phys. **31**, 2126 (1990); J.Math.Phys. **31**, 428 (1990).

[18] K.Wilson, Phys.Rev. **B4**, 3184 (1971).

[19] A.Dobado and M.T.Urdiales, ‘Determination of the Electroweak Chiral-Lagrangian Parameters at the LHC’, hep-th/9502255.

[20] T.Mannel, ‘Review of Heavy Quark Effective Theory’, talk at the Workshop ‘Heavy Quarks at Fixed Target’, Rheinfals Castle 1996, hep-ph/9611411.

[21] M.Chaichian, D.Louis Martinez and L.Lusanna, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **232**, 40 (1994). K.Wilson and J.Kogut, Phys.Rep. **12**, 75 (1974). J.Frölich, in “Progress in Gauge Field Theory”, Cargèse 1983, eds. G.’t Hooft, A.Jaffe, H.Lehmann, P.K.Mitter, I.M.Singer and R.Stora, NATO ASI B115 (Plenum, New York, 1984). M.A.B.Bég and R.C.Furlong,

Phys.Rev. **D31**, 1370 (1985).

[22] T.Appelquist and C.Bernard, Phys.Rev. **D22**, 200 (1980).

[23] W.A.Bardeen and K.Shizuya, Phys.Rev. **D18**, 1969 (1978).

[24] B.W.Lee and J.Zinn-Justin, Phys.Rev. **D5**, 3121, 3137 and 3155 (1972). A.Salam and J.Strathdee, Nuovo Cim. **11A**, 397 (1972). K.Fujikawa, B.W.Lee and A.I.Sanda, Phys.Rev. **D6**, 2923 (1972). Y.P.Yao, Phys.Rev. **D7**, 1647 (1973). E.Abers and B.Lee, Phys.Rep. **9**, 1 (1975).

[25] L.Lusanna and S.Russo, “Dirac’s Observables for Tetrad Gravity”, in preparation.